

THE VEVAY TIMES

AND SWITZERLAND COUNTY DEMOCRAT.

BY ISAAC STEVENS.

"THE SENTINEL ON THE WATCH-TOWER OF LIBERTY."

AT \$2 PER ANNUM.

VOLUME IV.

VEVAY, INDIANA, THURSDAY, JUNE 4, 1840.

NUMBER 27.

Published every Thursday Morning,
Corner of Ferry and Market streets, Vevay, Indiana.

TERMS:

Per year, paid in advance - \$2 00.
Paid within six months, - 2 50.
If not paid until the year expires, - 3 00.
No subscriber will be taken for a less term than six months, and in all such cases the subscription money will be required in advance.
Subscribers not residing in the county, will be required to pay in advance.
No paper will be discontinued until all arrearages are paid, unless at the option of the editor.

POLITICAL.

SPEECH OF MR. DUNCAN, OF OHIO.

In the House of Representatives, April 10, 1840.
On the bill making appropriation for the civil and diplomatic expenses of the Government for the year 1840.

Mr. DUNCAN, having the floor, said:
Mr. Chairman: I believe the bill before the committee is the general appropriation bill.

[The Chair answered it was.]
I will inquire if there is any particular amendment, or any particular section of the bill, now under discussion?

[The Chair answered no.]

So I supposed from the range and character of the debate yesterday. The debate yesterday was monopolized by the opposition; and they talked of every thing that is now, ever was, and is to come—abuses of power, panic, ruin and desolation, of our lamented country—and the profligacy and extravagance of the administration formed as usual, the principal themes. Well, sir, I like such latitude in debate; it is in character with the liberal latitudinarian, and free spirit of our political and religious institutions. I think I will take advantage of the latitude in debate now enjoyed, and talk of some things too, not immediately connected with the subjects before the committee.

It is perhaps unnecessary for me to inform the people of this country that such is the frame and character of the government, that the executive has no power to appropriate a dollar of the public money for any purpose, nor has he power to spend a dollar only as he is authorized by Congress. Whatever of profligacy may exist in the management of this Government must be exclusively charged to Congress; and yet the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. Wise) stated on yesterday, that the executive is, and has been responsible for the last six or seven years for every dollar which has been appropriated and expended by Congress. The gentleman holds the Executive responsible for that over which he has no control. Can the President control the expenditures of Congress? No, sir. Congress has the power of making appropriations for any amount, and for any purpose, without consulting the President—without his approbation, and contrary to his wish. The power of the President to prohibit extravagant appropriations is negative; and even that power avails him nothing, provided two-thirds of each branch of Congress vote for an appropriation; for the bill making the appropriation in that case, is a law with or without his signature or approbation. Sir, I refer you to the President's messages, and to the report of the estimates of the Secretary of the Treasury for the truth of the fact, that one half of all the monies appropriated is done by the authority, and upon the responsibility of Congress alone. The Secretary of the Treasury presents to Congress estimates of the amount of appropriations necessary for government purposes, and the President endorses these estimates and recommends them. Here his responsibility ends; but Congress have yearly made appropriations far above the estimate of the Secretary of the Treasury, and for other purposes entirely, than those recommended. Is the Executive to be held responsible for such appropriations as these, and, in consequence of them, denounced for extravagance and profligacy, far and wide as the Union? The intelligence of an honest community supercedes the necessity of reply to such denunciations.

But let me refer you to the journals of this House, for the truth of another strange fact. That is, that more than three-fourths of all the appropriations made over and above the estimates recommended by the Secretary, and endorsed by the President, have been proposed by the opposition, and carried by a majority of their votes. How does this fact correspond with the never ceasing howl of extravagance with which we are annoyed and with which the country is perpetually alarmed?

The gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. Cushing) followed in the wake of the gentleman from Virginia, and concurs with him in holding the Executive responsible for such appropriations. Yes, sir, he goes farther: he not only holds him responsible for such appropriations, but he holds him responsible for any estimates that he may recommend over and above what may strictly be wanted for the ordinary support of the Government. Further: he holds the executive responsible for failure to recommend estimates and appropriations for the purposes of internal improvements, when and where they are or shall be necessary. This is strict accountability on the broadest principles; and what does it all mean? It means, sir, let Congress appropriate money without the knowledge and contrary to the wish of the President, he is to be held responsible. 2d. If the President recommends one dollar over the estimates, actually necessary for government purposes, for internal improvements, or any other purposes, he is to be held responsible, and denounced for extravagance and profligacy; and lastly, if the president withholds his recommendation of appropriations for the purposes of internal improvements, security on the seaboard, &c. he is to be held responsible, and denounced for "meanly sneaking and skulking" from the responsibilities and duties attached to his office.

Verily, these gentlemen remind me of a cer-

tain Procrustes I once read of—a man of horrible cruelty. It is said of him that he used to place on a bed, travellers who fell into his hands, and if they were too long for his bed, he cut off the projecting part, and if they were too short he placed anvils under their feet and beat them out till they equalled the length of the bed.

Mr. Chairman, I am not extravagant when I say one half of the time this House is consumed by the opposition in denouncing the administration for its profligacy and extravagance. If the opposition are sincere in the charges they make, will the people not hold them to a fearful accountability for their gross neglect of duty when they are apprized of the fact that not the first attempt has been made by that party to reform the abuses of which they complain? If such abuses exist, the solemn oath they have taken, in presence of heaven and man, to faithfully discharge their official duties, binds them to the throne of eternal responsibility to their conscience and to their country, to point out those abuses, and to recommend a remedy. If they will, the democratic party here, to a man, will gladly join, with heart and hand, in assisting them in reformation. Where are the abuses of which you complain? Are there more officers than are necessary to manage the Government? then point them out, and they will be reformed. Are the salaries of officers higher than necessary to secure men competent and qualified to discharge the duties and trusts severally connected with their offices? then point them out and they will be reduced. Sir, I have a right to demand that the opposition shall discharge the duty I have here proposed. The country will demand it at their hands, or they must cease their clamor of profligacy against the administration. But they will not attempt to propose a reform, or point out an evil. They know the government is as well and as economically administered as it can be. They know that the business of the government cannot be administered with a less number of officers and clerks than are now employed, and they also know that competent men cannot be obtained to discharge the official duties, for salaries reduced below their present standard.

Extravagance and profligacy is the howl of the demagogue in all governments, and it is the howl of the demagogue in this government.

I have said that the opposition have pointed to no instance of extravagance. I was wrong. They have pointed out one item. I allude to the public printing. That item has been thrown up to the Democracy more than one thousand times this session; and from the fact that that single item has been harped upon in almost every speech that has been made by the Opposition, it is fair to presume they know of no other instances of extravagance; and how do they stand in relation to that? During the last Congress the Opposition had a decided majority in this House. They elected a Printer of their own party. Yes, sir, they elected a man of their own; and who was he? A miserable tool of a miserable faction—I mean the Conservatives—an empty, brainless coxcomb without a name, a residence or a foothold on the face of the earth—a penniless loafer; one of the gaunt, lounging office seekers that beset this Capitol, and ride you like the nightmare, without the means of doing the printing, and I believe, without the first dollar to procure the means; and what was the consequence of his election? The public printing, in place of being done by the Government's confidential sworn officer, was farmed out to Gales and Seaton, not confidential and sworn officers of the Government.

