

The Sentinel.

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 24.

The Chinese believe in fat gods.
London fogs down the electric light.
Democrats in 1884 will keep step to the Ohio bugle blast.

Carey's widow has been granted a British pension of \$25 a week.

Henry Irving, the great English actor, arrived in New York last Saturday.

Mrs. General Tom Thumb is already abroad giving entertainments as the "wee widow."

Sas Francisco is doing a lively business in China girls, who are quoted at from \$500 to \$1,000.

Queen Victoria has a medalion of John Brown as big as a soap plate, which she wears as a breast-pin.

They are making paper now out of rice straw. One concern in Georgia turns out four tons daily.

Zora Burns, the young lady murdered in Illinois last week, is an Indiana girl. St. Elmo has been her home.

It begins to look as if a National Commission to inquire into the mysterious murders of women will soon be necessary.

"Dutch Hank," a well known gambler of Syracuse, N. Y., has died, leaving a hard-earned fortune of \$250,000.

N. P. Banks has taken the star against Butler in Massachusetts. In War parance, a fight suggests cotton and spoons.

A Brooklyn man has run away from his wife for the fourth time, leaving a note stating that this is positively his farewell tour.

A New York brunette says that the blondes are always selfish, that they are exceedingly affected in their manner toward gentlemen.

Topper, the "Proverbial Philosophy" poet of England, is seventy-three years old and poor, notwithstanding he has sold a million copies of his works.

Thirty-seven out of thirty-eight States with Democratic Governors begin to look like a unanimous conclusion that the Republican party must go.

A Boston clergyman says that God was preaching to his congregation while he was taking his vacation in Europe. Only a Boston congregation, however, can expect to be so favored.

A Botanist has discovered that by simply soaking the stems of cut flowers in a weak solution their colors can be altered at will without the perfume and the freshness being destroyed.

Since November last the redemption of 3 per cent. bonds has caused a contraction of the currency to the amount of \$10,000,000, and the banks are trying to devise some means of relief.

Among the blessings which the fall-of-leaf season brings the average newspaper reader will appreciate the ending of the base ball literature for 1883. At any rate, the editors will, if their readers don't.

Arthur's old dude, Brewster, has been compelled to acknowledge that States have some rights which Republican bosses are bound to respect. Democratic ideas are coming to the front grandly.

Becher says: "True goodness is like the glow-worm—it shines most when no eyes save those of heaven are upon it." H'm! We'd prefer some of the other kind, then, the kind that the rest of us see something of occasionally.

Wonder what Dorsey thinks of Ohio. Well the fact is that Dorsey and Brady and that wonderful acquittal may have had considerable to do with the Ohio victory. The people will not 'stand' everything.

Brogan never loses his gallantry. When he came home to his wife, the other night, and Mrs. B. told him she was ashamed of him, Brogan replied, "Better my wife (be) should be ashamed of me (lie), than that I should be ashamed of my wife."

An eighty-seven year old bridegroom has been discovered somewhere in Missouri. Trot the old chap up this way. We have not had a pleasant sensation for several weeks in this neighborhood. The melancholy days are come. This way with the bridegroom.

Judge Hoxley went to Philadelphia Saturday night to consult his physician as to a European tour for his health. Send him to some good spot, Doctor, where he will get well. He ran like "Jay Eye See," sick as he was. Wonder what he would do if he was feeling well?

"Tats is business with me as it may be with you," is the cheerful extract from an Albany undertaker's circular to his friends and customers, inviting them to call around and inspect the latest styles of coffins and burial caskets. The "funeral director" keeps abreast with the progress of the period.

A New Zealand parrot known as the "Zee" must go. They like nutmeg and will have it. They are said to have acquired this expensive taste gradually, having been content to peck at carcasses hung up in the markets. But in recent times they have developed sufficient audacity to attack the living sheep, and thus invited official destruction.

The leading New York journals pay taxes on their buildings in the following amounts: Herald, \$10,300; Times, \$18,587; Tribune, \$11,450; Evening Post, \$10,300; Sun, \$2,519; Commercial Advertiser, \$3,200; State Zettlung, \$7,213. These buildings are rated at the following named figures in the above order: \$150,000, \$375,000, \$500,000, \$450,000, \$110,000, \$140,000, \$315,000.

