

LITTLE THEATER LEADER DEAD; RITES ARE SET

Mrs. Ethel W. Henley Was Prominent in Dramatic Affairs Here.

Mrs. Ethel W. Henley, 2729 College avenue, died yesterday, following an operation. Funeral services will be held at the Clyde V. Montgomery undertaking establishment at 10 tomorrow, with burial in Crown Hill cemetery.

Mrs. Henley was one of the leaders in the Little Theater, which later became the Civic Theater. She played a number of seasons with a stock company, and was a former vaudeville singer. She came to Indianapolis when a child and was a graduate of Emerson Manual Training high school.

Surviving her is a sister, Mrs. C. D. Burton.

Rites for Ex-Chief's Wife

The body of Mrs. Margaret Beatty Quigley, who died yesterday morning at the home of her son, Albert J. Quigley, 33 Washington boulevard, will be held at the Kregel & Bailey funeral parlors until tomorrow night. Funeral services will be held at the son's home at 2 Thursday.

Mrs. Quigley had lived in Indianapolis for fifty-five years. She was past president of Indianapolis Post 4 of the American Legion auxiliary and a member of the Second Church of Christ, Scientist. During the World war she was active in Red Cross and Liberty bond work.

Surviving her are the widower, James F. Quigley, former chief of the Indianapolis police department; the son, Albert; three daughters, Mrs. E. H. Clark of Forest Hills, N. Y.; Mrs. Robert G. Barber of Chicago, and Mrs. Emerson C. Cook of West Palm Beach, Fla.; two sisters, Mrs. R. H. Post and Mrs. Wilson Cummings, both of Detroit, and a brother, Thomas Trueman of Marion.

Services for Crash Victim

Funeral services for Alva Morrison Kline, 27, 322 North Emerson avenue, will be held at 2:30 tomorrow in the home. Burial will be in Memorial Park cemetery. Mr. Kline died Sunday night in S. Francis hospital of injuries received in an automobile accident Aug. 28.

Mr. Kline attended Butler university, and was a graduate of Indiana university. He was a member of Tau Kappa Tau and Beta Pi fraternities.

Surviving are the parents, Mr. and Mrs. Harvey Kline; two sisters, Misses Grace and Georgia Kline; and three brothers, Robert, Harvey R. and Fred Kline.

Hold Burial in Attica

Funeral services for J. Frederick Connell were held this morning in the Clyde V. Montgomery funeral home. Burial was in Attica.

Mr. Connell's body was found in a garage basement on East St. Clair street Sunday. He had disappeared late Friday night. Death was from natural causes, according to Deputy Coroner John Sab.

Contract Bridge

BY W. E. MCKENNEY
Secretary American Bridge League

SLAM bidding is, of course, always thrilling. While the bidding in the following hand is not complicated, it is interesting and brings out several important bidding steps in the constructive one over one system of bidding.

South, the dealer, must pass, as his hand contains no bidable suit, no re-bid values. Do not consider bidding a no trump with this type of hand, as it must be a great deal stronger.

(Copyright, 1933, by NEA Service, Inc.)

HOME-COMING AT BUTLER SET

Grid Game With Wabash to Be Feature of 1933 Celebration.

Butler university will observe its 1933 home-coming celebration Oct. 21, according to an announcement today by Bruce L. Kershner, chairman of the university committee on public occasions.

Plans for the events are in the hands of Dr. Kershner and a committee of eight faculty members.

The Butler-Wabash football game will be the feature of the day's program. An alumni steak roast on the campus and a radio broadcast for graduates unable to attend the celebration will be among the other features.

Fraternity and sorority houses will be decorated according to the usual custom. A silver loving cup having the most attractive and original decorations. A maximum limit of \$5 has been set beyond which the organizations can not go in purchasing decorations.

Alumni of the various Greek letter groups will be entertained with dinners at the chapter houses following the game. A dance at the Claypool, sponsored by the senior class, will terminate the home-coming program.

