


## BAKER ANNOUNCES PERMANENT ARMY POSTS



Stars indicate location of seven permanent army camps designated recently by War Secretary Baker.

The permanent home stations for the regular U. S. army divisions returning from overseas are: First division, Camp Taylor, Kentucky; Second, Camp Travis, Texas; Third, Camp Pike, Arkansas; Fourth, Camp Dodge, Iowa; Fifth, Camp Gordon, Georgia; Sixth, Camp Grant, Illinois; and Seventh, Camp Funston, Kansas. The camps were named by War Secretary Baker a few days ago. Each division is being sent to its home camp on returning from overseas. The divisions will be reorganized on a peace basis at these camps; emergency enlisted men who seek discharges will be cared for and recruiting will be carried on there.

## Household Hints...

**MENU HINT.**  
Breakfast. Waffles  
Puffed Grain Melons  
Bacon Coffee  
Luncheon. Rolls  
Vegetable Salad Iced Tea  
Pie à la Mode Iced Tea  
Dinner. Iced Coffee  
Vegetable Soup Crackers  
Fricassee of Veal Duchess Potatoes  
Stuffed Tomatoes  
Lettuce—Sour Cream Dressing  
Green Apple Pie Iced Coffee

**GOOD SUMMER DRINKS.**  
Fruit Punch—Four teaspoons Ceylon or English breakfast tea, six lemons, two oranges, four cups sugar, one pint grated pineapple, one teaspoon each of vanilla and almond extract, two bananas, one pint ginger ale, three quarts water, ice.

Put the tea in the hot water and cover with a quart of boiling water; cover for ten minutes, strain. Grate the yellow rinds from half the lemons and oranges, adding this to the sugar, and mixing all with the tea infusion. Stir until the sugar is dissolved, place over the fire and bring to the boiling point and boil five minutes. Strain and cool. Add the juice of the lemon and orange and all the other ingredients. Turn this into the punch bowl with a block of ice and serve. Fresh berries may be added to this or grape juice may be added instead of ginger ale. Serve twenty-five people.

Peach Cup—Place one quart of peeled and sliced peaches in a saucepan and add one pound of sugar, one cup of water.

Cook until the fruit is soft and then rub through a fine sieve and add juice of one lemon.

To use—Place one-half cup of the peach mixture in a glass and add two tablespoons of cream, one-half cup of crushed ice, and fill with carbonated water.

A box of straws to use in serving these iced drinks makes them doubly attractive.

## CROWN POINT NEWS

Happenings Of A Day In Lake County's Lively Capital.

The many friends of Mr. and Mrs. Frank Trevor will regret to learn of their departure from Crown Point about September 15th. The scarcity of homes in Crown Point has made their moving necessary. Mr. Trevor has bought a business in Fortville, Indiana, and has already left for that city. He has been employed at the Kramer market.

Mrs. Edward J. Eder will entertain a party of ladies at a one o'clock luncheon on Thursday in honor of Mrs. Karl Kroft who was a bride of last month. Cards will be the diversion of the afternoon.

LESSONS IN  
OPTOMETRY

BY E. C. CARROLL  
Of Carroll & Newton

## IF YOU CAN'T SEE AS YOU SHOULD

Without glasses, then get them. Don't let anything interfere with your consulting an Optometrist. And don't let anyone or anything cause you to defer the purchase of glasses, as necessary to enable you to see as you should. There is no duty before you of more importance than getting them. If you desire a service that leaves nothing to chance, we have it.

CARROLL & NEWTON  
Optometrists and Jewelers

186 State St., Hammond, Ind.

## Groff's Transfer Co.

JOHN B. GROFF, Prop.  
FURNITURE MOVING AND EX-  
PRESSING — ESTIMATES  
CHEERFULLY GIVEN.  
LONG DISTANCE HAULING OUR  
SPECIALTY.  
114 Russell St. Hammond, Ind.  
Phone Hammond 1604.

## Select Bohlinger Shorthand School

Day and Evening Classes

Ruff Building. Phone Hammond 2981

**Beecham's  
Pills**

Largest Sale of Any Medicine in the World.  
Sold everywhere. In Boxes, 10c. 25c.

Continued from page one.