Judge Thurman was interviewed in Washington a day or two ago. He gave the cause of the Ohio victory in a nutshell—"The people are tired of the Republican party." We recommend it to the careful consideration

of Monsieur, the editor of the Commercial Gazette, also to "Pink" Fishback and a few other bolder radicals. The conclusion is that "the Republican party must go."

One emigration agency in Ireland has sent out 19,000 girls to America and the colonies, and it is computed that these girls have already sent back to their friends in Ireland upward of a quarter of a million sterling. "Bridget" is a power not only in its kitchens and over our dinner-tables, but also on the great highways of travel.

A Massachusetts minister says that he is appalled at the "subterranean elements" now being explored in Bay State politics. The ladies of Cleveland, O., who at the last election mixed somewhat at the polls that a favorite amendment might succeed, decided that man was at his worst on election day. Election days are bad days for poor and weak human nature.

The Review thinks that the proper thing would be to call the starved Andersonville prisoners "Andersonville Democrats," to offset the cry of "Tewksbury Republicans."

The fact is that there were a good many Democrats in Andersonville, perhaps more than Republicans. It was pretty well settled during the last canvass that more Democrats went to the army than Republicans. There went from Sullivan County, Indiana, one company with ninety Democrats in it and ten Republicans.

What will the Republicans do next year? They will be forced to make an honest fight, and they will get "licked." In 1876 they counted Hayes, although he had less votes than our man, and in 1880 Dorsey and greenbacks bought Garfield's election, and "Chit" is about all they have to show for it. Since then old Ben Butler and the Democratic party generally have been stripping the "g. o. p." of all its hypocrites. It is about time for a funeral. The Republican party must go.

It has just leaked out that when General Grant was at Jerusalem he refused a public reception because he did not think it fitting that a mere man should be unduly honored in the Holy Land. It has taken somebody a long time to get up this yarn, but it will pass—Chicago Herald.

What are you talking about? You must be new in the business. Grant's action on the occasion was universally indorsed by the newspapers of the country, and when, three or four years later, Lew Wallace went to Jerusalem he accepted of some sort of a reception there. The comparison made between the two was not favorable to Wallace. The Chicago Herald must keep posted.

A letter has been returned to the Pater-son (N. J.) Postoffice, on the back of which are twenty different stamps, showing that it has had the care of as many different post-masters. This letter contains a \$10 bill of exchange, and was mailed from Paterson in October last, but so badly addressed that the destination had to be guessed at. It was first sent to Ireland, and to every Postoffice of that island. It was then tried in Italy, where failure to find the owner caused it to be sent to China, and from the Oriental Empire it has been returned to the sender.

The frequency of crimes against women is becoming so alarming as to merit thoughtful consideration. In spite of the severe punishment prescribed in the statute books for this offense, and in spite, too, of that rigid unwritten law in many sections, which punishes with short, swift and hasty halter such offenders, the crime seems steadily increasing. What in our civilization leads to such results? Where is the remedy, and how shall it be applied? The subject is worthy the attention of all who hold the protection of woman as a paramount duty of civilization.

The Times is "all tore up" over the colored man and the Democratic party. Whatever the latter may do the colored people may rely upon one thing, and that is this, it will be genuine, reliable legislation, the sort that the colored man may "bank on." The Republican party always did contain a mob of quack-jawyers, many of whom wandered into Congress and into State Legislatures, drawing up and passing bills that were fruitless sources of mischief. Another batch found themselves in City Attorneys' ships and upon the Judge's bench. The latter piled up cases before the Supreme Court only to have them reversed and sent back again. This portion of the vineyard was unusually cursed in the direction indicated.

