

PUBLIC LEGISLATOR.

"FRIENDLY TO THE BEST PURSUITS OF MAN,
FRIENDLY TO THOUGHT, TO FREEDOM, AND TO PEACE."—*Cowper.*

[Volume IV. No. 5.]

RICHMOND, WAYNE COUNTY, INDIANA, SATURDAY, JUNE 30, 1827.

[Whole Number, 161.]

PRINTED AND PUBLISHED EVERY SATURDAY BY
SAMUEL B. WALLING.

Printed at the office of the Public Legislator, in the
Front street, opposite Vaughan's Hotel.

TERMS.

One dollar and fifty cents, for 52 numbers, paid
in advance.
Two dollars, within the year; or
Two dollars and fifty cents, after the year expires.
A notice to pay or to discontinue, must be given
before the expiration of a new engagement, at
least ten days before the date of the publication.
Letters to the Editor must be post paid.

ADVERTISEMENTS.

Notices of less than three lines, will be inserted three
times for one dollar—each subsequent insertion,
fifty cents. Larger advertisements in the
same proportion.

PRODUCE.

Such as grain, sugar, honey, wax, wool, linen, rags,
cotton, &c. will be taken on subscription, at half
price, at the office of the Public Legislator, in the
Front street, in Newport, Col. Ross's mill, in Union
county; Maj. Lewis' tavern, in Liberty; or at Jon.
Malone's, near Evansville.

TO THE CITIZENS OF THE UNITED STATES.

The Pennsylvania society for the promotion
of manufactures and the mechanic
arts beg leave respectfully to offer for the
consideration of their fellow citizens,
some views of national policy on which
there appears at present to exist differences
of opinion. Yet it is believed these views
will be found reconcilable with the in-
terests of every section of the union, and
amply calculated to encourage na-
tional industry and promote national pros-
perity.

The objects of this society, it is true,
are more immediately connected with the
interests of our manufactures and artists—
but the dependence, however of the
great masses of natural history upon each
other, and the tendency which an increase
of the prosperity of one branch naturally
has to promote the welfare of all, is too
evident to be denied.

The great extent of our territory, and
the general fertility of the soil, have at-
tracted the industry of by far the larger
portion of the nation, above 50 per cent.
of the whole, to the pursuits of agriculture
and husbandry. Hence, with these few
exceptions, attention has been in any con-
siderable degree directed to manufactures.

Industry is well regulated continually, the
cultivation of the soil in the best manner
is always by the aid of the highest
science; and, while the attention of
the people has been called to a view of the
advantages of the agriculture of the
country, it has been led to a belief, that
the dependence of that important interest
upon the soil, from the want of due
protection, between the consumers and
producers, might have been prevented, by
the aid of protecting these branches of
manufactures, which make a "domestic
market for the best of all markets, for the rule
of the soil."

While the necessities of Europe obliged
her to receive the grain and flour of the
United States, the farming in-
dustries of these states was highly prosper-
ous; but these necessities having long
ceased to exist, the ports of that quarter
of the globe, for the last nine years, with
the exception of about three months, have
been closed against those important pro-
ducts, so that while those states con-
sume from 10 to 12,000,000 dollars of the
manufactures of Europe, annually, they
scarcely receive any important article
from the United States and Europe.
The more ruinous want of reciprocity
in the intercourse between those por-
tions of the United States and Europe,
perhaps has ever occurred in the
history of commerce.

The whole export of flour from the U.
States in 1825, to Great Britain and Ire-
land, was 27,272 barrels and to France

10,000 barrels, resulting to the nation from
the sale of the European ports against
the United States, some idea may be formed
of the following facts. In the year
1825, the export of flour from the United
States was 1,479,180 barrels, valued, ac-
cording to the treasury returns, at \$17,
272,000. At the close of the year, the
ports of Great Britain were shut against
the United States, and have so remained
ever since, except for three months in
1826. The export of the latter year was
320,000 barrels, and amounted
to \$1,137,000 barrels, valued \$11,575,
000. The export of 1819 was reduced to
1,040 barrels, valued \$6,005,200. In
the year 1825, it was 812,906 barrels,
valued \$1,212,127. Although in that
year we exported 102,728 barrels to S.

America, from which in the year 1817, we
were excluded. The population of the
United States, which in 1792, was about
4,200,000, is now about 12,500,000. Yet
the export of flour in 1792, was 324,469
barrels, 10,000 barrels more than in
1825!

The diminution of the export of wheat
and Indian corn has also been great. The
export of these articles in 1790, and
1825, was as follows:

	1790	1825	diminution.
Wheat, bush.	1,124,456	17,990	1,106,466
Indian corn do	2,102,137	369,644	1,732,493

Our population in 1790, was 2,929,326,
and has more than trebled—yet not mere-
ly the value, but the quantity, of our ex-
ports of most of those articles on which the
growing states mainly depend, has
since been greatly reduced.