West also will pass. North has a choice of two bids—he may open with one spade or a no trump. I believe the no trump to be the better bid, as it immediately will tell his partner that he holds an exceptionally fine hand. Remember that an original no trump always shows a strong hand.

East will pass. South has had his partner say that he has a very fine hand. If that is true, there is a possible slam in the hand. South's proper response is three no trump.

THIS jump to three no trump is a mild slam try. It is by no means a sign-off bid. If South were not interested in going any further, he simply would bid two no trump and his partner would bid three, but in jumping to three no trump, he states that he too has a very fair hand.

West passes, and North now can

POLICY HOLDERS WILL PRESENT CASE TO COURT

Judge Cox Declares State Is Inconsistent in Fight.

Arguments on the receivership of the Indiana Mutual Insurance Company, brought in behalf of policy holders by John Morgan, will be heard by Circuit Judge Earl R. Cox this afternoon.

The suit, alleging the company is insolvent and that the appointment of a receiver is necessary to conserve and protect the assets of policy holders, was filed March 29, and has been the subject of numerous legal battles since.

After the filing of the original suit, the attorney-general's office brought another receivership suit in superior court one, before Superior Judge John Kern.

Later the two suits were consolidated in circuit court and Judge Cox sustained a demurmer to the state's suit today, declaring that the state's position was "inconsistent."

"Two state officials of equal status are at variance in this matter," Judge Cox ruled. "The attorney-general seeks a receiver because the company is insolvent, but the company still is operating under a license granted by the state insurance commissioner."

After the filing of the original suit, the attorney-general's office brought another receivership suit in superior court one, before Superior Judge John Kern.

Later the two suits were consolidated in circuit court and Judge Cox sustained a demurmer to the state's suit today, declaring that the state's position was "inconsistent."

"Two state officials of equal status are at variance in this matter," Judge Cox ruled. "The attorney-general seeks a receiver because the company is insolvent, but the company still is operating under a license granted by the state insurance commissioner."

After the filing of the original suit, the attorney-general's office brought another receivership suit in superior court one, before Superior Judge John Kern.

Later the two suits were consolidated in circuit court and Judge Cox sustained a demurmer to the state's suit today, declaring that the state's position was "inconsistent."

"Two state officials of equal status are at variance in this matter," Judge Cox ruled. "The attorney-general seeks a receiver because the company is insolvent, but the company still is operating under a license granted by the state insurance commissioner."

After the filing of the original suit, the attorney-general's office brought another receivership suit in superior court one, before Superior Judge John Kern.

Later the two suits were consolidated in circuit court and Judge Cox sustained a demurmer to the state's suit today, declaring that the state's position was "inconsistent."

"Two state officials of equal status are at variance in this matter," Judge Cox ruled. "The attorney-general seeks a receiver because the company is insolvent, but the company still is operating under a license granted by the state insurance commissioner."

After the filing of the original suit, the attorney-general's office brought another receivership suit in superior court one, before Superior Judge John Kern.

Later the two suits were consolidated in circuit court and Judge Cox sustained a demurmer to the state's suit today, declaring that the state's position was "inconsistent."

"Two state officials of equal status are at variance in this matter," Judge Cox ruled. "The attorney-general seeks a receiver because the company is insolvent, but the company still is operating under a license granted by the state insurance commissioner."

After the filing of the original suit, the attorney-general's office brought another receivership suit in superior court one, before Superior Judge John Kern.

Later the two suits were consolidated in circuit court and Judge Cox sustained a demurmer to the state's suit today, declaring that the state's position was "inconsistent."

"Two state officials of equal status are at variance in this matter," Judge Cox ruled. "The attorney-general seeks a receiver because the company is insolvent, but the company still is operating under a license granted by the state insurance commissioner."

After the filing of the original suit, the attorney-general's office brought another receivership suit in superior court one, before Superior Judge John Kern.

Later the two suits were consolidated in circuit court and Judge Cox sustained a demurmer to the state's suit today, declaring that the state's position was "inconsistent."

"Two state officials of equal status are at variance in this matter," Judge Cox ruled. "The attorney-general seeks a receiver because the company is insolvent, but the company still is operating under a license granted by the state insurance commissioner."

