

Urge Easing of Natural Gas Curb

Committee Says Aim Is More Home Fuel

A special committee representing gas distributors today recommended that the Public Service Commission ease its restriction on the use of natural gas for home heating.

The recommendations were read to the commission by L. B. Schies, president of the Indiana Gas & Water Co. and chairman of the industry committee at a special hearing this morning.

The PSC issued an emergency order in December, 1948, restricting use of natural gas in conversion burners and a few months later clamped down on virtually all new space heating using natural gas.

More Gas Available

The committee's report at today's hearing, Mr. Schies said, was based on the assumption that more natural gas would be available in the state from interstate pipelines than in the past.

Recommendations by the distributors' committee were:

ONE: That restrictions on sale of space heating gas to new customers be made flexible enough to permit each company to decide how many new customers it could serve.

TWO: That service for new residential space heating be limited to single family dwellings.

THREE: That the present restriction be continued on the use of natural gas or mixed gas to heat apartment buildings, commercial and industrial buildings which do not already have gas heat.

FOUR: That there be no priority between new houses and houses already built for installation of gas heating units using natural or mixed gas.

FIVE: That distributing companies be permitted to supply gas for space heating to certain customers who will use a combination of gas and oil, provided such customers agree to keep a standby supply of other fuel on hand during peak gas heating seasons.

SIX: That municipal owned gas companies distributing natural gas be exempted from all restrictions.

SEVEN: That the Vincennes area and the Greensburg area which have natural gas from sources inside Indiana be exempted from restrictions on new space-heating with natural gas.

EIGHT: That each company affected set up roles for extending space-heating service subject to the approval of the PSC.

Although suppliers have anticipated an increase in the amount of natural gas available, a representative of the Chicago District Pipeline Corp. estimated that the amount of gas it could supply its Indiana customers would be the same next winter as it was last winter. The Chicago Pipeline supplies part of the natural gas distributed by Northern Indiana Public Service Co.

Mr. Schies said, however, the gas supply in southern and north central Indiana would be greatly improved next winter. He said the Texas-Eastern Transmission Corp., which serves southern Indiana, expects to have a new pipeline in operation by next Jan.

1. The Panhandle Pipeline Co. expects to have new facilities in use before the start of the new heating season in north central Indiana.

"The improvement won't be what we'd like to have," Mr. Schies said. "But it's a step in the right direction."

Sticks by Old Glory, Long May It Wave



Mr. and Mrs. Robert Stirling . . . Better a U. S. citizenship than a foreign title.

Local Resident May Spurn Baronet Title and Castle

Mortician Falls Heir to Scotland Honor After Death of His First Cousin at Age of 86

An Indianapolis undertaker today said he would rather be a U. S. citizen than a Scottish baronet.

Robert Wilson Stirling, 1422 Prospect St., who yesterday was notified he had inherited a Scottish baronetcy, said he would not accept the title "if it means giving up my American citizenship."

Mr. Stirling fell heir to the title of tenth Baronet Stirling of Gloriat in Scotland through the death of his first cousin, Sir George Stirling, ninth Baronet Stirling of Gloriat, who died Sunday at the age of 86.

Feelings Unchanged

The Indianapolis mortician had been notified more than a year ago that he was her presumptive. At that time he indicated he valued his citizenship more than a title and that he would not make a title and that he would not make a title.

His feelings were unchanged today when he learned the title was actually his for the taking.

His son, John Charles Stirling, who would be in line for the title if his father refused it, said he felt the same way. The next and last in line would be John Charles Stirling Jr., 1-year-old grandson of the baronet presumptive.

Mr. Stirling said a Mr. Han, king of De Brett's Peerage, a nobility register in London, called and asked if he would accept the title. He said he had replied he might consider it if it did not involve giving up U. S. citizenship and moving from this country to Scotland.

As Good as Anyones'

And, Mr. Hawkins said, "they think that a baronet ought to have a more dignified job than being an undertaker," Mr. Stirling said.

"I think it's as good as anyone's" the democratic Hoosier said.

With the title would go Dumbarston Castle and surrounding land in Scotland. Mr. Stirling said they had indicated that as baronet he would be expected to give up his business here and in Acton and look after the estate.

With the title would go Dumbarston Castle and surrounding land in Scotland. Mr. Stirling said they had indicated that as baronet he would be expected to give up his business here and in Acton and look after the estate.

OES CHAPTER TO MEET

Cumberland Chapter, OES, will meet at 8 p. m. Wednesday in Masonic Hall in Cumberland for a special Mother's Day program.