Yes, sir, the public printing was farmed out; and the man of straw elected (I mean the Editor of the Madisonian) received ten thousand dollars per annum for the office and trust thus reposed in him, and Gales and Seaton did the printing for the balance of the profits. What was the course of the Opposition then, think you? Was it to reduce the printing? No, sir; not a word was then said about the extravagance and profligacy of the public printing; but on the contrary, more fat jobs of printing were thrown into the hands of that corrupt and fraudulent combination than has ever been known in any Congress since the organization of the Government, or the establishment of public printing; by which the public printing was swollen to upwards of \$231,000, which is more than double as much as it ever amounted to before, and that enables Gales and Seaton to pay the enormous rent of ten thousand dollars. But as soon as the party character of this House was changed, and the printing was about to fall into Democratic hands the yelp of extravagance in the public printing was raised by a hundred Whig tongues, that had before been as silent on that subject as the grave. But, sir, what did the Democracy do on the resolution of the gentleman from Georgia, (Mr. Black?) They ordered a committee to investigate the subject of the public printing, and to report to this House what they might find to be a fair and just compensation for the public printing; and Blair and Rives were elected public printers on the condition that they were to receive a fair compensation. That committee have made their report, it is now on the Clerk's table; it is in favor of retrenchment, and the Democracy will sustain it. So much for reform in the public printing, the only item of pretended extravagance pointed out or attempted to be exposed by the Opposition; and that item would have remained unexposed by them if the printing had remained in the hands of their party pets.

"Office holders and spoils party." Sir, have not every feeling you possess, physical and mental, been nauseated and sickened at that incessant cry—that miserable hungry howl of lamentation, which is never permitted to die on your ears? I once before exposed the fact by tables and figures, that more than one-half of all the offices of this Government are occupied by Federalists, and I now assert it to be a fact; that one-half is not enough for them—"being of the better sort of society," they claim them all; and nothing short of full possession will ever satisfy a party whose principles teach them to believe they were born to rule the "common people."

The Federal party claim office as a natural and

political right;—composed, as they claim to be, of "the decency," and "better sort of people," they "are born to rule the swinish multitude." This right has been disputed by the Democracy, and it is this dispute, and the rights and principles involved, that have produced all the political struggles and turmoils that have been witnessed since the commencement of our Government. Will the Democracy now surrender? Heretofore the claims of the Federalists for all the offices have been made in blustering demands; now they are made in pitiful, whining, sickening, crocodile whimpers.

The Democracy, in the support of stern principles, resisted the ones! Will they now permit their sympathies to be so overcome as to yield to the others? Will they permit their principles, to maintain which they have so long, and so manfully contended, now to be sacrificed at the hungry yelp and pitiful whine of a host of lean, lank, lazy, lounging office seekers, which beset this Capitol, and annoy the country elsewhere? "The spoils party;" and what would the hungry Federal office seekers be, if they were to get all the offices and spoils? would they not be "the spoils party" too; and how much would the community be benefited by the change? I ask what benefit the tax paying community would derive by turning out the well fed, fat, clean, sleek, democratic office holders, and putting in a swarm of hungry, lean, starved, Federal office seekers?

Panic! panic! That's the string to pull. Turn back to the history of your Presidential elections, and show me one in the political statistics of your country, if you can, that has not been swamped in the federal cry of "Ramp." Examine the public journals from the commencement of the Presidential elections to this time, and you will find by them that every year that a President has had to be elected, is a year of panic and desolation. The cry of "panic and desolation" is one of the standing modes of electioneering. The people understand it, and are no longer to be gulled by it; and they look with contempt upon those who make it, as they do upon the slanderer and calumniator of American institutions and the American character. The yelp of panic, ruin, and distress, is now overspreading the land and doing its base and dirty work of slander upon the character of our country. Where is the panic and distress to be found? Where it always will be found; among the penurious loafers of your country—those who are too lazy and too proud to work, and have nothing to trade upon. All the panic and distress we have, consists in the inability of that class to enjoy the "glories of the credit system."

Show me the man in our wide spread Union (except he has been the subject of misfortune) who depends upon his own industry and his own resources, that cannot laugh at your panic and sneer at your demagogical cry of distress. And here I must qualify this general remark; by the exception of many hundreds of persons of the most useful class of society. I mean those who labor in manufactures. They are, no doubt, seriously affected by the depressed and deranged state of the currency; but all their difficulties grow out of their connection with institutions, the proprietors and owners of which have not capital of their own by which to conduct them. If such persons will study their own real interests, they will cut loose from such establishments and such proprietors, change their business, or engage in the employment of those who have not to depend upon the smiles and favors of banks, and the uncertainties of the "glorious credit system."

