

JASPER COURIER.



JASPER:

FRIDAY, : : : JANUARY 5, 1872.

COMMON PLEAS COURT.—This Court, Judge Matvity presiding, and John C. Schaefer prosecuting the pleas of the State, has been in session this week.—The docket was very light, and the business all easily disposed of so that the Court adjourned on Wednesday. We give below a statement of the disposition of the different causes:

State vs Solomon Simmons—Fornication—continued for process.

State vs W. Kitterman 2 cases—A. & B.—continued for process.

" " L. Beller—A. & B.—continued for process.

" " H. Laswell carrying concealed weapons—continued for process.

" " H. Laswell—Assault—continued for process.

" " A. J. Beller—carrying concealed weapons—continued for process.

" " A. J. Beller—A. & B.—continued for process.

" " John Berger in 4 cases—Retailing—Acquitted.

" " M. Kemp—Retailing—Fine \$5.

" " W. C. Goodman—A. & B.—Fine \$1.

" " W. Bretz—Provocation—Fine \$1.

" " W. Bretz—M. Trespass—Nolle.

" " Matilda Bache—Surety of Peace—dismissed.

" " B. Hobbs—Provocation—continued for process.

" " T. Herbig—Retailing—Fine \$5.

" " Anthony Berger—A. & B.—Fine \$5 00.

" " Jno C. Harder—A. & B.—Fine \$5.

" " C. Ebe—Retailing—continued on bail.

" " L. Brace—Retailing—Nolle.

" " L. Betz—A. & B.—continued for process.

" " A. Brelage—A. & B.—Acquit'd.

" " M. Hess—Surety of Peace—dismissed.

" " A. Eckert, 2 cases retailing—Fine \$5 each.

" " A. Berger & A. McNerny—Malicious trespass—Acquitted.

Joe Burkhardt vs Eckerly—Partition—continued.

Chas. Morgan vs. C. Stucky—Partition—continued.

Wm. Demuth vs. John Tusse—Partition—continued.

W. H. Farris Adm'r. vs. McCrillius, et al.—Judgment against McCrillius.

C. Doane vs G. Danhofer—dismissed.

L. Betz vs J. Sermersheim & Joseph Lemond—continued for process.

Ph. Luis vs M. Kunkler & M. Reuss—Judgment by default for \$102, W. R.

J. H. Dickson vs Thos. Anderson—Appealed—Judgment for Piff. for \$4.

M. Greener, Adm'r vs Wm. Krodell & N. Munn—Judgment, W. R. \$155 30.

J. Herman vs M. Herman—Divorce granted.

E. Sternberg, Exr. vs. John Neihaus, et. al.—Dismissed.

E. Sternberg, Exr vs Jacob Schaefer, et al.—Dismissed.

H. Kenoyer vs Jos. Wells—Judgment for \$103 23.

G. Neihaus, guardian vs. L. Ahrensman's estate, Judgment for \$119 75.

G. Neihaus vs L. Ahrensman's estate, Judgment for \$223 75.

G. Neihaus vs L. Ahrensman's estate, Judgment for \$116 00.

E. Sternberg, Exr vs G. W. Chandler, et. al.—Judgment for \$13 75.

E. Sternberg, Exr vs John Neihaus—Dismissed.

J. D. Fisher vs A. Deig—Insanity—Verdict of Insanity and S. Kuebler, appointed Guardian.

M. Scheirich vs M. Reuss et al—Judgment of foreclosure for \$23 30.

Henry Graves vs Martha Graves—Divorce, refused.

A. J. Gossman vs Dyer D. Burton—Judgment for \$167 55.

M. Friedman vs. George Danhofer—Judgment for \$63 60.

State of Indiana on relation vs. Anthony Eckert—On bond—Dismissed at relation's cost.

L. Lang vs Eliza Hagen, Adm'r—continued.

M. J. Chapman et al—Partition ordered, and Commissioners appointed to wit—J. A. McMahon, H. Barker and A. Berry.

Geo. Friedman vs. Maria J. Miller—Insanity—Verdict of Insanity and Andrew McNerny appointed Guardian.