# NEED OF ACTION IS ALLEGED

(Continued from page one.)

continued, "that I could only with the greatest reluctance approach that assembly for permission to read the treaty as we understand it and as those who frame it quite certainly understood it."

Qualifications as a part of the treaty, the president said, would cloud the entire document.

**THESE MAKE IT EFFECTIVE.**

The president declared that when three powers ratify the treaty it will become effective, and pointed out that unless the United States acts promptly it will be forced to leave the markets of

central Europe to its trade rivals. The president told the committee that in his opinion the Monroe doctrine is completely safeguarded by the league of nations covenant. He declared that when he conferred with the senate and house foreign affairs committee last March in the White House, suggestions were made that the rights of the United States were not fully safeguarded. As a result, he said when he returned to Paris he made suggestions along the lines proposed which resulted in the insertion of Articles 10 and 11 and the specific elimination of the Monroe doctrine from the jurisdiction of the league of nations.

"I respectfully submit that there is nothing vague or doubtful in the wording of those provisions," said the president, "thus taking direct issue with the opinion of the majority members of the committee. He said that so far as all of the objects to article are concerned they do not read that article in connection with the entire treaty. He pointed out that insofar as the United States is a party to any policy in question her affirmative vote is necessary before any action can be taken or advice given. Thus the obligation under this article, the president said, is a moral, not a legal obligation.

The president said:

"Article X is in no respect of doubtful meaning when read in the light of the covenant as a whole. The council of the league can only 'advise upon' the means by which the obligations of that great article are to be given effect to. Unless the United States is a party to the policy or action in question, her own affirmative vote in the council is necessary before any advice can be given, for unanimous vote of the council is required."

If she is a party, the trouble is here anyhow. And the unanimous vote of the council is only advice in any case.

Each government is free to reject it if it pleases. Nothing could have been made more clear to the conference than the right of our congress under our constitution to exercise its independent judgment in all matters of peace and war.

No attempt was made to question or limit that right. The United States will, indeed, under take under Article X to respect and preserve as against external aggression the territorial integrity and existing political independence of all members of the league, and that engagement constitutes a very grave and solemn moral obligation. But it is not a legal obligation, and leaves our congress absolutely free to put its own interpretation upon it in all cases that call for action. It is binding on conscience only, not in law.

"Article X seems to me to constitute the very backbone of the whole covenant. Without it the league would be hardly more than an influential debating society."

"Article X is in no respect of doubtful meaning when read in the light of the covenant as a whole. The council of the league can only 'advise upon' the means by which the obligations of that great article are to be given effect to. Unless the United States is a party to the policy or action in question, her own affirmative vote in the council is necessary before any advice can be given, for unanimous vote of the council is required."

If she is a party, the trouble is here anyhow. And the unanimous vote of the council is only advice in any case.

Each government is free to reject it if it pleases. Nothing could have been made more clear to the conference than the right of our congress under our constitution to exercise its independent judgment in all matters of peace and war.

No attempt was made to question or limit that right. The United States will, indeed, under take under Article X to respect and preserve as against external aggression the territorial integrity and existing political independence of all members of the league, and that engagement constitutes a very grave and solemn moral obligation. But it is not a legal obligation, and leaves our congress absolutely free to put its own interpretation upon it in all cases that call for action. It is binding on conscience only, not in law.

"Article X seems to me to constitute the very backbone of the whole covenant. Without it the league would be hardly more than an influential debating society."

"Article X is in no respect of doubtful meaning when read in the light of the covenant as a whole. The council of the league can only 'advise upon' the means by which the obligations of that great article are to be given effect to. Unless the United States is a party to the policy or action in question, her own affirmative vote in the council is necessary before any advice can be given, for unanimous vote of the council is required."

If she is a party, the trouble is here anyhow. And the unanimous vote of the council is only advice in any case.

Each government is free to reject it if it pleases. Nothing could have been made more clear to the conference than the right of our congress under our constitution to exercise its independent judgment in all matters of peace and war.

No attempt was made to question or limit that right. The United States will, indeed, under take under Article X to respect and preserve as against external aggression the territorial integrity and existing political independence of all members of the league, and that engagement constitutes a very grave and solemn moral obligation. But it is not a legal obligation, and leaves our congress absolutely free to put its own interpretation upon it in all cases that call for action. It is binding on conscience only, not in law.

