

THE PLYMOUTH DEMOCRAT
A. C. THOMPSON, Editor.

PLYMOUTH, INDIANA:
THURSDAY MORNING, OCT. 25.

FOR PRESIDENT,

STEPHEN A. DOUGLAS
OF ILLINOIS

FOR VICE PRESIDENT,
HERSCHELL V. JOHNSON,
OF GEORGIA.

Non Intervention Triumphant.

It gives us great satisfaction to state to our Democratic friends that, although we may not succeed in electing Douglas, owing to the conspiracy that has been formed against him by the Republicans and Breckinridge men, yet, the doctrine of non intervention is to rule our country for two years to come, at least.

Should Lincoln be elected he will be disarmed, as there is a majority against him and his policy, and therefore he will not, during the next Congress, have the pleasure of signing any enactment of that body prohibiting slavery in the territories. So, that old disunionist and fanatic is disposed of and we need not thing from him nor his, at present, powerless party.

Should the Breckinridge faction succeed in giving the north western States to Lincoln, as they are trying to do, and, by the election being thrown into the House, Jo Lane should get into the Presidential chair, he will not have the satisfaction of signing any bill establishing slavery in the territories belonging to the United States, as the members of Congress who would support such a measure are hopelessly in the minority.

In short, the Douglas Democrats have the balance of power in their hands, and will vote against all such dogmas. Despite all the conspiracies and plotings against the supporters of popular sovereignty, it is to be the principle which is to rule our country in the future as it has in the past.

Packard's Election, and Republican Smallness.

We had intended, for the two weeks past, noticing the manner in which the news of Packard's election was received by the Democratic portion of our citizens, but on account of being short of help, and unreasonably bothered and perplexed generally, we were compelled by force of circumstances to pass it over. At this late date we will only say that it was a general good time that was not confined to the Democratic voters alone; the women and children all over town took part in the rejoicing. On the one hand we never witnessed greater rejoicing and on the other, more chagrin and disappointment, than was manifested by the people of our place. The Democrats had just kindled their bon fire when the Republicans on the east side of the street commenced yelling and hollering over a meager telegraphic dispatch they had received. The real object, as was soon demonstrated, was to interfere with the Democratic jubilation over Packard's election. They kindled a fire in the street near Pierces corner. By the time it was fairly getting into a blaze the Democrats were ready to march up street, and starting from Beckers corner proceeded up Michigan street on the west side. The Republicans seeing that the Democrats were going to pass them, they gathered themselves on the side walk evidently for the purpose of obstructing the way of the Democrats. This movement some of the leading Republicans tried to prevent, but they were not successful, and, the Democrats not desiring to get into a row with the dirty rags who had shown themselves desirous of everything like decency, to say nothing about manliness and good breeding, turned off the side walk near Hills Bakery and crossed through the middle of the street to the east side of Michigan and then on up street past the Edwards House. By the time the Democrat procession had turned and came down street, the half way decent Republicans had succeeded in getting most of their party off the walk, only two or three remaining, who were brushed off by some of the boys in our procession.

On the night previous the Republicans had all to themselves and kept it up all night. Although the Democrats would rather had the rejoicing to do themselves, yet they said to the Republicans to go in to their hearts content, and said that had we beaten them we would have made more noise than they were then making. But, as soon as the Democrats got up a demonstration over Packard, the Republicans not only got up an opposition jubilation, which was right enough, but they purposely obstructed the way of the Democratic procession. We have never seen a greater exhibition of smallness in our short life. Many of the Republicans were ashamed of it the next day and denied that they had gathered on the walk, when the Democrats were marching up street.

They are welcome to all they will make by such manifestations. It was an increased evidence to us that they were deeply buried over the election of Packard, on whom they had concentrated their vindictive spleen, and therefore was a source of gratification to us. All we have to say for ourself, individually, is that had we been in front of the Democratic procession

Mattingly Wilber & Co.