The road from Jasper to Loogoo-tee has got so bad, from want of being properly worked, and the wet, freezing weather combined, as to be nearly impassable for vehicles at times. On Tuesday last the mail from here had to be conveyed on horseback, and on Wednesday the mail being too large for horseback carrying, four fiery steeds were hitched to the milk wagon, and the fire taken out of them by the mud. The mail contractor groaned, and wished for the steam horse to hurry up.

Sinking Fund Distribution.
Several papers throughout the State have blamed the present State officers for the non-distribution of the Sinking Fund, as ordered by the last Legislature. We think this has been done because they were not fully informed as to all the facts. The truth is, a few Indianapolis capitalists desired to retain that large sum of money in that city, to aid their numerous city enterprises, and took the legal measures which have so far resulted in keeping it there, notwithstanding the vigorous legal resistance of the State officers to their plans. We find in the Indianapolis News, a Radical paper, the following article in regard thereto, which we commend for its fairness toward political opponents, and its truthful statement of the matter in controversy:

SINKING FUND DISTRIBUTION.—On the first instant the following petition was filed in the Supreme Court:

John C. Shoemaker, James B. Ryan and Norman Eddy vs. Joseph K. Sharpe, Alexander Shortridge and Francis Smith.

To the motion submitted herein by the Attorney General, upon the calling of the docket, we wish to add our personal requests that this case be advanced upon the docket. This petition is submitted upon the ground that there is involved herein a matter of public interest and of great importance to the whole people of the State, and we respectfully ask the Court to give it an early consideration.

John C. Shoemaker, Auditor of State. James B. Ryan, Treasurer of State. Norman Eddy, Secretary of State. I concur in the above recommendation.

MILTON B. HOPKINS, Superintendent of Public Instruction.

At this urgent solicitation of the State officers, the Court has advanced the case upon the docket and consented to take it up and consider it immediately after the holidays.

As a matter of justice to the State officers we can not refrain from condemning the harsh criticisms and abuse indulged in by certain journals in discussing the Distribution act and the injunction case growing out of it. We are in a position to know whereof we speak, and having watched all the proceedings closely from the very day of the passage of the act down to the present time, have no hesitation in saying that the Auditor and the State officers connected therewith, have from first to last done all that it was possible for them to do towards carrying this law into effect, by distributing the fund as contemplated in the act.

In the brief filed by the appellant's attorneys, Hons. B. W. Hanna, D. W. Voorhees, S. Claypool and W. R. Harrison, they take occasion to say:

"This act was promptly responded to by the present State Auditor, Treasurer and Secretary of State, who immediately upon its approval, set about preparing for the distribution of the fund in accordance with its provisions."

No one of the fault-finders, or all of them together, could have done more than has been done, and we very much doubt if any one of them under like circumstances would have done as much. Which of them having the certainty of using and controlling the fund during an entire term of two years would have promptly appealed from a decision leaving it in his hands, and have followed the appeal by a personal petition to the higher court for a speedy hearing of the case? It is our motto that justice should be done to all men, even to political opponents, and a sense of fairness requires us to say that the obstacles and obstructions thrown in the way of carrying into effect the Sinking Fund act are not, in our opinion, properly chargeable to the State officers or to any one of them."

Chas. Morgan vs. C. Stucky—Partition—continued.

Wm. Demuth vs. John Tusse—Partition—continued.

W. H. Farris Adm'r. vs. McCrillius, et al.—Judgment against McCrillius.

C. Doane vs G. Danhofer—dismissed.

L. Betz vs J. Sermersheim & Joseph Lemond—continued for process.

Ph. Luis vs M. Kunkler & M. Reuss—Judgment by default for \$102, W. R.