After the filing of the original suit, the attorney-general's office brought another receivership suit in superior court one, before Superior Judge John Kern.

Later the two suits were consolidated in circuit court and Judge Cox sustained a demurmer to the state's suit today, declaring that the state's position was "inconsistent."

"Two state officials of equal status are at variance in this matter," Judge Cox ruled. "The attorney-general seeks a receiver because the company is insolvent, but the company still is operating under a license granted by the state insurance commissioner."

After the filing of the original suit, the attorney-general's office brought another receivership suit in superior court one, before Superior Judge John Kern.

Later the two suits were consolidated in circuit court and Judge Cox sustained a demurmer to the state's suit today, declaring that the state's position was "inconsistent."

"Two state officials of equal status are at variance in this matter," Judge Cox ruled. "The attorney-general seeks a receiver because the company is insolvent, but the company still is operating under a license granted by the state insurance commissioner."

After the filing of the original suit, the attorney-general's office brought another receivership suit in superior court one, before Superior Judge John Kern.

Later the two suits were consolidated in circuit court and Judge Cox sustained a demurmer to the state's suit today, declaring that the state's position was "inconsistent."

"Two state officials of equal status are at variance in this matter," Judge Cox ruled. "The attorney-general seeks a receiver because the company is insolvent, but the company still is operating under a license granted by the state insurance commissioner."

After the filing of the original suit, the attorney-general's office brought another receivership suit in superior court one, before Superior Judge John Kern.

Later the two suits were consolidated in circuit court and Judge Cox sustained a demurmer to the state's suit today, declaring that the state's position was "inconsistent."

"Two state officials of equal status are at variance in this matter," Judge Cox ruled. "The attorney-general seeks a receiver because the company is insolvent, but the company still is operating under a license granted by the state insurance commissioner."

After the filing of the original suit, the attorney-general's office brought another receivership suit in superior court one, before Superior Judge John Kern.

Later the two suits were consolidated in circuit court and Judge Cox sustained a demurmer to the state's suit today, declaring that the state's position was "inconsistent."

"Two state officials of equal status are at variance in this matter," Judge Cox ruled. "The attorney-general seeks a receiver because the company is insolvent, but the company still is operating under a license granted by the state insurance commissioner."

After the filing of the original suit, the attorney-general's office brought another receivership suit in superior court one, before Superior Judge John Kern.

Later the two suits were consolidated in circuit court and Judge Cox sustained a demurmer to the state's suit today, declaring that the state's position was "inconsistent."

"Two state officials of equal status are at variance in this matter," Judge Cox ruled. "The attorney-general seeks a receiver because the company is insolvent, but the company still is operating under a license granted by the state insurance commissioner."

After the filing of the original suit, the attorney-general's office brought another receivership suit in superior court one, before Superior Judge John Kern.

Later the two suits were consolidated in circuit court and Judge Cox sustained a demurmer to the state's suit today, declaring that the state's position was "inconsistent."

"Two state officials of equal status are at variance in this matter," Judge Cox ruled. "The attorney-general seeks a receiver because the company is insolvent, but the company still is operating under a license granted by the state insurance commissioner."

After the filing of the original suit, the attorney-general's office brought another receivership suit in superior court one, before Superior Judge John Kern.

Later the two suits were consolidated in circuit court and Judge Cox sustained a demurmer to the state's suit today, declaring that the state's position was "inconsistent."

"Two state officials of equal status are at variance in this matter," Judge Cox ruled. "The attorney-general seeks a receiver because the company is insolvent, but the company still is operating under a license granted by the state insurance commissioner."

After the filing of the original suit, the attorney-general's office brought another receivership suit in superior court one, before Superior Judge John Kern.

Later the two suits were consolidated in circuit court and Judge Cox sustained a demurmer to the state's suit today, declaring that the state's position was "inconsistent."

"Two state officials of equal status are at variance in this matter," Judge Cox ruled. "The attorney-general seeks a receiver because the company is insolvent, but the company still is operating under a license granted by the state insurance commissioner."

