

# ROOSEVELT'S FIERY SPEECH STIRS CONFLICTING VIEWS OF NATION'S POLITICAL LEADERS

Fletcher Critical, but Other Republicans Find It Commendable.

By United Press

WASHINGTON, Jan. 4.—Bitter differences over political and economic policies and the relationships of big and little men to government and to each other emerged today as a nation expressed its opinion of President Roosevelt's report on the state of the Union.

Republican and Democratic cleavage was evident. But party allegiance did not always determine individual reactions to the Roosevelt speech.

There were dissenters in both camps. Rep. William Lemke (R., N. D.) thought it was "a darned good speech" brought on by ignorant opposition of Henry P. Fletcher. Rep. Martin Kennedy (D., N. Y.) said he thought it was a "wimpy washy speech" without anything constructive in it.

Rep. Kennedy criticised the President for assuming a supercilious attitude and his speech was for "public consumption and appealed to the lowest instincts rather than to the lofty ideals which he should require as President. I expected a masterpiece but listened to clippings."

Senator Joseph T. Robinson, Senate Democratic leader, said:

"The message is confined to a discussion of the state of the union and leaves for future occasions specific suggestions touching legislation.

"There is an emphatic declaration for continuing the policy or neutrality respecting present and threatened war between foreign countries.

## Fletcher Is Indignant

"The President also strongly defends the New Deal and challenges its opponents in words that ring with confidence and defiance."

Chairman Henry P. Fletcher of the Republican National Committee, said:

"Aside from the neutrality feature of the address, the speech was what we expected it to be. It did not give to the Congress information on the state of the Union or recommend to their consideration such measure as he shall judge necessary or expedient."

"It was a political harangue. It was a challenge to a straw man to submit to a subservient and gagged Congress the favorite socialistic features of the New Deal. The Republican party, however, will submit the New Deal and all its works to the whole people in the coming campaign and we have no fear of the verdict."

Senator Rush D. Holt, junior Democrat from West Virginia, said: "Great stuff."

"A great speech," said Rep. Edward A. Kenney (D., N. J.). Rep. Carl E. Maper (R., Mich.) said the speech as "an appeal to class prejudice."

## Dramatic, Says McNary

Rep. John A. Martin (D., Colo.) thought "there wasn't a weak note in it," Rep. John W. Martin Jr. (R., Mass.) saw it as "defensive speech" and "an attempt to stem the tide against New Deal policies and a poor one."

Speaker Joseph W. Byrnes termed it a "great speech" and just what the country needed." Senator Robert Bulkley (D., O.) said "Fine," and Senator Dennis Chavez (D., N. M.) exclaimed "Wonderful!"

Senator Arthur Capper (R., Kas.) and Senator Robert Carey (R., Wyo.) saw it as a political speech. Senator Gerald P. Nye (R., N. D.), however, thought it was "A great speech and certainly a challenge to the Republican Party if ever a challenge was laid down to it."

## Others Express Approval

The speech "just suited" Senator Hugo Black (D., Ala.), and Senator Hatfield Cawley (R., Ark.), the only women Senator, "heartily approved of it." Senator Robert P. Wagner (D., N. Y.) said "Splendid" and so did Senator James Byrnes (D., S. C.).

Senator Bennett Champ Clark (D., Mo.) said "It represents an advance in international relations." Senator James Couzens (R., Mich.) "hated it." A grand speech, he said to his statement that he didn't believe in retreat."

In Senator John G. Townsend (R., Del.) joined Senator Arthur H. Vandenberg (R., Mich.) in asserting the speech was a "bid for re-election." Senator William E. Borah, a possible Republican presidential candidate, didn't care to comment.

## Cabinet Member Pleased

Past Master General James A. Farley, who also is chairman of the Democratic National Committee, said "It was one of the best speeches the President ever made."

Other Cabinet comment included Secretary of State Cordell Hull, who described the speech as "excellent"; Atty. Gen. Homer S. Cummings, who said it was "great," and Secretary of the Treasury Henry Morgenthau Jr., who thought it "a magnificent speech." Secretary of the Navy Claude Swanson said "It was a grand speech."

Chairman Pat Harrison of the Senate Finance Committee said "it brought vividly to life what the American people know is true." Senator Alden Barkley (D., Ky.) said "it breathes the spirit of dominant courage." Senator James P. Pope (D., Idaho) commended it as "a fighting speech" while Senator Edward Costigan (D., Colo.) said it was "instructive, courageous, Roosevelt-like."