But, sir, what if there is distress and panic in the country? What is the cause of it but your miserable banking and credit system—a system that the united efforts of the Democracy have been directed to overthrow, so far as it is exclusive, monopolizing, and partial in its operation—a system, which, so long as it exists, will produce periodical derangement of the currency of the country, and distress with those who trade upon capital not their own.

But, I repeat, what if there is panic and distress in the country? Has Congress power to give relief? What constitutional authority have we for making this Capitol a poor-house? And by what constitutional authority can members of Congress, in their representative capacity assume to themselves the office of overseers, of the poor? Such a use of this Capitol, and such a usurpation of office, and such an exercise of power, was never contemplated by the framers of this Government; nor is it any where, except in the babbling noise of the demagogue, or in the brain of the raving political monomaniac. Sir, it is a settled principle, and a fact well known and universally understood, that all the wealth of this country is dug in sweat from her soil; and all the comforts and conveniences are the offspring of the united labor of the farmer and the mechanic, and upon that must we depend for all we possess, either as a nation or individuals composing a nation. And let me assure you; whatever system of policy may be established by legislation, which will enable one part of the community to live without labor, must and will have the tendency to throw a greater portion of labor on those not so favored. Such is the natural consequence, and such the practical effect, as all experience has shown, and will continue to show; and such is the credit and banking system; as it has existed, and now exists, in this country.

All the panic and distress that now hangs over us as a people, or any portion of us, had their origin in extravagance, idleness, or overtrading. That fact dare not be denied; nor dare it be that they have had their foundation in the credit and banking system, by the facilities they afford to live without labor, and temptation to speculate.

While I am unwilling to admit that any man here can go before me in commiserating the misfortunes of my fellow beings; nor can any one rejoice more at the prosperity of our country, and the happiness of every class of society, than I do, yet I hold that Congress has neither the power nor the means of giving relief in cases of distress. I say that Congress has no such power. I mean by that, that Congress has

no power to tax one portion of the community to relieve the distresses of another. Such a power cannot be exercised in justice, nor did ever the framers of the Constitution contemplate such an exercise of power. Congress can give no relief on any other principle than by an unjust and unconstitutional system of taxation either directly or indirectly. To all such applications for relief, (I mean for such distress as is now said to pervade the country,) as a representative, I must say, (however cold, heartless, and disconsolate the advice may be considered,) go home, work harder, and live more economically, and relief will be your reward. Sir, I have said that the cry of panic, as in time before, is made for political effect and party purposes. It is so, and such is the object of the demagogue. But I have the charity to believe that some of those who are attempting to spread the alarm of distress are, or think they are, sincere, but I am constrained to believe that many of that class are laboring under a species of derangement. There is a kind of derangement called monomania, which leaves the individual affected with it in full possession of his reasoning powers, on all subjects except the one on which he is deranged. For instance, the monomaniac is deranged on subjects of religion, and perfectly rational on all others. Hence it is that some persons fancy themselves to be the Saviour of mankind, or to be one of the ancient prophets, and in some instances, to be the Almighty himself; or may be deranged upon the subject of mechanics; hence it is you hear of persons spending a great portion of their lives in attempting to invent the perpetual motion. So it is with the chymist, who spends his life in pursuit of the philosopher's stone. So it is with metaphysics, &c. And yet persons laboring under this species of derangement may be perfectly capable of attending to ordinary business. Professor Titall of Jena continued to perform his professional duties for some time, although laboring under the fixed hallucination of believing himself to be Emperor of Rome. Many other instances of a similar character could be cited. Alexander Pope, in a few lines, illustrates, in an interesting and forcible manner, the various effects of monomania on different individuals. He sung thus:

"Unnumbered throngs on every side are seen,
Of bodies teeming by various forms of spleen,
Here living teapots stand, one arm held out,
One bent, the handle this, and that the spout;
A pikin there, like Homer's tripod, walks,
Here alga's jar, and there a goose-pie tray,
Men prove with child, or powerful fancy works,
And mad, turned bottles, cry aloud for corks."

