



CELERY KING
NATURE'S CURE
Dangerous Kidney Diseases.

Celery King has cured me of kidney disease. The doctor found Bright's disease, and tried many remedies that gave me no help. Celery King has made me as well as ever in my life, and it seems almost as though a miracle had been wrought in my case. —Jesse O. Reichard, Springfield, Pa.

Celery King cures Constipation and Nerve, Rheumatism, Liver and Kidney diseases.

Richmond Palladium
Published every evening (Sunday excepted) by THE PALLADIUM CO.

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION:
One year by mail, postage paid — \$3.00
One month " " " " — .25
One week, by carrier " " — .08

TUESDAY, JUNE 18, 1901.

Four million dollars in gold left New York for Europe today. It is needed over there and we do not miss it.

It is said that the grave of General John C. Fremont at Rockland, N.Y., is unmarked and entirely neglected. If this is a fact it is a disgrace to the country.

Senator Platt gives out the information that he has no intention of resigning his seat in the senate. He has had all the experience in that line he cares about.

In a speech before the Columbia club Saturday evening Addison C. Harris said there are two thousand McCormick reapers and mowers in operation every harvest season in Hungary.

It remained for darkest Egypt to furnish the tallest man for the Pan American exposition. His name is Hassan Ali, and he is a merchant of Cairo. He stands eight feet and two inches in his stockings and weighs 275 pounds. His father is eight feet seven inches in height, and he has a little sister seven feet tall.

Col. I. N. Walker of Indianapolis was appointed yesterday by Governor Durrin to the vacancy on the board of monument regents caused by the death of Gen. Fred Kneller, November 1, according to the law passed by the last legislature, the board is abolished and the governor will name a board of control to succeed it.

Buffalo is having the usual experience of exposition cities. The attendance so far at the Pan-American has not been up to expectations, and calculations have been made which prove that it must average three times as great as it has or more for the rest of the season to produce the desired results in the matter of gate receipts. —Chicago Record-Herald.

Yes, Buffalo will have to learn what Chicago learned in the exposition business. The Buffalonians started out by charging visitors three and four prices for rooms and meals. The news of this imposition was soon spread abroad in the land, and the result has been a rapid falling off in attendance at the Pan-American exposition. It will take a good while of honest dealing with the people to remove the bad impression that has been made.

Richmond people who cannot, for any reason, take an outing this summer have the consolation that they might be worse off. We have spells of hot weather here, to be sure. Our water privileges are not the best, but there is plenty of water to drink and for private bathing. Boating to a limited extent may be had at the lake in the Glen, and fishing is about as good here as at most of the northern resorts. As to drives and parks, springs and groves we have them galore. With our enlarged street car facilities and railroads going out at every point of the compass locomotion is easy and cheap. Hotel accommodations are first class. For summer amusements we have the Casino at Highland park, the Country Club for members and other varieties too numerous to mention. Our churches are open all summer and there is society to suit every taste.

WANT IT.

Indianapolis Parties After the Trolley Line Between That City and Richmond.

This morning's Indianapolis Sentinel says:

Another move in the contest between the rival companies that desire to secure the advantage in the construction of an interurban railroad from Richmond west to Dublin along the line of the national pike and eventually still farther west through Knightstown, to connect with the Greenfield line to Indianapolis, was made yesterday when Mr. Chipman and Mr. Frank Faure, as is reported, secured an option on the Indianapolis and Greenfield road.

Those interested in the deal refuse to discuss it, but it is understood the option taken on the Greenfield line is

NEW YORK ARTISTS

In the Exhibit of the Richmond Art Association.

Although the work of New York artists is limited to ten pictures they are of such excellence that the quality compensates for lack of quantity. Among the artists whose work is universally appreciated none is more generally welcomed than Charles Warren Eaton, who is seen in two pleasing twilight scenes. Mr. Eaton was born in Albany, N. Y., in 1857; was a pupil in the National Academy of Design and Art Students' League of New York. He is a member of the American Water Color society and of the New York Water Color club. His pictures appear in all our large exhibitions and appeal to all classes. At the thirteenth annual exhibit of water colors in Chicago, just closed, he was represented by fifty-one exquisitely beautiful water colors. His characteristic works portray twilight and after glow effects of which the two in the present exhibit are good examples. His oil paintings do not possess the fullness of atmosphere and feeling that characterizes his water colors but are nevertheless productions worthy of the artist's high repute.

Childe Hassam, whose high rank in the world of art is unquestioned, is represented by one exquisite picture of refined and delicate tone. He was born in Boston in 1859, studied in Boston and Paris, is a member of the Society of Ten American Painters, of the Societe Nationale des Beaux Arts, Paris, of the Seceession, New York, and is represented in Carnegie Institute, Pittsburgh; Art Museum, Cincinnati, and Art club, Boston. Received medal at Paris Exposition, 1889; universal exposition, Munich, 1892; Philadelphia Art club, 1892; world's fair, 1893; Temple medal, Pennsylvania academy, 1899; second medal, Paris exposition, 1900; and prizes at a number of important exhibitions. His picture will bear close and frequent study.

