



F. B. STOLL, Editor and Proprietor.
LIGONIER, IND., OCT. 5, 1876.

DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL TICKET.

FOR PRESIDENT:

SAMUEL J. TILDEN,
OF NEW YORK.

FOR VICE PRESIDENT:

THOMAS A. HENDRICKS,
OF INDIANA.

THE empty pocket and the ragged
coat against the bloody shirt.

LECT TILDEN in Indiana and
Tilden's triumph is assured beyond
the shadow of a doubt.

"TIMES are hard." Aye, indeed. Can
you make them harder by voting for
a change? Scarcely.

THE Georgia election resulted in a
victory for the Democracy by about
50,000 majority. Colorado is republi-
can by 2000 majority.

THESE men who went from Phil-
adelphia to Ohio, when Hayes was
elected Governor, have now gone to
Indiana and Ohio to repeat their frauds
in the October elections.

HOWLING about the "solid South"
will hardly relieve languishing labor.
Vote for "Blue Jeans" Williams next
Tuesday and Samuel J. Tilden, the
financial reformer, in November.

NEVER in our political history
have there been such a gigantic and
cunning conspiracy against the
franchise as the one now being carried out
by the hirings of the infamous Cam-
eron ring.

SEVERAL of the more prominent
colored citizens of Baltimore have
joined in an appeal to the colored people
of the South to vote for Tilden and
Hendricks on the ground that in
this way they will become identified
with the people in the communities in
which they live.

BETTING on the October elections
in Ohio and Indiana, indicates the
 drift of opinion, and it is truly gratifying
to notice that the odds are largely
in favor of the Democrats in this
State, while Ohio is considered so
doubtful that many even bets have
been made on the result in that State.

THE editor of the New York Sun
was assistant Secretary of War under
Mr. Stanton. He says, in his paper,
that he knows Samuel J. Tilden was
a sincere Union man during the war,
was so regarded by the members of the
Lincoln administration, and re-
peatedly gave Mr. Stanton sound and
valuable advice.

THE Chicago Times, independent no-
party paper, says: "The campaign is
lost to Hayes in Indiana." The bloody
shirt issue, with money, the Times
thinks, cannot carry the State for the
Republicans. That journal says:
"Prophets are poor and profitless as
arguments; but from the Times' point
of vantage, the success of Tilden is
assured beyond the possibility of a
doubt."

THE Springfield Republican says:
"Mr. 'Bob' Ingolds' eloquent efforts
occasionally require judicious editing.
For instance, when he speaks of a
Presbyterian general assembly as a
"shebang," and then proceeds to tell
what was done after the preliminary
"praying and whining" were got thru,
with, 'That line of remark isn't cal-
culated to conciliate the Presbyterian
vote.'

CARL SCHURZ is not the only man
of the republican party who is dis-
couraged with the prospects in Ohio,
and the Republicans of that State are
now laboring to discount the effect of
either a defeat or a slight majority.
The Columbus correspondent of the
New York Times, some weeks since,
declared that "unless there is a great
change in the next four weeks it is
useless to expect more than a meager
majority in October."

THE records prove that the number
of stockholders has been increased
during President Grant's administra-
tion from 54,267 to 94,119, or at the
rate of more than 5,000 a year; that
during almost the whole of this period
the country has been suffering from
great commercial depression, and
nearly every home has felt the
pressure of hard times. This is the army
with which the republican leaders
hope to overwhelm the voice of the
people in the coming elections.

THE reception given Gov. Tilden
at the Centennial grounds on Thursday
of last week was a most gratifying
popular demonstration. More than
one hundred and twenty-five thousand
persons passed the turnstiles, the largest
number in any day since the opening.

Though the reception of Governor
Tilden was not a political affair it was all the more significant
in showing the esteem in which he is
held by the people for his abilities and
virtues. During the reception President
Grant was an occupant of the British
building not far from the New York
building and was a highly in-
terested witness of the popular dem-
onstrations of respect for his success-

AT THE POLLS, NEXT TUES-
DAY,

Democrats, and Liberals, give one
full day to your State. If you want
an honest and economical State and
county administration, see that your
whole ticket is elected. Public inter-
ests—obligations to your State and
country—transcend all private ones.
Come to the work. Our State and
country demands it. Be a hero in the
strife. Work, and glorious victory
will crown your labors.

CHALLENGERS.

