

NEW MEMBERS PROPOSED FOR ORPHANS' HOME SOCIETY

Organization's
Meeting to Be
in September

Mrs. W. H. Krieg Heads
Committee for
Workers.

The names of prospective members for the Indianapolis Orphans' Home Auxiliary are being considered by a committee headed by Mrs. William H. Krieg.

The membership report is to be made at the organization's first meeting of the year to be called early in September when the president, Mrs. William W. Garstang, returns to the city.

A limit of 30 active members has been set by the auxiliary whose philanthropic work is done both at the orphans' home and at benefit events held away from the institution.

Members donate one hour weekly to projects which include Girl Scout work, driving the children to dentist, doctor and clinic appointments, or teaching constructive play.

Last year the organization gave one of the girls a scholarship to a business college in the city. It sponsored several performances of a circus in the city.

The auxiliary, founded in 1932 with Mrs. Wm. W. Garstang president, today has a membership of 41 active and inactive members. Meetings are held monthly at the Woodstock Country Club, usually following a luncheon.

Mrs. Robert Mason is general chairman of the unit's work and serves as a contact between the organization and the auxiliary.

Mr. John Shirley is vice president; Miss Caroline Hitz, treasurer; Miss Mary Luton, secretary, and Miss Betty Reed, publicity chairman.

REVEAL WEDDING OCCURRED JULY 25

Mr. and Mrs. Walter E. Stevens have announced the marriage of Mr. Stevens' sister, Miss Nola Mae Stevens, to Robert W. Stansbury, son of Mrs. Luella T. Stansbury.

The wedding took place July 25 at the home of Dr. C. M. Yocom, who officiated. Attendants were Miss Katherine Combs and John W. Cade.

The couple is at home at 3832 Park-av.

**Mrs. Davis Gives
Shower Tonight
for Miss Sharpe**

A personal shower is to be given tonight by Mrs. Tracy Davis honoring Miss Mary Phyllis Sharpe, whose engagement to Clarence August Wacker has been announced by her parents, Mr. and Mrs. John Cole Sharpe.

The wedding is to take place Aug. 14 at the Bethlehem Lutheran Church.

Guests with Miss Sharpe and her mother are to include Mesdames Dame Hannan, Melvin E. Robbins, Flora Stanford, Melville Teeters, Lawrence Sims, William Givens, Max De Vleten and J. W. Mull Jr., and Misses Wilma Aulenbacher, Hazel Guilo, Louise Troy, Lucille Morrison, Frances Brumit, Jean Goulding, Rebecca Blackley, Rosemary Byrket, Mary Elizabeth Ikard, Virginia Strang, Helen Light, Helen Carver, Hilda Greenberg, Ruth Hitzelberger, Dorothy Dauner, Martha Jane Bannister, Catherine Vogel and Virginia Showalter and Mrs. George Morris.

COUPLE TO MARRY SATURDAY EVENING

The marriage of Miss Mary Irene Lutick, daughter of Mrs. Mabel Lutick, and Eldon McCreary, son of Mr. and Mrs. Marvin McCreary, Greenfield, is to take place at 8:30 p. m. Saturday in the First United Brethren Church.

CEILING REGISTER AIRS VENTILATION

If there is an attic space over the second floor, that can be used for ventilating purposes, place a register in the ceiling of the hall, preferably over the stair, and build a wooden flue over the opening about three feet high. This will assist the draft and make the ventilation more positive.

OIL OF GERANIUM USED IN PERFUMES

Oil of geranium, popular as a perfume for soaps, bath powder and other toilet articles, is extracted from the stems and leaves of the plant.

So great is the demand for this scent that geraniums are a common crop in sections of north Africa, Spain and other Mediterranean countries.

Wedding Date Set
The marriage of Miss Lois Hunter, daughter of Mr. and Mrs. John W. Hunter, and Robert L. Goodwin is to take place Sept. 5.

Keep Cool

A tempting array of cold dishes, cold drinks and cold dessert, with full directions how to make and serve all of them is contained in our Washington Service Bureau 24-page, 10,000-word, bound booklet **COLD DISHES, DRINKS AND DESSERTS**. Get your copy of this booklet of recipes for hot weather use. Send the coupon below, with a dime enclosed, to cover return postage and handling costs.

CLIP COUPON HERE

Dept. B-108, The Indianapolis Times Service Bureau, 1013 Thirteenth Street, Washington, D. C.

Send me the booklet **COLD DISHES, DRINKS AND DESSERTS**, for which I enclose a dime (carefully wrapped):

NAME
STREET AND NUMBER
CITY STATE

I am a reader of The Indianapolis Times.

'Well, How Do You Do! You're Just in Time for Tea'



Martha Ann Scott (left) dresses up in a long dress and coaxes her young brother, Charles, to join in the make-believe tea party in their playhouse. Nancy Rodecker (center), daughter of Mr. and Mrs. Alfred Rodecker, digs out a grown-up dress from her play trunk and makes a call.