After the filing of the original suit, the attorney-general's office brought another receivership suit in superior court one, before Superior Judge John Kern.

Later the two suits were consolidated in circuit court and Judge Cox sustained a demurmer to the state's suit today, declaring that the state's position was "inconsistent."

"Two state officials of equal status are at variance in this matter," Judge Cox ruled. "The attorney-general seeks a receiver because the company is insolvent, but the company still is operating under a license granted by the state insurance commissioner."

After the filing of the original suit, the attorney-general's office brought another receivership suit in superior court one, before Superior Judge John Kern.

Later the two suits were consolidated in circuit court and Judge Cox sustained a demurmer to the state's suit today, declaring that the state's position was "inconsistent."

"Two state officials of equal status are at variance in this matter," Judge Cox ruled. "The attorney-general seeks a receiver because the company is insolvent, but the company still is operating under a license granted by the state insurance commissioner."

After the filing of the original suit, the attorney-general's office brought another receivership suit in superior court one, before Superior Judge John Kern.

Later the two suits were consolidated in circuit court and Judge Cox sustained a demurmer to the state's suit today, declaring that the state's position was "inconsistent."

"Two state officials of equal status are at variance in this matter," Judge Cox ruled. "The attorney-general seeks a receiver because the company is insolvent, but the company still is operating under a license granted by the state insurance commissioner."

After the filing of the original suit, the attorney-general's office brought another receivership suit in superior court one, before Superior Judge John Kern.

Later the two suits were consolidated in circuit court and Judge Cox sustained a demurmer to the state's suit today, declaring that the state's position was "inconsistent."

"Two state officials of equal status are at variance in this matter," Judge Cox ruled. "The attorney-general seeks a receiver because the company is insolvent, but the company still is operating under a license granted by the state insurance commissioner."

After the filing of the original suit, the attorney-general's office brought another receivership suit in superior court one, before Superior Judge John Kern.

Later the two suits were consolidated in circuit court and Judge Cox sustained a demurmer to the state's suit today, declaring that the state's position was "inconsistent."

"Two state officials of equal status are at variance in this matter," Judge Cox ruled. "The attorney-general seeks a receiver because the company is insolvent, but the company still is operating under a license granted by the state insurance commissioner."

After the filing of the original suit, the attorney-general's office brought another receivership suit in superior court one, before Superior Judge John Kern.

Later the two suits were consolidated in circuit court and Judge Cox sustained a demurmer to the state's suit today, declaring that the state's position was "inconsistent."

"Two state officials of equal status are at variance in this matter," Judge Cox ruled. "The attorney-general seeks a receiver because the company is insolvent, but the company still is operating under a license granted by the state insurance commissioner."

After the filing of the original suit, the attorney-general's office brought another receivership suit in superior court one, before Superior Judge John Kern.

Later the two suits were consolidated in circuit court and Judge Cox sustained a demurmer to the state's suit today, declaring that the state's position was "inconsistent."

"Two state officials of equal status are at variance in this matter," Judge Cox ruled. "The attorney-general seeks a receiver because the company is insolvent, but the company still is operating under a license granted by the state insurance commissioner."

After the filing of the original suit, the attorney-general's office brought another receivership suit in superior court one, before Superior Judge John Kern.

Later the two suits were consolidated in circuit court and Judge Cox sustained a demurmer to the state's suit today, declaring that the state's position was "inconsistent."

"Two state officials of equal status are at variance in this matter," Judge Cox ruled. "The attorney-general seeks a receiver because the company is insolvent, but the company still is operating under a license granted by the state insurance commissioner."

After the filing of the original suit, the attorney-general's office brought another receivership suit in superior court one, before Superior Judge John Kern.

Later the two suits were consolidated in circuit court and Judge Cox sustained a demurmer to the state's suit today, declaring that the state's position was "inconsistent."

"Two state officials of equal status are at variance in this matter," Judge Cox ruled. "The attorney-general seeks a receiver because the company is insolvent, but the company still is operating under a