## Byrd Aid to Talk at Fort Wayne

FORT WAYNE, Ind., Jan. 4.—The Fort Wayne Optimist Club is to have as its guest speaker tonight Dr. Thomas C. Poulter, second in command of the second Byrd Antarctic expedition. Dr. Poulter is to lecture on "The Byrd Expedition in the Field."

## Byrd Aid to Talk at Fort Wayne

FORT WAYNE, Ind., Jan. 4.—The Fort Wayne Optimist Club is to have as its guest speaker tonight Dr. Thomas C. Poulter, second in command of the second Byrd Antarctic expedition. Dr. Poulter is to lecture on "The Byrd Expedition in the Field."

By United Press

BATTLE CREEK, Mich., Jan. 4.—A young couple and their 3-month-old baby burned to death in a fire that destroyed their home here early today. The dead were: Clare R. Culver, 21; his wife, Beulah, 17, and the baby, Lovista Vivian.

3 Burned to Death in Home

By United Press

During the last 40 years, Mr. Sinnott said, the court had been invited about 10 times to hear the President's message. Only once—when President Wilson delivered his war message—did members of the court attend when not expressly invited.

Hailed in Argentine

BUENOS AIRES, Jan. 4.—Leading Argentine newspapers gave President Roosevelt's message to Congress unusual prominence today, but made no comment.

The Herald, English language newspaper, said: "It will rank among the greatest pronouncements made to the American people during their long and splendid history. Almost alone among world figures, President Roosevelt had the courage to portray facts, to say what he thinks and to place world events in true perspective."

# Doctor Lured to Death by Fake Telephone Call; Mystery Woman Sought

Battered Body of Chicago Specialist, Shot Once Through Head, Found in Car 21 Hours Later; Victim Known as Model Husband.

By United Press

CHICAGO, Jan. 4.—A mysterious woman was hunted by police today in the murder of Dr. Silber C. Peacock, 40, specialist in children's diseases and model husband. Dr. Peacock was lured from his home by a telephone call and found brutally beaten and shot once in the head 21 hours later.

It was the second tragedy for his wife, Mrs. Ruth Peacock, who returned from the funeral of her father at Quincy, Ill., Thursday night.

THE NEW YORK TIMES (Ind. Dem.)—His defense of his own Administration was, as had been indicated in advance, a challenge to his political enemies and a rallying summons to his supporters. But he gave his first place and highest importance to his message to a discussion of war and peace. He uses great plainness of speech, which will not please those who are active in the forms of "arbitrarian government" to which he refers. It has led to war during the last three months. Mr. Roosevelt undertakes to define exactly the purposes and plans of American neutrality. It is evident that the President hopes to make the role of the United States still more effective along those lines.

THE NEW YORK HERALD TRIBUNE (Ind. Rep.)—His major defense of his program is couched in the language of a William Jennings Bryan—we almost said Huey Long—and it holds neither concrete proposals nor definite facts. It was expected a masterpiece but listened to clippings.

SENATOR JOSEPH T. ROBINSON, Senate Democratic leader, said:

"The message is confined to a discussion of the state of the union and leaves for future occasions specific suggestions touching legislation.

"There is an emphatic declaration for continuing the policy or neutrality respecting present and threatened war between foreign countries.

THE NEW YORK TIMES (Ind. Dem.)—His defense of his own Adminis-

tration was, as had been indicated in advance, a challenge to his political enemies and a rallying summons to his supporters. But he gave his first place and highest importance to his message to a discussion of war and peace. He uses great plainness of speech, which will not please those who are active in the forms of "arbitrarian government" to which he refers. It has led to war during the last three months. Mr. Roosevelt undertakes to define exactly the purposes and plans of American neutrality. It is evident that the President hopes to make the role of the United States still more effective along those lines.

THE NEW YORK HERALD TRIBUNE (Ind. Rep.)—His major defense of his program is couched in the language of a William Jennings Bryan—we almost said Huey Long—and it holds neither concrete proposals nor definite facts. It was expected a masterpiece but listened to clippings.

SENATOR JOSEPH T. ROBINSON, Senate Democratic leader, said:

"The message is confined to a discussion of the state of the union and leaves for future occasions specific suggestions touching legislation.