F. Hopkinson Smith exhibits two typical water colors, which, while lacking in feeling, are beautiful in color and so perfect in technique that we can forgive their soullessness and accept them for their beauty. Mr. Smith was born in Baltimore, Md., in 1858. He is a member of the American Water Color society and is represented in the Walters gallery, Baltimore; Philadelphia Art club, and various other institutions.

F. K. M. Ehn is seen in two excellent marines. "Morning, Coast of New Jersey," an oil, is superb in color and full of the atmosphere of morning. This picture was high praise in the twenty-third annual exhibit of the New York academy. Mr. Ehn was born in Philadelphia, studied in Pennsylvania Academy of Fine Arts and under C. Schussele, a member of the American Water Color society and New York Water Color club; was awarded gold medal prize, New York exposition, New York, 1892; first prize, water color exhibition, New York, 1896; first prize for marine painting at St. Louis, 1892, and is represented in many important galleries. Too much can scarcely be said regarding the beauty and pleasing qualities of this artist's work.

Edwin E. Couss exhibits one tender twilight composition so modest in color as to invite little attention, but when discovered proves itself a real gem, quiet, strong and very beautiful. One cannot rise from the careful contemplation of it without a feeling of gratitude to one whose brush can carry such a message. Mr. Couss was born in Saginaw, Mich., in 1866, studied in National Academy of Design, Julian Academy and Ecole des Beaux Arts, Paris; is a member of the American Water Color society, Black and White club, and is represented in the National gallery, London. He won the Shaw prize, Salama gaudi club, 1899; second Hallgarten prize, N. A. D., 1900, and honorable mention, Paris 1900. His work is worthy to hang in any collection.

Edward Henry Potthast is represented by two charming water colors, one a figure piece, "Violets," is true in drawing, natural in pose, color, and as a whole is really a delightful composition. Mr. Potthast is a native of Cincinnati, O., studied in Paris and Munich; is a member of the National academy, New York Water Color society, and New York Water Color club. He is represented in various important galleries and in the Thomas C. Evans prizes. His work speaks for itself.

Cures Cancer, Blood Poison, Eating Sores, Ulcers—Costs Nothing to Try.

Blood poison or deadly cancer are the worst and most deep-seated blood diseases on earth, yet the easiest to cure when Botanic Blood Balm is used. If you have blood poison, prurient itching, bone pains, pimples, mucous patches, falling hair, itching skin, scrofula, old rheumatism, or offensive form of catarrh, sores and scales, deadly cancer, eating, bleeding, festering sores, swellings, lumps, persistent wart or sore, take Botanic Blood Balm (B. B. B.). It will cure even the worst case after everything else fails. Botanic Blood Balm (B. B. B.) drains the poison out of the system and the blood, then every sore heals, making the blood pure and rich, and building up the broken down body. It is B. B. B. thoroughly tested for 30 years. Sold at drug stores, \$1 per large bottle. A trial treatment sent free by writing Blood Balm Co., Atlanta, Ga. (Descriptive treatise and free medical advice given until cured). Botanic Blood Balm does not contain mineral poisons or mercury (as so many advertised remedies are), is composed of Pure Botanic Ingredients. Over 3,000 testimonials of cure by taking B. B. B.

Potato Chips.
Something new, made without lard, always fresh. Try a half pound. Paone 292. HADLEY BROS. 13jun-1014

I have now a supply of anthracite coal on hand. Please give me a call and I will give you prices for coal delivery low as the lowest.
PHILIP BROOKS, 39 south sixth street.

FOR A NEW TRIAL

Case of Roland B. Molineux Now Before the Court of Appeals.

ATTRACTS ATTENTION
The Court Room at Buffalo More Than Crowded By Interested Spectators of the Scene.

Distinguished Counsel on Both Sides Present Their Arguments to the Court.

Buffalo, June 18.—The court of appeals yesterday heard the first argument for a new trial for Roland B. Molineux, the young New York club man, accused of the murder of Harry Corbush, manager of athletic sports. Judge Parker, Van, Bartlett, Gray.



ROLAND MOLINEUX.

O'Brien, Haight and Werner, in their rooms of hotel, sat upon the bench to hear the famous case, and down at the counsel's table were former United States Senator David Bennett Hill, who holds the brief of the people, and John C. Miller, president of the Pan-American exposition, who represents the defense. Many more than the court room would hold crowded into the corridors to hear the story of the almost fascinating crime linked with the double mystery of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session of the case, and during the closing of the case, when he was followed by Senator Hill. Molineux's address was devoted largely to the alleged error of the lower court in admitting evidence of the death of Henry C. Barnett, and the other half of the court barred the outer doors and admitted the favored ones by a rear entrance. Molineux, for the appellant, talked during the entire session