The domestic exports of the United
States in 1790, (the first year when a de-
termination was made by the treasury
department between foreign and domestic
exports), were about \$33,000,000, exclusive
of cotton and tobacco. In 1825, excluding
these articles, they were only \$23,982,473.
Our population in 1790 was about 4,750,
000. It is now about 12,500,000. Thus
while it has nearly trebled, the exports of
all the articles produced by about 10,000,
000 of our population have diminished one
third; although the export of manufac-
tures has increased above four fold.

It is painful to take a retrospect of the
distress which the exclusion of our grand
staple produced in the state of Pennsylvan-
ia in 1819 and 1820, and from which it
has not yet recovered. A committee of
both houses of the legislature of the state,
appointed to ascertain the extent of the
evil, drew the following outline—

"Rapid sacrifices of landed property
at forced sales, whereby in many cases,
lands and houses have been sold at less
than half a third or a fourth of their for-
mer value, thereby depriving of their
homes and the fruits of laborious years, a
vast number of our industrious farmers,
some of whom have been driven to seek
in the uninvited forests of the west,
that shelter of which they have been de-
prived in their native state."

When the diminution of the quantity
and value of the exports of the productions
of the grain growing states is considered
it may be a matter of surprise to many,
unacquainted with the subject, how our
immense importations have been paid for.
To this we answer, that a very large amount
of our public and private securities has
been mortgaged abroad for that purpose
and thus the country has been mortgaged
for their payment. It must therefore be
obvious, that the interest of those securi-
ties, produced by the laboring class of our
country, amounting probably to above
\$2,000,000 annually, is appropriated to
pay for the foreign labor, while our own
farmers and workmen want profitable em-
ployment. When the tariff of 1824 was
enacted after solemn debate, it was hoped
that its provisions would afford protection
to every branch of national industry.

The thirty per cent. duty laid upon for-
eign wool, it was contemplated, would
have given sufficient protection to the
wool growers, and, that the duties and re-
strictions on the importation of foreign
woolens would sufficiently protect the A-
merican fabric. But the former will be of
no avail, if the domestic market for the ar-
ticle be destroyed, the result of the pro-
tection of the woollen manufacture which
will certainly take place without an im-
mediate and radical change in our policy.

The repeal by Great Britain in 1826, of
nearly all the duty upon foreign wool im-
ported into that country, has had the effect
to destroy the salutary operation of the
law of 1824, so far as it relates to the wool-
en manufacture, whereby the intended
protection is frustrated. The duty in
Great Britain is only one penny per lb. on
wool at or above one shilling sterling, and
one half penny on wool below that price,
while ours is nearly one third of the value,
amounting on the finer qualities, to from
50 to 50 cents per lb.

By this state of things, the farmer is
wholly deprived of the advantages inten-
ded to be given him by the increased duty
on foreign wool, and the American man-
ufactures of that article is so far depressed
by the great quantity of English woolens
brought into the country, that he cannot
continue the business, unless the original
intention of the tariff be carried into effect
by the enactment of an additional duty to
countervail the advantage given to the

English manufacturer by the reduction of
the duty on the importation of foreign
wool.

It has been estimated that in our woollen
manufactures there is a capital invested
of \$50,000,000. In consequence of the
stagnation that has taken place, some of
those engaged in it have been ruined—
others have discharged many of their work
men—and those who continue the busi-
ness, do not derive from it a maintenance.

It is obvious that the prostration of a
branch of industry, which puts into cir-
culation such large sums as are necessary to
employ the above capital must produce
very injurious results to the nation at large.
Should no salutary alteration take place,
a considerable portion of this capital will
be lost, by the great depreciation of that
part which is invested in buildings and
machinery. Thousands of persons will
be deprived of employment, not merely
those immediately engaged in the branch
in question, but in the variety of branches
wholly and collaterally dependent on it.

No small portion of the capital will be
transferred to the cotton manufacture,
which will be thereby crowded and great-
ly injured. Some portion will be trans-
ferred to other branches of manufactures,
and produce on them the same pernicious
effect.

On the farming interest, already greatly
depressed, it will operate very severely.
It will diminish the market for wool, and
lower the price, so as to destroy in a great
measure the inducement to raise sheep,
and render of little value those now in
the hands of the farmer. This serious in-
jury to farming the occupation of at least
7,000,000 of our citizens, is enough, alone
to call for the interposition of government
—but this is by no means the whole of the
evil to that important interest. In addi-
tion, numbers of persons engaged in the
woolen branch will divert their industry
to agriculture—and thus not merely di-
minish the market for the necessities of
life, and other products of the soil—but
injuriously increase the number of cultiva-
tors.

The great importance to the farmers, of
securing a steady market for wool, is vast-
ly increased by the depressed state of the
market for bread stuffs, forming as they
do, the grand staple of all the middle states
which contain at present at least four mil-
lion inhabitants.

When the existing state of things is ta-
ken into view, we consider the rapid ad-
vances that have been made within a few
years, by the introduction of steam navi-
gation, canals, and rail roads, and the
happy destinies to which the country must
arrive, should every branch of industry be
duly protected by wise regulations, this
society feel themselves imperiously called
upon to make a solemn appeal to the mid-
dle, and western and eastern states.