"Article X seems to me to constitute the very backbone of the whole covenant. Without it the league would be hardly more than an influential debating society."

"Article X is in no respect of doubtful meaning when read in the light of the covenant as a whole. The council of the league can only 'advise upon' the means by which the obligations of that great article are to be given effect to. Unless the United States is a party to the policy or action in question, her own affirmative vote in the council is necessary before any advice can be given, for unanimous vote of the council is required."

If she is a party, the trouble is here anyhow. And the unanimous vote of the council is only advice in any case.

Each government is free to reject it if it pleases. Nothing could have been made more clear to the conference than the right of our congress under our constitution to exercise its independent judgment in all matters of peace and war.

No attempt was made to question or limit that right. The United States will, indeed, under take under Article X to respect and preserve as against external aggression the territorial integrity and existing political independence of all members of the league, and that engagement constitutes a very grave and solemn moral obligation. But it is not a legal obligation, and leaves our congress absolutely free to put its own interpretation upon it in all cases that call for action. It is binding on conscience only, not in law.

"Article X seems to me to constitute the very backbone of the whole covenant. Without it the league would be hardly more than an influential debating society."

"Article X is in no respect of doubtful meaning when read in the light of the covenant as a whole. The council of the league can only 'advise upon' the means by which the obligations of that great article are to be given effect to. Unless the United States is a party to the policy or action in question, her own affirmative vote in the council is necessary before any advice can be given, for unanimous vote of the council is required."

If she is a party, the trouble is here anyhow. And the unanimous vote of the council is only advice in any case.

Each government is free to reject it if it pleases. Nothing could have been made more clear to the conference than the right of our congress under our constitution to exercise its independent judgment in all matters of peace and war.

No attempt was made to question or limit that right. The United States will, indeed, under take under Article X to respect and preserve as against external aggression the territorial integrity and existing political independence of all members of the league, and that engagement constitutes a very grave and solemn moral obligation. But it is not a legal obligation, and leaves our congress absolutely free to put its own interpretation upon it in all cases that call for action. It is binding on conscience only, not in law.

"Article X seems to me to constitute the very backbone of the whole covenant. Without it the league would be hardly more than an influential debating society."

"Article X is in no respect of doubtful meaning when read in the light of the covenant as a whole. The council of the league can only 'advise upon' the means by which the obligations of that great article are to be given effect to. Unless the United States is a party to the policy or action in question, her own affirmative vote in the council is necessary before any advice can be given, for unanimous vote of the council is required."

If she is a party, the trouble is here anyhow. And the unanimous vote of the council is only advice in any case.

Each government is free to reject it if it pleases. Nothing could have been made more clear to the conference than the right of our congress under our constitution to exercise its independent judgment in all matters of peace and war.

No attempt was made to question or limit that right. The United States will, indeed, under take under Article X to respect and preserve as against external aggression the territorial integrity and existing political independence of all members of the league, and that engagement constitutes a very grave and solemn moral obligation. But it is not a legal obligation, and leaves our congress absolutely free to put its own interpretation upon it in all cases that call for action. It is binding on conscience only, not in law.

"Article X seems to me to constitute the very backbone of the whole covenant. Without it the league would be hardly more than an influential debating society."

"Article X is in no respect of doubtful meaning when read in the light of the covenant as a whole. The council of the league can only 'advise upon' the means by which the obligations of that great article are to be given effect to. Unless the United States is a party to the policy or action in question, her own affirmative vote in the council is necessary before any advice can be given, for unanimous vote of the council is required."

If she is a party, the trouble is here anyhow. And the unanimous vote of the council is only advice in any case.

Each government is free to reject it if it pleases. Nothing could have been made more clear to the conference than the right of our congress under our constitution to exercise its independent judgment in all matters of peace and war.

No attempt was made to question or limit that right. The United States will, indeed, under take under Article X to respect and preserve as against external aggression the territorial integrity and existing political independence of all members of the league, and that engagement constitutes a very grave and solemn moral obligation. But it is not a legal obligation, and leaves our congress absolutely free to put its own interpretation upon it in all cases that call for action. It is binding on conscience only, not in law.

"Article X seems to me to constitute the very backbone of the whole covenant. Without it the league would be hardly more than an influential debating society."