Well, sir; we see that men become deranged on religion, mechanics, metaphysics, &c. why may they not become deranged on the subject of politics? They do. Our medical records furnish many instances of the kind; so they do on subjects of finance and economy. The celebrated Dr. Eberly informs us that he knew a person who for more than twenty years was firmly persuaded that he was the President of the United States; and yet this man would converse and think rationally upon all the ordinary concerns of life. We have all heard of persons of illustrious circumstances pinching themselves with hunger, and cloth in rags, lest they should come to want and die in poverty. Such is the political monomania under which some of our politicians are now raving, and if Alexander Pope lived at this day and in our country, he would sing—

"Men often turn from reason's shining way
To chase a phantom in the light of day;
Sane in all matters save the affairs of state,
And wild in them as in the rest they're great."

Now preach this panic with a sing-song tone,
When panic lives within their breast alone;
Now hear they Furies yell in deep despair,
With deadly serpents hissing from their hair."

Politically mad as Bedlam's Kings,
Around the world their doleful song they sing,
O'er fertile fields they leave their baleful track,
Blow up the world, and chuckle at the crack."

Such is the hell-born phantasy that holds
The federal prophet in its crimson folds;
Makes banks perfect, by a simple thought,
And strives to teach men, what can never be taught."

But, Mr. Chairman, I told you I intended to talk of some things not immediately connected with the bill under consideration. I desire to talk some about the Presidential election. I hope I will not be considered out of order. When the simple proposition to instruct the Committee on Finance to report an appropriation for the Cumberland road, was before the House, the Whigs used up one entire week in attempting to prove that General Harrison ought to be elected President of the United States. I hope it will now be in order, on the general appropriation bill, for me to use an hour or two in attempting to prove that he should not be elected.

So, sir, I proceed with my proofs and objections.

One of the modes now employed to secure the overthrow of the Administration; and to secure the election of the Federal candidate, is to impress on the minds of the people that General Harrison is a poor man; and therefore the poor man's friend; that he is the inmate of a log cabin, drinks hard cider; and is compelled, now in the sun-down of his life, to toil in sweat and dust for his daily maintenance; and therefore, if elected to the Presidency, will be capable of appreciating the poor man's condition, and will direct the administration of the Government with reference to the interests and benefits of that class of the community. These, if true, are powerful inducements for the poor and the friends of the poor to sustain General Harrison for the Presidency, all other circumstances being favorable. But that he is, or ever was, at heart, a friend to the poor man, I am prepared to doubt; and with a view of being as brief as possible, in support of this belief, I will give one

"The Furies were said by the ancients to have feet of serpents hissing from their hair."

illustration of the most forcible and undeniable character—and one, too, which at the same time that it carries truth on its face, will serve to convince every man who reads it, or hears it read, that the poor man has but little to expect, on the side of mercy and favor, from General Harrison or his administration, if elected. General Harrison is in favor of and has voted for a law authorizing and requiring the sale of the poor man in bondage and degrading slavery for the payment of a fine and costs in which he might be mulcted, or what is tantamount thereto. This, sir, is a startling statement; but I have the proof at hand—read for yourselves:

"Extract from the journal of the State of Ohio, dated Tuesday, January 30, 1831.

The Senate met, pursuant to adjournment. The Senate then, according to the order of the day, resolved in a committee of the whole on the bill from the House entitled, "an act for the punishment of certain offences therein named," and after sometime spent therein; the Speaker (Allen Trimble) resumed the chair.