Martha Ann and Charles are children of Mr. and Mrs. Jasper P. Scott.

W. C. T. U. Units Meet This Week for Elections

Three units of the Women's Christian Temperance Union are to elect officers at meetings this week.

The Vayhinger Union meeting is to be at Mrs. Armen Harbison's home at 2 p. m. Thursday. The local directors are to report their year's work before the election. Mrs. Alonso Hulst is to preside, and Miss Senora Pruden is to lead devotions.

The Broad Ripple Union members are to choose leaders at a meeting at 2 p. m. Wednesday at the Broad Ripple Methodist Church.

Mrs. Harriet A. Orlitz is to be hostess for the Sarah A. Swain Union meeting at 11 a. m. tomorrow. Mrs. Walter Hardy is to lead the Bible study, and the Rev. Goldie Wetherell, devotions. Mrs. Ephie Johnson is to talk on "Alcohol Education." A luncheon is to be served, and officers elected.

WOODRUFF PLACE PARTY TOMORROW

A card party is to be given tomorrow night in the Woodruff Place town hall. Mr. and Mrs. Robert Fennell and Mr. and Mrs. Max Crawford are to be host and hostesses to Woodruff residents and their guests.

COAT RACK HOLDS TOYS OF CHILDREN

A portable coat rack for the children's room is an aid in teaching orderliness.

The rack is made with two upright posts fastened to a sturdy base and a horizontal rod stretching from the top of one post to the other.

Up-cubboards at both ends provide a place to store toys when they are not in use.

FLAPPER FANNY SAYS:

BY U. S. PAT. OFF.



This Is the First of Two Articles on Children

BY DR. PAUL HANLEY FURFEE

Associate Professor of Sociology, the Catholic University of America

A young human being is a very complicated creature. He is growing in mind and body and personality toward maturity, all at once, but perhaps at different rates.

We are interested in finding out how behavior becomes more mature. The little boy grows out of the stage where he is thrilled by a sandpiper, to the stage in which he plays Indian, then on up to baseball days, and his first dress suit and manhood.

Young children up to about 10 are individuals—rugged individualists. They enjoy playing together, but they are too young for most games played by teams. It is a great age for make-believe, and the boys dramatize themselves as cowboys, G-men, storekeepers, and all the other grown-up roles that look attractive and important.

Tag Is Greatest Sport

At 6 the greatest sport in the world is tag, in one form or another. I have seen 6-year-olds playing a game of tag from the sidewalk, with all the wild excitement of fans at a major league ball game.

These young individualists are not ashamed to play with girls. A group of five think nothing of it until the sixth year, perhaps later. Then, they begin to shut girls out of one sport after another. They are growing toward a new stage of development—the gang age.

Gang age sounds wild and inelegant, but the term does express the wave of devotion that a boy of 10 begins to feel for his chosen gang. He is no longer satisfied with a ball in any sort of simple ball game. He wants to belong to a team. Remarkable clubs are formed with secret passwords, and a clubhouse. The boy is eager to join the Boy Scouts.

**Women Industrial Workers
Watch Ohio Wage Law Test**

COLUMBUS, O., Aug. 10—(NEA)—More than 1,000 women employed in industry, together with labor officials of 14 states, have their eyes on Ohio, where a Federal three-judge statutory court is weighing its ruling on the constitutionality of the Ohio minimum wage law for women.

A woman is among the judicial trio. She is Judge Florence Allen of the Sixth District Circuit Court of Appeals. The others are Mell G. Underwood and Robert R. Nevin, Federal district judges.

On the decision of these three jurists, who have heard evidence in two cases, may hang all efforts to protect women from wage exploitation by unscrupulous employers.

Two months ago the United States Supreme Court knocked out a New York law regulating hours and wages of women in industry. The law was considered by many legal experts likely to stand the test of constitutionality.

But it failed, by a 5 to 4 vote.

On the majority opinion was hardly dry when the constitutionality of the Ohio act was challenged. Since it is virtually identical with the New York act, many believed a court order declaring it a dead letter would be a mere formality.

The Ohio act will probably bring that decision.

Promise that, if the statutory Federal court declares the Ohio act invalid, the fight to preserve it will be carried to the United States Supreme Court. The case is made by O. B. Chapman, director of the Department of Industrial Relations, charged with enforcement of the law.

Youngsters Obey Readily

More careful readings of the majority and minority opinions on the New York act, however, raised a new hope for the Ohio act—and with it hope for the minimum wage legislation of 14 other states.

States besides Ohio and New York, having minimum wage laws for women and virtually interested in the outcome of the Ohio constitutional test are California, Connecticut, Illinois, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, North Dakota, Oregon, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Utah, Washington and Wisconsin.

Some legal authorities, among them Atty. Gen. John W. Bricker of Ohio, point out that the United States Supreme Court, in passing on the New York act, was bound upon that law by the New York Court of Appeals.