Take early steps to appoint chal-
lengers for each voting district. Let
them be the best men, men of honesty,
nerves and long citizenship in the dis-
trict, acquainted with all the voters,
who shall have pluck to challenge
peaceably and firmly every illegal
vote. It is the right of every Ameri-
can citizen to guard the purity of the
ballot. It is YOUR right. It is YOUR
duty. It is only to be a slave who
neglects his duty NOW. Take down
the names of all who are challenged.
BE ACTIVE! BE VIGILANT!

A CONTRAST.

In his speech delivered here last
Monday evening, General Kimball
declared largely in the "bloody shirt"
doctrines of Morton, who sounded the
"keynote" of the republican campaign,
raking up the old issues of the war
and charging the Democrats with the
terrible results which grew out of se-
cession. He asserted that ninety-nine
out of every one hundred northern
Democrats were rebel sympathizers;
that they had formed an alliance with
southern Democrats, and that in the
event of Tilden's election there was
great danger that the Government
would be turned over into the hands
of these southern rebels, and pictured
in gory colors the devastating results
that would surely follow a democratic
victory this fall. On the other hand,
the General had the brazen effrontry
to assert that there was no corruption
in the present administration of gov-
ernmental affairs and that there was
no necessity whatever for a change.

Now, while we do not believe there
is a Republican in this community
silly enough to endorse such infamous
twaddle, or mean enough to charge
the blood-thirsty Morton in securing
a republican majority in the Legisla-
ture. Remember, Democrats, that
important political legislation is to be
passed upon next winter, and that it is
of vital interest to the Democracy to
secure a majority in the Legislature.

The same remark applies to DAVID
HOUGH, our candidate for Joint Rep-
resentative. DAVE is known to al-
most every household in the county.
He is deservedly popular among the
masses, whose interests could have
been made on the result in that State.

BETTING on the October elections
in Ohio and Indiana, indicates the
drift of opinion, and it is truly gratifying
to notice that the odds are largely
in favor of the Democrats in this
State, while Ohio is considered so
doubtful that many even bets have
been made on the result in that State.

FOR SAMUEL E. ALVORD, if
you want a man of experience and un-
questioned ability in the office of Clerk.
The experience of the past year points
unmistakably to the necessity of se-
lecting a competent man for this im-
portant position.

Sirs, is the man who does not
readily admit that RICHARD L. STONE
is admirably suited and qualified for
County Treasurer? An excellent busi-
ness man, a ready accountant, a
straight-forward citizen, a gallant sol-
dier—why ought such a man not be
triumphantly elected? DICK STONE is
one of the sons of nobility, and ought
to be earnestly and vigorously sup-
ported at the polls.

SHERIFF EAGLES has given such uni-
versal satisfaction that his triumphant
election is conceded on all sides. But
do not allow this confidence to inter-
fere with your zeal for his re-election,
Bear this in mind.

FOR Recorder we have JNO. BAUGH-
MAN, the present incumbent. His en-
dorsement by the Democracy is a most
conclusive and all-powerful argument
of his, personal worth and official
faithfulness and integrity. By the
action of the democratic convention
of the county, he is entitled to the entire
vote of the county. Let us act in good
faith and prove our sincerity in
good faith to the world.

WHY HON. JOHN R. BUCHTEL WILL VOTE
for Tilden.

HON. JOHN R. BUCHTEL, who is a rel-
ative of the Buchtels living in this
vicinity, and well known to many of
THE BANNER readers, the founder of
Buchtel College, at Akron, Ohio, and one
of the proprietors of the Buckeye
Works at that place, has espoused the
cause of Tilden, Hendricks and Reform.
Recently at a public meeting Mr. Buchtel
was called out and responded in substance as follows, after
being addressed by the speaker:

"I will tell you how I became con-
verted, and why I shall vote for Tilden.
I had the republican party re-
quested me to speak at a meeting, and
all know how it purposed to resume spe-
cial payments. Mr. Tilden proposes to
resume by public economies. The 5-
0 bonds were payable in currency, in
the same money that the soldiers re-
ceived. Does anybody know of the
soldiers being paid in gold? No.
The republican Congress made these
bonds payable in gold. In 1873 the
Republicans demonetized silver. When
an effort was made to repeat the law
who opposed it? You see the prior
of silver. Congress should have taken
the same measures in the case of the
silver. Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the silver was becoming
cheap they cut it off. For that act of
the debt? Have they not rather cut
off the only way of paying it? Those
bondholders are sharp, and they saw
what was in their interest. They are
not only swindlers, but swindlers who
saw the