Some four weeks ago, Mattingly stated unequivocally that he could prove that we had admitted that we had lied, and that we intended to continue to lie, for the purpose of making votes. We demanded the proof, and, in the Republican of the 27th ult., the following statement from Mr. Wilber appeared as the proof that we had admitted that we had lied and allowed to continue to do so. The conversation reported by Mr. Wilber though misrepresented, untruly, unfairly and purposely untruthfully given, still is not strong enough to sustain Mattingly, and, we suppose, on the principle that two negatives make one positive, the old gentleman reproduces the statement. The great misfortune is that it is the same negative, instead of being the second. It is reported to him that he had better get Mr. Wilber to say again that we did not use any such language as he, Mattingly said he could prove we had made use of, and it may then be proper to continue the matter further.

Mattingly said he could prove we had made, as there is a majority against him and his policy, and therefore he will not, during the next Congress, have the pleasure of signing any enactment of that body prohibiting slavery in the territories. So, that old disunionist and fanatic is disposed of and we need not think from him nor his, at present, powerless party.

Should the Breckinridge faction succeed in giving the north western States to Lincoln, as they are trying to do, and, by the election being thrown into the House, Jo Lane should get into the Presidential chair, he will not have the satisfaction of signing any bill establishing slavery in the territories belonging to the United States, as the members of Congress who would support such a measure are hopelessly in the minority.

Mr. MATTINGLY.—In compliance with your request, I give you the substance of a conversation that occurred between A. C. Thompson, editor of the Plymouth Democrat, and myself, about the 14th of August last.

I called to see Mr. Thompson in reference to a statement he published in his paper of the 9th of August, to the effect that a certain firm that was buying grain extensively, and which had made arrangements with the Treasury to take Western funds for taxes from such persons as they paid it to "had probably saved hundreds of dollars by the arrangement and shaved his

The queries asked by the Marshall who have been busy taking the decennial census of the United States, are very numerous, many of them relating to what are usually considered private family affairs. Here are a few of them.

INTERROGATORIES TO BE PUT TO LADIES.

1. How many new dresses does your husband allow you a year, and how often was your last winter bouquet 'done up' before you had another?

2. Are you almost quite worried to death by Bridget, and how often does she demand a day out?

3. How many cousins has Bridget to the best of your knowledge and belief, and how much crookery does the 'cat' destroy per annum?

4. How many evenings in the week is your husband detained late at the 'Office or store' on business, and does he belong to a Lodge? Is so, how many 'special meetings' does he attend in a month, and has he ever been called upon to sit up with a sick brother?

5. Does he smoke? Does he chew? Is he in the habit, after having been kept late at the 'Office,' 'Store' or 'Lodge,' of taking copies of dices of ice water in the morning?

6. How many times per annum do you mention the fact of such lovely things going at Ross & Fosters for really nothing?

10. What are your sentiments touching latch-keys?

11. Does your mamma visit you frequently? What is the average length of her stay? What sentiments does she entertain towards your husband, and is he more apt to be detained out late by 'business at the Office,' 'Store' or 'Lodge,' during such visits?

12. Did your husband ever come home ill from the effects of 'Pickled Salmon,' Cheese, Oysters or Crabs, without giving signs to his wife that he had been 'out again' to those wretched Brown?

INTERROGATORIES FOR GENTS.

1. State your grievances on the subject of buttons.

2. Are you familiar with the words 'Brute' or 'Wretch,' and have you ever heard them applied to yourself?

3. Do you know the meaning of the Curious Lecture?

4. Are you on good terms with your mother-in-law and if so why?

5. What are your ideas relating to the moral influences of shopping?

6. Can you smoke at home without hearing remarks made about 'that horrid tobacco,' or 'that nasty pipe'?

7. How often have you tried the effect of a 'love of a bonnet' as a pacemaker, and what have been the results?

8. Did you ever hear any comparison made between the style in which you live and the style in which 'the Browns' live who are not half as well off as you?

9. How often, in the course of a year, does your wife warn that you'll be 'sorry' for it when she is gone?

10. Did you always tell the truth when asked where have you been; and if not, how often do you do the other thing?

11. Does your wife belong to a 'Sewing Society,' and if so, what is your opinion concerning the old cats who meet there?

13. Do you ever give up 'special appointments,' for the purpose of attending your wife's 'meeting,' church, opera or lecture; if so, how often?