"There is an emphatic declaration for continuing the policy or neutrality respecting present and threatened war between foreign countries.

THE NEW YORK TIMES (Ind. Dem.)—His defense of his own Adminis-

tration was, as had been indicated in advance, a challenge to his political enemies and a rallying summons to his supporters. But he gave his first place and highest importance to his message to a discussion of war and peace. He uses great plainness of speech, which will not please those who are active in the forms of "arbitrarian government" to which he refers. It has led to war during the last three months. Mr. Roosevelt undertakes to define exactly the purposes and plans of American neutrality. It is evident that the President hopes to make the role of the United States still more effective along those lines.

THE NEW YORK HERALD TRIBUNE (Ind. Rep.)—His major defense of his program is couched in the language of a William Jennings Bryan—we almost said Huey Long—and it holds neither concrete proposals nor definite facts. It was expected a masterpiece but listened to clippings.

SENATOR JOSEPH T. ROBINSON, Senate Democratic leader, said:

"The message is confined to a discussion of the state of the union and leaves for future occasions specific suggestions touching legislation.

"There is an emphatic declaration for continuing the policy or neutrality respecting present and threatened war between foreign countries.

THE NEW YORK TIMES (Ind. Dem.)—His defense of his own Adminis-

tration was, as had been indicated in advance, a challenge to his political enemies and a rallying summons to his supporters. But he gave his first place and highest importance to his message to a discussion of war and peace. He uses great plainness of speech, which will not please those who are active in the forms of "arbitrarian government" to which he refers. It has led to war during the last three months. Mr. Roosevelt undertakes to define exactly the purposes and plans of American neutrality. It is evident that the President hopes to make the role of the United States still more effective along those lines.

THE NEW YORK HERALD TRIBUNE (Ind. Rep.)—His major defense of his program is couched in the language of a William Jennings Bryan—we almost said Huey Long—and it holds neither concrete proposals nor definite facts. It was expected a masterpiece but listened to clippings.

SENATOR JOSEPH T. ROBINSON, Senate Democratic leader, said:

"The message is confined to a discussion of the state of the union and leaves for future occasions specific suggestions touching legislation.

"There is an emphatic declaration for continuing the policy or neutrality respecting present and threatened war between foreign countries.

THE NEW YORK TIMES (Ind. Dem.)—His defense of his own Adminis-

tration was, as had been indicated in advance, a challenge to his political enemies and a rallying summons to his supporters. But he gave his first place and highest importance to his message to a discussion of war and peace. He uses great plainness of speech, which will not please those who are active in the forms of "arbitrarian government" to which he refers. It has led to war during the last three months. Mr. Roosevelt undertakes to define exactly the purposes and plans of American neutrality. It is evident that the President hopes to make the role of the United States still more effective along those lines.

THE NEW YORK HERALD TRIBUNE (Ind. Rep.)—His major defense of his program is couched in the language of a William Jennings Bryan—we almost said Huey Long—and it holds neither concrete proposals nor definite facts. It was expected a masterpiece but listened to clippings.

SENATOR JOSEPH T. ROBINSON, Senate Democratic leader, said:

"The message is confined to a discussion of the state of the union and leaves for future occasions specific suggestions touching legislation.

"There is an emphatic declaration for continuing the policy or neutrality respecting present and threatened war between foreign countries.

THE NEW YORK TIMES (Ind. Dem.)—His defense of his own Adminis-

tration was, as had been indicated in advance, a challenge to his political enemies and a rallying summons to his supporters. But he gave his first place and highest importance to his message to a discussion of war and peace. He uses great plainness of speech, which will not please those who are active in the forms of "arbitrarian government" to which he refers. It has led to war during the last three months. Mr. Roosevelt undertakes to define exactly the purposes and plans of American neutrality. It is evident that the President hopes to make the role of the United States still more effective along those lines.

THE NEW YORK HERALD TRIBUNE (Ind. Rep.)—His major defense of his program is couched in the language of a William Jennings Bryan—we almost said Huey Long—and it holds neither concrete proposals nor definite facts. It was expected a masterpiece but listened to clippings.

SENATOR JOSEPH T. ROBINSON, Senate Democratic leader, said:

"The message is confined to a discussion of the state of the union and leaves for future occasions specific suggestions touching legislation.