War is frequently the result of very trivial matters, and it may seem to us absurd that the recent insults offered to the young Spanish King in Paris by a handful of sans culottes and street gamins should threaten the peace of Europe, but the Franco-German war of 1871 was precipitated and ostensibly caused by an event less serious in its magnitude and bearing. Obviously the French Republic is not responsible for the admitted insult offered to King Alfonso by a Parisian rabble, but the Spanish Cabinet set it to take offense at the action of the French Government when evidently no offense was intended. President Grevy sent Alfonso a note of apology, which was probably all that could be expected to do under the circumstances, and now Alfonso's advisers propose to raise a disturbance because the apology was not paraded verbatim in the official gazette of the French Republic. The Spanish monarch was a blockhead and worse when he accepted from the German Emperor the complimentary rank and uniform of a German military officer, and then, thus decorated, visited the French capital. If he had possessed the sagacity of his humblest subject he would have known that such an act would be interpreted as an intentional insult to the French people, whose pride is still smarting and whose hearts are still sore over the humiliation and loss resulting from their conquest by the German power only a dozen years ago. The note of sympathy which Emperor William has seen fit to send to Alfonso, if read between the lines, would seem to indicate a willingness on the former's part to fan this little flame into the conflagration of a serious controversy, and possibly of an open breach. It is to be hoped that any complications, diplomatic or otherwise, which may arise out of the recent occurrence, President Grevy and his advisers will keep their heads and preserve the dignity of the Republic, and thus command the respect of the world.

Every gain is built upon some loss. The principle of the universal method is loss change. The seed dies and as a seed is lost

forever, but the rich beauty of the flower or the luxuriance of the ripe fruit, or the life-giving power of the harvest forbids the very idea of loss. The mineral dissolves and ascends in the sap to give life to the grass; the grass is lost in the animal structures that feed, and the animals in their turn supply the needs of human life. In each step is a loss indeed, but one which plainly involves a far greater gain. The chrysalis must have its long rest broken, its warm home displaced, its covering torn, but it emerges into a life of freedom and joy that it never could otherwise have known. Human life bears out the same truth in all its phases. Just as in climbing a ladder, we can only ascend one step by letting go another; so, all through life, we can not take one upward step except by letting go the one we now stand upon. The child must lose his infantile grace, his winning ways and something of his innocence to become the sturdy, active, inquiring youth, and the youth in his turn must lose much of his bounding gayety and eager enthusiasm to gain the stability, breadth of view and power of mature and intelligent manhood. So each species of happiness is gained by resigning some preceding one. The sensualist must give up the pleasures of vice ere he can know the delights of a happy home; the miser must resign the joy of counting his hoard before he can experience the happiness of a generous affection. The scholar gives up ease for knowledge; the philanthropist loses many personal advantages to find a higher happiness in others' good; the patriotic statesman lets go his private ambitions for his country's welfare. Each step involves a loss of the one that went before, but only to bring a better gain.

CHEERFULNESS.
"There's a dance of leaves in that aspen bower. There's a titer of wind in that orchard tree. There's a smile on the fruit, and a smile on the flower. And a laugh from the brook that runs to the sea."

Cheerful men and cheerful women are the salt of the earth—the light of the earth and the joy of the earth. The men and women who refuse to be cheerful are candidates for mad houses. People who are ceaselessly sad, carping, censorious, satirical, captious, snarling, snaphish, waspish, petulant, peevish, testy, cheerful, crabbed, morose, surly, ill-tempered, and ill-natured, ought to go into exile and hire habitations with locusts and owls. Get out of the way. The world can spare them. Cynical, misanthropic, man hating, woman hating men and women are unfortunates, half crazy, half blind, half deaf; they make themselves incapable of appreciating the harmonies and beauties of life. Wedding bells and birth beatitudes, heart happiness and soul felicity are unknown to them. Every bell note is a funeral knell. Every carriage is a hearse. To their distorted mental vision, every dry goods box is a coffin, and they transform everything human into a corpse. Sofas, lounges and easy chairs are so many cooling boards. The most fashionable costumes are shrouds and winding sheets, and their lives are an everlasting funeral. To cheerful men and women life is a poem, a song, an oratorio. Every hour a beautiful, lively, animated, joyous, buoyant and sunny. There is the highest moral excellence and moral heroism in cheerfulness. It is the philosophy of sunshine. The content of life content that come to the cheerful man is transformed into glorious summer by suns which he creates and makes the center of systems of joys which travel their shining circuits at his bidding, and which never set. It is always high noon with cheerful people. A real Christian is always cheerful. If clouds come in the morning he sees fair weather in the evening. When the storm cloud comes, fierce and black-browed, he looks for the covenant bow on its vengeful face as it passes away; when autumn winds and frosts denude the forests of their foliage he anticipates the spring time with all its wealth of beauty, and when death is his guest and bears away pearls from love's shining circle he looks forward to the time when reunions shall come in a land where separation is not known. Cheerfulness means that faith, hope and charity are doing a successful business in the world.