14. Have you any friend who's example as a husband you have been requested to follow?

15. How often do you curse the 'sub' and do you ever think it is the image of

Another Falseshood.

In his last week's issue, Mattingly or some of his friends made up the falsehood that we, in a speech made at Uniontown the evening preceding the election, stated that Thomas K. Houghton had said that Sheriff Barnard was, at the time Perkins and his comrade made their escape, down town in a Saloon drunk, and the Republican goes on to say that Horace Corbin wrote and confirmed the statement. As

Mr. Corbin has handed us a communica-

tion on this subject, but too late for this issue, we will only take time now to say that we made no such statement, and of course Mr. Corbin could not confirm a statement that we never made. We can prove the statement we made and will do so if it becomes necessary. We would make a thousand more statements on the same authority. On account of the relation existing between Messrs. Houghton and Corbin, and the alone, we do not desire to continue the matter further.

CANNOT TELL THE TRUTH.—Our aged

and revered neighbor of the A. C. Repub-

lican cannot tell the truth in any case or

under any circumstances whatever it ap-

pears. Week before last we were noticing

the defeat of our several candidates and

among others that of Jerry Klinger, who

in a joke remarked to us that his defeat

was owing to the fact that Mattingly had

not pitched him as he had into Pack-

ard. For saying this, Mattingly went in-

to him in 'good style' and all Jerry proba-

bly has to regret is that the old gentleman

did not begin to give him 'hell' sooner.

The old gentleman told a fib when he stated

that Jerry had given him special invita-

tion to come get teams of him. Fur-

thermore, Jerry did not take him out rid-

ing after he was nominated, and in no way

courted Mattingly's favor and never has

only so far as business was concerned,

which he never carries into politics. For

the business courtesies and favors Mat-

tingly boasts he has received at the hands

of Klinger, we suppose the old ingrate

will continue to give him 'hell' as some

of his admirers term the old villain's slan-

gash.

SPECIAL NOTICES.

IMPORTANT TO SUGAR MANUFACTURERS.—We have been shown by Mr. Wm. H. Hough, Agent for the patentee, a specimen of Sugar and Syrup made from the Chinese Sugar Cane, that is a fine specimen of both. It was made by A. H. Miller's "Rotary Alterating Sugar Evaporator and Self-Cleaner."

Persons wishing to purchase one of the Evapo-

rator can get all the desired information by ad-

ressing the agent, Mr. W. H. Hough, Plymouth, Ind.

1890-91.

PLYMOUTH STAPLE MARKETS

New Wheat.....\$200.00 per bushel

Flour.....\$5.25 per bushel

Oats.....\$2.25 per bushel

Meal.....80c per bushel

Butter.....\$6.00 per bushel

Chickens.....5cts lb

Potatoes.....15cts lb

Eggs.....60c per dozen

Beef Seed.....\$2.00 per bushel

Turnip Seed.....\$2.00 per bushel

Hanging Grass Seed.....50c per bushel

Salt per bushel.....2.00

Hides Green per lb.....5c

Lard.....8@10c

Smoked Hams retail.....10c

Shoulders and Sides.....5c

PROSPECTOR

OF THE

PLYMOUTH WEEKLY DEMOCRAT AT

FOR THE

Presidental Campaign of 1860.

In order to meet the wishes of many of our

friends and for the purpose of advancing the cause

of Democracy in our county, we offer the Democ-

ratical following rates:

Single copy.....30 cents.

commencing July 26, 1860.....25 cents each.

12 months.....25 cents each.

12 months in Advance.....A. C. THOMPSON, Plymouth, Ind.

BY T.

W. A. FARNUM

INVENTOR

Highly Improved Iron

The Emperor of India has been granted a

million of rupees for his

revenue.

The Emperor proclaimed Regent

during the Emperor's Absence!

The Emperor proclaimed Regent

during the Emperor's Absence!

But in the event of all these strange facts

do not fail to remember the

Emperor's

proclaimed Regent

during the Emperor's Absence!

Now propell'd by

BUCK & TOLLY,

Successors to L. Crane & Co., who are

now propell'd by

BUCK & TOLLY,