

Society—

Betty Anne Evard, Mr. Patterson
Married in Ceremony at Church

MISS BETTY ANNE EVARD became the bride of Jack Downey Patterson at 2:30 o'clock this afternoon in the Irvington Methodist Church. Dr. Jean S. Milner of the Second Presbyterian Church read the vows.

The bride is the daughter of Mrs. Harry W. Evard Sr. and the bridegroom is the son of Mr. and Mrs. E. A. Patterson.

Mrs. Harry W. Evard Jr., sister-in-law of the bride, was the matron of honor. She wore a turquoise blue faille dress and a headpiece of red camellias.

The best man was James Miles, and the ushers were Walter Heacock, Madison, Wis.; William Watts, Carmel, and Jack Bailey.

A white satin gown with a marquiset yoke accented with seed pearls was worn by the bride. The full gathered skirt of the gown extended into a cathedral train.

Her two-tiered fingertip length veil of illusion veil fell from a tiara of orange blossoms, and she carried white camellias.

There was a reception in the church immediately following the ceremony. After a short wedding trip the couple will be at home at 5143 Carrollton Ave.

The bride and bridegroom were graduated from Butler University last June. She is a member of Pi Beta Phi Sorority and he is affiliated with Sigma Mu Fraternity.

Henry Wallace Will Destroy Own Goals

By ELEANOR ROOSEVELT

HYDE PARK, N. Y., Jan. 1—So

Henry Wallace is really going to

head a third party and run for

President in 1948! What strange

things the desire to be President

makes men do!

He has probably forgotten but I

remember his coming to see me in

the summer of 1945 in Washington.

At that time, I felt very strongly

that it would be good for the coun-

try if Henry Wallace, whom we all

believed in and admired, would

leave active politics and become the

leader of the Independents of the

country.

Their vote had increased greatly

in the years between 1928 and 1945,

but they needed leadership and orga-

nization.

They were neither Republicans

nor Democrats. They were primar-

ily interested in getting the kind of

leadership which would keep them

free of economic depressions.

And they wanted to continue

what had been a peaceful but

steady revolutionary movement

which had given us, over the years,

a greater number of people in the

middle-income brackets and fewer

people in the millionaire group or

in the substandard-income groups.

...THIS had been accomplished in

smaller countries like Norway, Swe-

den and Denmark, but it was a lit-

tle more complicated in a country

the size of ours and had to come

more gradually. It could be done

under our capitalistic system with

proper regulation and was being

done, but the independent vote of

the country was very largely re-

sponsible for the way our economy

and social thinking was developing.

I felt that out of politics Henry

Wallace could do a tremendous

valuable piece of work to keep both

of our political parties on their

toes; to make both of them less

prone to act for purely political

reasons; to make both of them real-

ize that to win any election this in-

dependent liberal vote was essen-

tial and must be courted by deeds

and words.

AT THAT time, Henry Wallace

told me he believed it was his duty

to stay and work in the Democratic

party. I knew then, as I know now,

that he was doing what he thought

was right. But he never has been

a good politician; he never has been

able to gauge public opinion, and he

never has picked his advisers wisely.

All of these things might have

been less important if he had been a

disinterested, nonpolitical leader

of liberal thought, but as a leader

of a third party, he will accomplish

nothing. He will simply do nothing.

Mr. Wallace's ideas are not

very things he wants to achieve. I

am sorry that he has listened to

people as inept politically as he is

himself.

that he was doing what he thought

was right. But he never has been

a good politician; he never has been

able to gauge public opinion, and he

never has picked his advisers wisely.

All of these things might have

been less important if he had been a

disinterested, nonpolitical leader

of liberal thought, but as a leader

of a third party, he will accomplish

nothing. He will simply do nothing.

Mr. Wallace's ideas are not

very things he wants to achieve. I

am sorry that he has listened to

people as inept politically as he is

himself.

that he was doing what he thought

was right. But he never has been

a good politician; he never has been

able to gauge public opinion, and he

never has picked his advisers wisely.

All of these things might have

been less important if he had been a

disinterested, nonpolitical leader

of liberal thought, but as a leader

of a third party, he will accomplish

nothing. He will simply do nothing.

Mr. Wallace's ideas are not

very things he wants to achieve. I

am sorry that he has listened to

people as inept politically as he is

himself.

that he was doing what he thought

was right. But he never has been

a good politician; he never has been

able to gauge public opinion, and he

never has picked his advisers wisely.

All of these things might have

been less important if he had been a

disinterested, nonpolitical leader

of liberal thought, but as a leader

of a third party, he will accomplish

nothing. He will simply do nothing.

Mr. Wallace's ideas are not

very things he wants to achieve. I

am sorry that he has listened to

people as inept politically as he is

himself.

that he was doing what he thought

was right. But he never has been

a good politician; he never has been

able to gauge public opinion, and he

never has picked his advisers wisely.

All of these things might have

been less important if he had been a

disinterested, nonpolitical leader

of liberal thought, but as a leader

of a third party, he will accomplish

nothing. He will simply do nothing.

Mr. Wallace's ideas are not

very things he wants to achieve. I

am sorry that he has listened to

people as inept politically as he is

himself.

that he was doing what he thought

was right. But he never has been

a good politician; he never has been

able to gauge public opinion, and he

never has picked his advisers wisely.

All of these things might have

been less important if he had been a

disinterested, nonpolitical leader

of liberal thought, but as a leader

of a third party, he will accomplish

nothing. He will simply do nothing.

Mr. Wallace's ideas are not

very things he wants to achieve. I

am sorry that he has listened to

people as inept politically as he is

himself.

that he was doing what he thought

was right. But he never has been

a good politician; he never has been

able to gauge public opinion, and he

never has picked his advisers wisely.

All of these things might have

been less important if he had been a

disinterested, nonpolitical leader

of liberal thought, but as a leader

of a third party, he will accomplish

nothing. He will simply do nothing.

Mr. Wallace's ideas are not

very things he wants to achieve. I

am sorry that he has listened to

people as inept politically as he is