Mr. Fithian then moved to strike out the 18th section of said bill, as follows:

"Be it further enacted, That when any person shall be imprisoned, either upon execution or OTHERWISE, for the non payment of a fine or cost, or both, it shall be lawful for the sheriff of the county to SELL-OUT SUCH PERSON as a SERVANT to any person within this State who will pay the whole amount due, for the shortest period of service; of which sale public notice shall be given at least ten days; and upon such sale being effected, the sheriff shall give the purchaser a certificate thereof, and from which time the relation between such purchaser and prisoner shall be that of MASTER and SERVANT, until the time of service expires; and for injuries done by either, remedy shall be had in the same manner as in, or may be, provided by law in the case of master and apprentice."

But nothing therein mentioned shall be construed to prevent persons from being discharged from imprisonment according to the provisions of the thirty-seventh section of the act to which this is supplementary, if it shall be expedient to grant such discharge. Provided that the court, in pronouncing upon any such person convicted under this act, or the act to which this is supplementary, may direct such person or persons to be detained in prison until the fine be paid, or persons otherwise disposed of agreeably to the provisions of this act."

And the yeas and nays being required; those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Beasley, Brown, Fithian, Gass, Heaton, Jennings, Lucas, Matthews, McLaughlin, McNilton, Newcomb, Robb, Russell, Schofield, Shelby, Spencer, Stone, Swearingen, Thompson, and Wolcott—20.

And those who voted in the negative were: Messrs. Baldwin, Cole, Foss, Foster, WILLIAM HENRY HARRISON, McClean, Orwell, Pollock, Ruggles, Wheeler and Speaker—12.

SECRETARY OF STATE'S OFFICE.
Columbus, O., Sept. 10, 1836.

"I certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy from the journals of the Senate of the State of Ohio, being the first session of the 19th General Assembly, held at Columbus, December, 1836."

See pages 303, 304, 305.

CARTER D. HARDAN.

Secretary of State.
Sir, this is the journal certified to by the proper officers; and in order that no false issue may be raised as to the meaning or intent of those who voted for and against a proposition degrading to the American character; at war with every principle of our free institutions, and constituting a black mark, a foul stain, upon the legislative journals of a free State, I say, that there may be no false issue or mistake, I will submit the remarks of a distinguished statesman and patriot of the last war, at the time the measure was under discussion. I allude to Gen. Robert Lucas, who distinguished himself in our second war for Independence; has for many years been a member of both branches of the Legislature of Ohio; her Governor for four years, and he is now Governor of the Territory of Iowa.

"General Lucas in the true spirit of patriotism, made the following remarks."

"What will be the operation of this section?" said Mr. Lucas. "We will suppose a case: suppose one of the patriots of the Revolution should be insulted by an enemy of his country, or a Tory, who had fought against him in the struggle for liberty, and he should be provoked to commit an assault in defending the honor of his Government—by our laws he might be prosecuted and fined. He is poor and unable to pay the fine. What would follow under the provisions of this section? He is publicly ADVERTISED FOR TO BE SOLD—he is dragged by the crier along the streets—the man who provoked the assault, bids the amount of the fine and the shortest term of service, say forty years—and the old patriot is knocked off to his persecutor in bondage."

"Any unfortunate citizen, who in an unguarded moment, might be thus subjected to the payment of a fine, would be liable to be sold under this section and driven into slavery by a FREE NEGRO, should such a negro choose to become the purchaser."

"This would be revolting to every principle of humanity, and a disgrace to the age in which we live."

"The question was then taken on Mr. Fithian's motion, and carried in the affirmative—yeas 20, nays 12. So this obnoxious provision, voted for and defended by W. H. HARRISON, does not now disgrace the statute books of Ohio."

And this, sir, is the manifestation of Gen. Harrison's attachments to, and sympathies for the poor man. What will the lincy hunting shirt wad in inmate of the log cabin, who delights occasionally in taking a knock down for the laudable purpose of improving his courage, and hardening his body, (for which he may be made the subject of fine and costs, which he may not have the means of paying) say to such a