"Article X is in no respect of doubtful meaning when read in the light of the covenant as a whole. The council of the league can only 'advise upon' the means by which the obligations of that great article are to be given effect to. Unless the United States is a party to the policy or action in question, her own affirmative vote in the council is necessary before any advice can be given, for unanimous vote of the council is required."

If she is a party, the trouble is here anyhow. And the unanimous vote of the council is only advice in any case.

Each government is free to reject it if it pleases. Nothing could have been made more clear to the conference than the right of our congress under our constitution to exercise its independent judgment in all matters of peace and war.

No attempt was made to question or limit that right. The United States will, indeed, under take under Article X to respect and preserve as against external aggression the territorial integrity and existing political independence of all members of the league, and that engagement constitutes a very grave and solemn moral obligation. But it is not a legal obligation, and leaves our congress absolutely free to put its own interpretation upon it in all cases that call for action. It is binding on conscience only, not in law.

"Article X seems to me to constitute the very backbone of the whole covenant. Without it the league would be hardly more than an influential debating society."

"Article X is in no respect of doubtful meaning when read in the light of the covenant as a whole. The council of the league can only 'advise upon' the means by which the obligations of that great article are to be given effect to. Unless the United States is a party to the policy or action in question, her own affirmative vote in the council is necessary before any advice can be given, for unanimous vote of the council is required."

If she is a party, the trouble is here anyhow. And the unanimous vote of the council is only advice in any case.

Each government is free to reject it if it pleases. Nothing could have been made more clear to the conference than the right of our congress under our constitution to exercise its independent judgment in all matters of peace and war.

No attempt was made to question or limit that right. The United States will, indeed, under take under Article X to respect and preserve as against external aggression the territorial integrity and existing political independence of all members of the league, and that engagement constitutes a very grave and solemn moral obligation. But it is not a legal obligation, and leaves our congress absolutely free to put its own interpretation upon it in all cases that call for action. It is binding on conscience only, not in law.

"Article X seems to me to constitute the very backbone of the whole covenant. Without it the league would be hardly more than an influential debating society."

"Article X is in no respect of doubtful meaning when read in the light of the covenant as a whole. The council of the league can only 'advise upon' the means by which the obligations of that great article are to be given effect to. Unless the United States is a party to the policy or action in question, her own affirmative vote in the council is necessary before any advice can be given, for unanimous vote of the council is required."

If she is a party, the trouble is here anyhow. And the unanimous vote of the council is only advice in any case.

Each government is free to reject it if it pleases. Nothing could have been made more clear to the conference than the right of our congress under our constitution to exercise its independent judgment in all matters of peace and war.

No attempt was made to question or limit that right. The United States will, indeed, under take under Article X to respect and preserve as against external aggression the territorial integrity and existing political independence of all members of the league, and that engagement constitutes a very grave and solemn moral obligation. But it is not a legal obligation, and leaves our congress absolutely free to put its own interpretation upon it in all cases that call for action. It is binding on conscience only, not in law.

"Article X seems to me to constitute the very backbone of the whole covenant. Without it the league would be hardly more than an influential debating society."

"Article X is in no respect of doubtful meaning when read in the light of the covenant as a whole. The council of the league can only 'advise upon' the means by which the obligations of that great article are to be given effect to. Unless the United States is a party to the policy or action in question, her own affirmative vote in the council is necessary before any advice can be given, for unanimous vote of the council is required."

If she is a party, the trouble is here anyhow. And the unanimous vote of the council is only advice in any case.

Each government is free to reject it if it pleases. Nothing could have been made more clear to the conference than the right of our congress under our constitution to exercise its independent judgment in all matters of peace and war.

No attempt was made to question or limit that right. The United States will, indeed, under take under Article X to respect and preserve as against external aggression the territorial integrity and existing political independence of all members of the league, and that engagement constitutes a very grave and solemn moral obligation. But it is not a legal obligation, and leaves our congress absolutely free to put its own interpretation upon it in all cases that call for action. It is binding on conscience only, not in law.

"Article X seems to me to constitute the very backbone of the whole covenant. Without it the league would be hardly more than an influential debating society."

"Article X is in no respect of doubtful meaning when read in the light of the covenant as a whole. The council of the league can only 'advise upon' the means by which the obligations of that great article are to be given effect to. Unless the United States is a party to the policy or action in question, her own affirmative vote in the council is