We do not consider this question as ex-
clusively sectional, although such a char-
acter has been frequently attached to it,
both in and out of our national councils,
but naturally address ourselves to the
states most directly interested in the adop-
tion of the policy which we advocate.

The society has beheld with great re-
gret, that the doctrine of the unconstitution-
ality of congress affording protection to
manufactures, by duties on imports, has
been openly promulgated in and out of
congress. It might have been expected,
that the uniform exercise of this power, in
favor of agriculture and commerce as well
as manufactures, from the very commence-
ment of the government, would have laid
the question at rest.

For the protection of commerce, the
first congress in 1789 imposed a tonnage
duty on foreign vessels engaged in the for-
eign trade, or 50 cents per ton for every
entry, while American vessels paid but
six cents—on the former, engaged in the
coasting trade, the duty was fifty cents
per ton for every entry, while the latter
paid but six cents per annum. Thus a
foreign vessel of 400 tons engaged in the
foreign trade, and making four entries in
a year, paid 800 dollars tonnage duty—
while an American vessel paid but 96. A
foreign vessel of 150 tons in the coasting
trade and making ten entries in a year,
paid 750 dollars—whereas an American
vessel of the same size, and making the
same number of entries, paid but 9 dollars.
The duties imposed at that period on teas
imported in foreign vessels, average twen-
ty seven cents per pound, while those on
teas imported in American vessels aver-
aged only twelve—being a protecting duty

to the American ship owner of one hun-
dred and twenty five per cent.

The duty on cotton, for the purpose of
encouraging the culture of the south, was
three cents per pound, which is now from
forty to fifty per cent. on the actual prices
for which the article sells in Alabama.
The duty imposed in 1789, on manufac-
tured tobacco and on snuff, was six cents
per lb. on the former and eight cents on
the latter, expressly intended to secure
the consumption of the country to our to-
bacco planters. This end it has fully ac-
complished; as the importation of foreign
snuff and tobacco has been insignificant.
On brown sugar, a necessary of life, and
subject to a heavy freight of about 15 per
cent. the duty is three cents per pound,
varying according to the prices in the
West Indies, from 50 to 55 per cent. for
the protection of the sugar planter. The
constitutionality of the protection thus af-
forded to the commercial and planting in-
terests has never been questioned.

So far as regards manufactures, the
right of congress to protect them in that
mode, was not only admitted in the first
congress, but strenuously advocated by
the most distinguished members of that
body—Madison, Ames, Fitzsimons, Car-
roll, of Carrollton, Lawrence, Clymer,
Wadsworth, Boudinot, &c. &c. many of
whom were members of the convention
that framed the constitution. The right,
let it be most distinctly observed, was ne-
ver once called in question in that congress.

The first act for raising a revenue by
impost passed July 4, 1789, was headed—

"Whereas it is necessary for the sup-
port of government, for the discharge of
the debts of the United States, and THE
ENCOURAGEMENT AND PROTEC-
TION OF MANUFACTURES, that duties
be laid on goods, wares and merchan-
dize imported."

In addition to these strong facts, gener-
al Washington, who had been president of
the convention, in his message of 1796,
particularly recommended to congress the
encouragement of manufactures:—

"Congress have repeatedly, and not
without success, directed their attention
to the ENCOURAGEMENT OF MAN-
UFACTURES. This object is of too
much consequence not to ensure a contin-
uance of their efforts in every way that
shall appear eligible. Ought our coun-
try to remain dependent on foreign sup-
ply, precarious because liable to be inter-
rupted? If the necessary article should
in this mode cost more in time of peace,
will not the security and independence
thence arising, form an ample compensa-
tion?"

Mr. Jefferson's message of December,
1802, is to the same effect:—

"To cultivate peace, and maintain com-
merce and navigation in all their lawful
enterprises; to foster our fisheries, as nur-
series of navigation, and for the nurture
of man; and to PROTECT THE MAN-
UFACTURES adapted to our circum-
stances, * * * are the landmarks by
which to guide ourselves in all our pro-
ceedings."

Mr. Madison and Mr. Monroe in their
messages uniformly held the same doc-
trine.

And can it be for a moment admitted,
that the venerable Washington, his three
successors, and those members of the 1st
congress, above named, who had but re-
cently been called upon to give their lumi-
nous minds and great talents to the for-
mation of the constitution, would so soon
after its adoption, have mistaken the mean-
ing? for they surely could not have lent
their aid to its violation. Yet such is the
true state of the case, if the doctrines now
contended for by the legislature of Virgin-
ia in the following resolution be correct:

"Resolved, That this general as-
sembly does most solemnly protest against
the claim or exercise of any power what-
ever, on the part of the general govern-
ment to protect domestic manufactures;
the protection of manufactures not being
amongst the grants of power to that gov-
ernment, specified in the constitution of
the United States—and also against the
operations of the act of congress, passed
May 22d, 1824, entitled "an act to amend
the several acts imposing duties on im-
ports," generally called the tariff law,
which vary the distribution of the pro-
ceeds of the labour of the community, in
such a manner, as to transfer property
from one portion of the United States to
another, and to take private property