J. H. Dickson vs Thos. Anderson—Appealed—Judgment for Piff. for \$4.

M. Greener, Adm'r vs Wm. Krodell & N. Munn—Judgment, W. R. \$155 30.

J. Herman vs M. Herman—Divorce granted.

E. Sternberg, Exr. vs. John Neihaus, et. al.—Dismissed.

E. Sternberg, Exr vs Jacob Schaefer, et al.—Dismissed.

H. Kenoyer vs Jos. Wells—Judgment for \$103 23.

G. Neihaus, guardian vs. L. Ahrensman's estate, Judgment for \$119 75.

G. Neihaus vs L. Ahrensman's estate, Judgment for \$223 75.

G. Neihaus vs L. Ahrensman's estate, Judgment for \$116 00.

E. Sternberg, Exr vs G. W. Chandler, et. al.—Judgment for \$13 75.

E. Sternberg, Exr vs John Neihaus—Dismissed.

J. D. Fisher vs A. Deig—Insanity—Verdict of Insanity and S. Kuebler, appointed Guardian.

M. Scheirich vs M. Reuss et al—Judgment of foreclosure for \$23 30.

Henry Graves vs Martha Graves—Divorce, refused.

A. J. Gossman vs Dyer D. Burton—Judgment for \$167 55.

M. Friedman vs. George Danhofer—Judgment for \$63 60.

State of Indiana on relation vs. Anthony Eckert—On bond—Dismissed at relation's cost.

L. Lang vs Eliza Hagen, Adm'r—continued.

M. J. Chapman et al—Partition ordered, and Commissioners appointed to wit—J. A. McMahon, H. Barker and A. Berry.

Geo. Friedman vs. Maria J. Miller—Insanity—Verdict of Insanity and Andrew McNerny appointed Guardian.

The State Board of Agriculture have fixed the time for holding the next State Fair from September 30th, to October 5th.

SCHOOL EXAMINATION.

In accordance with the law, a Public Examination of persons desiring to teach Public Schools in Dubois county, will be held at the school house in Ireland, on

SATURDAY, January 13th, 1872.

Those desirous of obtaining license will please be present on that day.

Any person desiring to obtain license to teach, must be thoroughly qualified in the eight branches specified by law.

Teachers must bear in mind that under the new law no certificates for teaching can be granted hereafter at private examinations, and it is therefore important that they should attend the public examination, and bring with them a certificate of moral character from their respective Trustees.

A. J. STRAIN, School Examiner.

Jan. 5th, 1872.

ADMINISTRATOR'S SALE OF LAND.

NOTICE is hereby given, that by virtue of an order of the Court of Common Pleas of Dubois county, the undersigned Administrator with will annexed of the estate of Joseph Bescht deceased, will offer for sale at public auction on the premises, on

SATURDAY, February 4th, 1872,

an equal undivided two-thirds part of the north east quarter of section No. one (1) township No. three (3) range four (4) west, containing one hundred and forty acres more or less, in Dubois county, Indiana.

TERMS OF SALE.—One-third of the purchase money to be paid in cash, one-third in nine months, and one-third in eighteen months, the purchaser giving notes for said deferred payments, bearing interest, with approved surety, and waiving benefit of valuation laws.

Sale to begin at 2 o'clock, P. M.

MATHIAS BUECHLER,
Adm'r with will annexed.

WILL A. TRAYLOR, Atty.

Jan. 5th, 1872—4w.

Dubois Co. Delinquent Tax List, 1870

NAME OF OWNERS.	NUMBER.	DESCRIPTION OF LANDS AND LOTS.	SECTION.	TOWNSHIP.	ACRES.	HOMestead.	IMPROVEMENTS.	TAXES DUE.	TOTAL AMOUNT OF TAXES DUE.
COLUMBIA TP. NO. 1.									
Anderson R. & J.	50 1/2 se	32 1/2	3	80	230	15 50			
Andrews Nancy E.	70 1/2 w 1/2 se	8 1/2	3	40	120	4 95			
Baxter Albert H.	12 ptn w 1/2 se	11 1/2	3	39	170	5 45			
Baldy George II	30 n e n e	24 1/2	3	40	110	4 02			
Baily Monroe	33 s e s e	12 1/2	3	40	100	3 69			
Brundick E R	47 w 1/2 ne	8 1/2	3	20	80	2 80			
Converse George L.	66 s 1/2 w se	12 1/2	3	20	100	15 21			
do	75 n e	33 1/2	3	40	220	47 71			
Davies Mary J.	107 s w se	12 1/2	3	40	100	3 68			
Graham Robert	157 n w								