THE REPUBLICAN PARTY IN A QUANDARY.
There is to be a deal of discussion over the late decision of the Supreme Court relating to the constitutionality of the civil rights act of Congress passed in 1875. The act was passed by a Republican Congress, and now a Republican Supreme Court declares the first and second sections of the act unconstitutional. It will be observed that an unconstitutional law has been in operation for eight years. The decision of the Supreme Court fully establishes the truth of the Democratic charge, though against the Republican party, that from the day it came into power it made war upon the Constitution of the Republic. Never, in our history, has the Constitution been so regarded as the slightest consequence when the Republican party decided to perpetrate an infamy. In defiance of the Constitution and the decrees of battle, it declared States out of the Union, and this monstrous infamy it committed that it might riot in plunder, and give free rein to its implacable hates. In defiance of Constitutions it played autocrat, despot and devil, and bayoneted Legislatures out of existence, and, vandal like, obliterated all lines that separated law from license. Little by little reason was re-entrained, truth gained headway, liberty asserted its prerogatives, justice took possession of judicial tribunals, the rights of States under the Constitution were proclaimed and maintained, and, as the Constitution, the great charter of American liberties, towered aloft, like a mountain, swelling from the vale, "midway cleaves the storm," patriotic people have gathered around it, and beholding the scars which its enemies inflicted wounds, have sworn, with righteous indignation, that the Republican party should go. The Republican party has from the first been the enemy of State rights. Many of them have wanted a King. Blaine made his fight against Grant upon the single issue that his nomination in 1880 meant kingly rule, despotism, and the centralization of power in the hands of one man. The policy of the Republican party has been the old Alexander Hamilton Federal and Tory policy, a "strong Government," wielded by the few without considering the rights of the people. This infamous policy the Democratic party has opposed, and now the Democratic theory of government is as

vital and as powerful as in the day it was first proclaimed. Certain rights the States delegated, certain rights were reserved, and in the exercise of those reserved rights the States remained sovereign, above the reach of the Constitution. The Republican party has sought to invade the Constitution; the Democratic party is exalt it. The issue has been fairly made and now while the Republican party is being whipped out of power, a righteous penalty for its abominations, the Democratic party is coming into power with orations such as the world never witnessed, and to make the exhibition of Republican degradation as complete as possible, a Republican Supreme Court, led by Judas Bradley, applies the whip of fire to the back of the Republican party as its funeral procession passes along to a grave from which there will be no resurrection. In so far as the decision of the Supreme Court deals with the colored race it places it just where all other citizens are placed by the Constitution, no higher and no lower, on the same plane before the law, with the same opportunities for life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness—the Democratic doctrine, the Constitutional doctrine, the doctrine in accord with the genius of American institutions. The Republican idea of centralization is now exploded. Its last stronghold has been captured and annihilated, and the losses in trying to explain the humiliation that has overtaken them are in a quandary of remarkable proportions.

TARIFF QUESTIONS.
There are in the Democratic party two clearly defined lines of opinion respecting import duties. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

There is a difference of opinion as to the tariff. There are those who insist on a principle of a tariff for revenue only, and there are those who insist on a tariff for revenue and protection. The latter are the tariff protectionists. The former are the tariff reformers.

less his lawyer's fees. Is the sum of \$500 a sufficient penalty for the double outrage perpetrated by the Philadelphia quack? To totally mislead the sick man in regard to his ailments of liquid impotency, and require him to swallow it was a crime for which he should be sent to the Penitentiary. Medical quacks are insufferable nuisances—nuisances upon the body politic, social, moral, religious, and everything else in that line. They increase the death-rate, they ruin health and add indefinitely to physical pains and sorrows. They impose upon the ignorant and the credulous and make their lives a burden to themselves and to others. A medical quack is detestable, no matter from what point of observation his dimensions are taken.