In view of that construction, it is argued, the Supreme Court was bound to hold that the New York

law was no different than a District of Columbia law declared invalid in 1923.

Meanwhile, in the hope that the highest court might be prevailed upon to make a broader ruling on the constitutional questions, or even reverse its decision in the District of Columbia case, New York officials have petitioned for rehearing.

Court May Sidestep

The Supreme Court may temporarily sidestep the issue by refusing the rehearing when the petition is heard next October, on the ground that the New York case does not properly present the difference between the New York act and the old invalidated District of Columbia law, inasmuch as the highest court of New York state ruled that identical objectionable features were in both acts.

Such a stand of the Supreme Court would delay a clear decision on the minimum wage question until a new case could be brought before it.

The Ohio act will probably bring that decision.

Promise that, if the statutory Federal court declares the Ohio act invalid, the fight to preserve it will be carried to the United States Supreme Court. The case is made by O. B. Chapman, director of the Department of Industrial Relations, charged with enforcement of the law.

Youngsters Obey Readily

More careful readings of the majority and minority opinions on the New York act, however, raised a new hope for the Ohio act—and with it hope for the minimum wage legislation of 14 other states.

States besides Ohio and New York, having minimum wage laws for women and virtually interested in the outcome of the Ohio constitutional test are California, Connecticut, Illinois, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, North Dakota, Oregon, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Utah, Washington and Wisconsin.

Some legal authorities, among them Atty. Gen. John W. Bricker of Ohio, point out that the United States Supreme Court, in passing on the New York act, was bound upon that law by the New York Court of Appeals.

In view of that construction, it is argued, the Supreme Court was bound to hold that the New York

law was no different than a District of Columbia law declared invalid in 1923.

Meanwhile, in the hope that the highest court might be prevailed upon to make a broader ruling on the constitutional questions, or even reverse its decision in the District of Columbia case, New York officials have petitioned for rehearing.

Court May Sidestep

The Supreme Court may temporarily sidestep the issue by refusing the rehearing when the petition is heard next October, on the ground that the New York case does not properly present the difference between the New York act and the old invalidated District of Columbia law, inasmuch as the highest court of New York state ruled that identical objectionable features were in both acts.

Such a stand of the Supreme Court would delay a clear decision on the minimum wage question until a new case could be brought before it.

The Ohio act will probably bring that decision.

Promise that, if the statutory Federal court declares the Ohio act invalid, the fight to preserve it will be carried to the United States Supreme Court. The case is made by O. B. Chapman, director of the Department of Industrial Relations, charged with enforcement of the law.

Youngsters Obey Readily

More careful readings of the majority and minority opinions on the New York act, however, raised a new hope for the Ohio act—and with it hope for the minimum wage legislation of 14 other states.

States besides Ohio and New York, having minimum wage laws for women and virtually interested in the outcome of the Ohio constitutional test are California, Connecticut, Illinois, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, North Dakota, Oregon, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Utah, Washington and Wisconsin.

Some legal authorities, among them Atty. Gen. John W. Bricker of Ohio, point out that the United States Supreme Court, in passing on the New York act, was bound upon that law by the New York Court of Appeals.

In view of that construction, it is argued, the Supreme Court was bound to hold that the New York

law was no different than a District of Columbia law declared invalid in 1923.

Meanwhile, in the hope that the highest court might be prevailed upon to make a broader ruling on the constitutional questions, or even reverse its decision in the District of Columbia case, New York officials have petitioned for rehearing.

Court May Sidestep

The Supreme Court may temporarily sidestep the issue by refusing the rehearing when the petition is heard next October, on the ground that the New York case does not properly present the difference between the New York act and the old invalidated District of Columbia law, inasmuch as the highest court of New York state ruled that identical objectionable features were in both acts.

Such a stand of the Supreme Court would delay a clear decision on the minimum wage question until a new case could be brought before it.

The Ohio act will probably bring that decision.

Promise that, if the statutory Federal court declares the Ohio act invalid, the fight to preserve it will be carried to the United States Supreme Court. The case is made by O. B. Chapman, director of the Department of Industrial Relations, charged with enforcement of the law.

Youngsters Obey Readily

More careful readings of the majority and minority opinions on the New York act, however, raised a new hope for the Ohio act—and with it hope for the minimum wage legislation of 14 other states.

States besides Ohio and New York, having minimum wage laws for women and virtually interested in the outcome of the Ohio constitutional test are California, Connecticut, Illinois, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, North Dakota, Oregon, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Utah, Washington and Wisconsin.

Some legal authorities, among them Atty. Gen. John W. Bricker of Ohio, point out that the United States Supreme Court, in passing on the New York act, was bound upon that law by the New York Court of Appeals.

In view of that construction, it is argued, the Supreme Court was bound to hold that the New York

law was no different than a District of Columbia law declared invalid in 1923.

Meanwhile, in the hope that the highest court might be prevailed upon to make a broader ruling on the constitutional questions, or even reverse its