"There is an emphatic declaration for continuing the policy or neutrality respecting present and threatened war between foreign countries.

THE NEW YORK TIMES (Ind. Dem.)—His defense of his own Adminis-

tration was, as had been indicated in advance, a challenge to his political enemies and a rallying summons to his supporters. But he gave his first place and highest importance to his message to a discussion of war and peace. He uses great plainness of speech, which will not please those who are active in the forms of "arbitrarian government" to which he refers. It has led to war during the last three months. Mr. Roosevelt undertakes to define exactly the purposes and plans of American neutrality. It is evident that the President hopes to make the role of the United States still more effective along those lines.

THE NEW YORK HERALD TRIBUNE (Ind. Rep.)—His major defense of his program is couched in the language of a William Jennings Bryan—we almost said Huey Long—and it holds neither concrete proposals nor definite facts. It was expected a masterpiece but listened to clippings.

SENATOR JOSEPH T. ROBINSON, Senate Democratic leader, said:

"The message is confined to a discussion of the state of the union and leaves for future occasions specific suggestions touching legislation.

"There is an emphatic declaration for continuing the policy or neutrality respecting present and threatened war between foreign countries.

THE NEW YORK TIMES (Ind. Dem.)—His defense of his own Adminis-

tration was, as had been indicated in advance, a challenge to his political enemies and a rallying summons to his supporters. But he gave his first place and highest importance to his message to a discussion of war and peace. He uses great plainness of speech, which will not please those who are active in the forms of "arbitrarian government" to which he refers. It has led to war during the last three months. Mr. Roosevelt undertakes to define exactly the purposes and plans of American neutrality. It is evident that the President hopes to make the role of the United States still more effective along those lines.

THE NEW YORK HERALD TRIBUNE (Ind. Rep.)—His major defense of his program is couched in the language of a William Jennings Bryan—we almost said Huey Long—and it holds neither concrete proposals nor definite facts. It was expected a masterpiece but listened to clippings.

SENATOR JOSEPH T. ROBINSON, Senate Democratic leader, said:

"The message is confined to a discussion of the state of the union and leaves for future occasions specific suggestions touching legislation.

"There is an emphatic declaration for continuing the policy or neutrality respecting present and threatened war between foreign countries.

THE NEW YORK TIMES (Ind. Dem.)—His defense of his own Adminis-

tration was, as had been indicated in advance, a challenge to his political enemies and a rallying summons to his supporters. But he gave his first place and highest importance to his message to a discussion of war and peace. He uses great plainness of speech, which will not please those who are active in the forms of "arbitrarian government" to which he refers. It has led to war during the last three months. Mr. Roosevelt undertakes to define exactly the purposes and plans of American neutrality. It is evident that the President hopes to make the role of the United States still more effective along those lines.

THE NEW YORK HERALD TRIBUNE (Ind. Rep.)—His major defense of his program is couched in the language of a William Jennings Bryan—we almost said Huey Long—and it holds neither concrete proposals nor definite facts. It was expected a masterpiece but listened to clippings.

SENATOR JOSEPH T. ROBINSON, Senate Democratic leader, said:

"The message is confined to a discussion of the state of the union and leaves for future occasions specific suggestions touching legislation.

"There is an emphatic declaration for continuing the policy or neutrality respecting present and threatened war between foreign countries.

THE NEW YORK TIMES (Ind. Dem.)—His defense of his own Adminis-

tration was, as had been indicated in advance, a challenge to his political enemies and a rallying summons to his supporters. But he gave his first place and highest importance to his message to a discussion of war and peace. He uses great plainness of speech, which will not please those who are active in the forms of "arbitrarian government" to which he refers. It has led to war during the last three months. Mr. Roosevelt undertakes to define exactly the purposes and plans of American neutrality. It is evident that the President hopes to make the role of the United States still more effective along those lines.

THE NEW YORK HERALD TRIBUNE (Ind. Rep.)—His major defense of his program is couched in the language of a William Jennings Bryan—we almost said Huey Long—and it holds neither concrete proposals nor definite facts. It was expected a masterpiece but listened to clippings.

SENATOR JOSEPH T. ROBINSON, Senate Democratic leader, said:

"The message is confined to a discussion of the state of the union and leaves for future occasions specific suggestions touching legislation.

"There is an emphatic declaration for continuing the policy or neutrality respecting present and threatened war between foreign countries.

</div