Lemon Ignores County Ultimatum

Refuses to Split Proceeds From Meters

Times State Series
BLOOMINGTON, May 5—Defying a Monroe County Board of Commissioners' ultimatum, Mayor Thomas L. Lemon, said today that no parking meters will be removed from the downtown area.

The commissioners feel that they are entitled to a share of the deposits from parking meters that line the curbside on the four squares surrounding the county courthouse.

Mayor Lemon, however, has an entirely different view.

The ultimatum, in effect, called for the mayor to cause the proceeds to be split with the board of commissioners, or move the meters within 10 days.

Feud Not Genuine

Mayor Lemon charges the meter feud is "not a genuine dispute."

He contends that the all-Repub-lican board has stirred up a political fight with the all-Democratic city administration.

Clyde Holmes, chairman of the county's board of commissioners, has declared that the county will "see the fight through to the finish."

Lemon Stands Pat

Mr. Holmes, who is leading the fight for an equal divvy of the meter deposits, charges the gadgets were installed on property around the courthouse, without permission of the commissioners' legal custodians of all county property.

Mayor Lemon indicated today that he would stand pat on the meter question—they stay where they are and the city collects and uses the money.

Mr. Holmes has promised "drastic action" will be taken if the county isn't paid its cut, or the meters aren't removed at the end of the 10-day period.

'Up to Trustees,' Jordan Director Tells Students

Protest Dismissal Of Two Teachers

"Any further action is up to the Board of Trustees."

That was the only statement Dr. Lloyd F. Sunderman, director of Jordan Conservatory, would make today, following yesterday's demonstration by Jordan students against the dropping of two faculty members from next year's roster.

Teachers affected by the cut in faculty personnel are Miss Dorothy Woods of the theory department and Miss Marguerite Carlson, head of the speech department and dramatic coach.

Dr. Sunderman said all student members of the Jordan Chorale, which he directs, were present for this morning's rehearsal.

Urged Boycott

Students yesterday had urged a student boycott of the Jordan Spring Festival May 16, 17 and 18, unless Dr. Sunderman offered his resignation as conservatory director, besides re-instating the two teachers and making a public report of the conservatory budget.

Some modification of student attitude was reported today by Don Kelly, student protest committee chairman.

"Yesterday's move definitely was not a strike," Mr. Kelly said. "But we do want to make it clear to the trustees that we feel Dr. Sunderman's administration has not been in the best interests of the school."

"We believe the teaching staff has deteriorated during Dr. Sunderman's directorship as a result of hasty dismissals of faculty members," Mr. Kelly said. "That's why we're recommending the trustees that Dr. Sunderman be asked to resign."

Hilton U. Brown, president of the Arthur Jordan Foundation's Board of Trustees, attending this

Latin America Dodges UN Probe

Venezuelan Junta Inspection Cubbyholed

By NAT BARROWS
Times Foreign Writer

LAKE SUCCESS, N. Y., May 5—Pressure from other Latin American countries has pulled the rug out from under the Uruguay-Guatemalan zeal in indict the present Venezuelan military junta before the United Nations.

As a result, the proposed joint resolution against the Caracas government remains in a cubbyhole and it appears unlikely that any formal step will be taken at this session of the United Nations General Assembly.

The attempt to censure the junta for "violation of human rights" reached the stage of a formal draft resolution and procedural talks with United Nations officials by a cry of protest first from Latin Americans and later from the United States persuaded Uruguay and Guatemala to back-track.

Undesired Examination

It was not considered an appropriate time to investigate a coup d'etat in Venezuela and thus, possibly, open the way for undivided examinations of other regimes south of the border.

Only Costa Rica, Panama and Chile gave any sign of support for the proposed joint resolution.

Actually, it is understood here that the military junta recently has freed a considerable number of political prisoners friendly to the Gallegos government overthrown last year.

Miss Woods, a faculty member for nine years, today said she had had "no warning whatsoever" of the nonrenewal of her contract.

As a graduate of Jordan myself, I hope all this publicity will not do the school any damage."

Miss Carlson's comment was:

"It isn't what was done—it's the way it was done that hurts."

Both teachers confirmed the student complaint yesterday that the move, attributed to economy, was made without adequate consultation with department heads involved.

Clues Probe Mystery

Hint of Fou in 1947

CRAWFORD
Discovery of a body which was found in a field which had been in the same two years ago has led to a new investigation.

Sheriff Roy Clegg said that the pipe, which was found in a field, was identified as the (Bill) Newlin, a coroner had been drowned, was the body of a woman.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.

The woman, whose body was found in the field, does not know if she was in the same place as Newlin.