PERSONALS.
CHESNEY NICHOLS has twenty nine trunks full of good clothes.

Most of Oscar Wilde's Manchester audience walked out. Oscar walked off with his money all the same.

MR. ANDREW BARTLETT, the young husband of the venerable Baroness Burdett Coutts, is said to be writing fairy tales.

MR. WHITEHEAD, who has owned the famous Lookout Mountain, in Tennessee, is about to sell the huge pile of rocks.

MR. JAMES GOODEN BENTLEY has commissioned Mr. Beaver Webb to design him a large steam launch for the Seine.

MR. ANDREW BARTLETT, the young husband of the venerable Baroness Burdett Coutts, is said to be writing fairy tales.

MR. WHITEHEAD, who has owned the famous Lookout Mountain, in Tennessee, is about to sell the huge pile of rocks.

MR. JAMES GOODEN BENTLEY has commissioned Mr. Beaver Webb to design him a large steam launch for the Seine.

MR. ANDREW BARTLETT, the young husband of the venerable Baroness Burdett Coutts, is said to be writing fairy tales.

MR. WHITEHEAD, who has owned the famous Lookout Mountain, in Tennessee, is about to sell the huge pile of rocks.

MR. JAMES GOODEN BENTLEY has commissioned Mr. Beaver Webb to design him a large steam launch for the Seine.

MR. ANDREW BARTLETT, the young husband of the venerable Baroness Burdett Coutts, is said to be writing fairy tales.

MR. WHITEHEAD, who has owned the famous Lookout Mountain, in Tennessee, is about to sell the huge pile of rocks.

MR. JAMES GOODEN BENTLEY has commissioned Mr. Beaver Webb to design him a large steam launch for the Seine.

MR. ANDREW BARTLETT, the young husband of the venerable Baroness Burdett Coutts, is said to be writing fairy tales.

MR. WHITEHEAD, who has owned the famous Lookout Mountain, in Tennessee, is about to sell the huge pile of rocks.

MR. JAMES GOODEN BENTLEY has commissioned Mr. Beaver Webb to design him a large steam launch for the Seine.

MR. ANDREW BARTLETT, the young husband of the venerable Baroness Burdett Coutts, is said to be writing fairy tales.

MR. WHITEHEAD, who has owned the famous Lookout Mountain, in Tennessee, is about to sell the huge pile of rocks.

MR. JAMES GOODEN BENTLEY has commissioned Mr. Beaver Webb to design him a large steam launch for the Seine.

MR. ANDREW BARTLETT, the young husband of the venerable Baroness Burdett Coutts, is said to be writing fairy tales.

MR. WHITEHEAD, who has owned the famous Lookout Mountain, in Tennessee, is about to sell the huge pile of rocks.

MR. JAMES GOODEN BENTLEY has commissioned Mr. Beaver Webb to design him a large steam launch for the Seine.

MR. ANDREW BARTLETT, the young husband of the venerable Baroness Burdett Coutts, is said to be writing fairy tales.

MR. WHITEHEAD, who has owned the famous Lookout Mountain, in Tennessee, is about to sell the huge pile of rocks.

MR. JAMES GOODEN BENTLEY has commissioned Mr. Beaver Webb to design him a large steam launch for the Seine.

MR. ANDREW BARTLETT, the young husband of the venerable Baroness Burdett Coutts, is said to be writing fairy tales.

MR. WHITEHEAD, who has owned the famous Lookout Mountain, in Tennessee, is about to sell the huge pile of rocks.

MR. JAMES GOODEN BENTLEY has commissioned Mr. Beaver Webb to design him a large steam launch for the Seine.

MR. ANDREW BARTLETT, the young husband of the venerable Baroness Burdett Coutts, is said to be writing fairy tales.

MR. WHITEHEAD, who has owned the famous Lookout Mountain, in Tennessee, is about to sell the huge pile of rocks.

MR. JAMES GOODEN BENTLEY has commissioned Mr. Beaver Webb to design him a large steam launch for the Seine.

MR. ANDREW BARTLETT, the young husband of the venerable