

## YOUNG TELLS OF MILLIONS LOANED INSSL

Describes Transactions at Senate Probe Into Utilities Crash.

*UPI* WASHINGTON, Feb. 16.—Loans of several million dollars to the Inssl utility group were described today by Owen D. Young after the senate inquiry into the collapsed utilities empire had produced charges that the Inssl organizations reported profits to the public while listing losses for income tax purposes.

Charges that the Inssl company was informing the public of profits while recording losses to the government were made before Young testified by Ferdinand Pecora, committee counsel.

Pecora forced Samuel Inssl Jr. to testify to profit and loss statements by offering photostatic copies of the corporation's tax returns.

Inssl read from an accountant's report showing Inssl Utilities Investment, Inc., earned \$10,343,072.24 in the calendar year 1930. Pecora immediately handed him the income tax return for 1930. It showed a loss of \$6,493,377.53.

Public reports of the Investment Trust profits in 1931 aggregated \$5,240,540.36.

"Did you sell securities to the public on the basis of the reported net earnings in 1930 and 1931?" "Yes, sir, through dealers," Inssl replied.

## Here Are Last Pictures; Now Choose Your Cabinet



Now you can start selection of a cabinet for President-Elect Franklin D. Roosevelt. Here is the last of three groups of pictures of cabinet possibilities, the first and second having appeared in The Times Tuesday and Wednesday.

On Monday, a picture of a "headless cabinet" was printed in The Times. To choose your cabinet, you clip the heads of those whom you think will be selected by Roosevelt as his advisers and paste them on this "headless cabinet."

If you think some man (or woman) whose picture did not appear in any of the three groups will be chosen, just write his or her name in the space left for the head, or you can paste in a picture of your candidate if you have one.

All entries must be in the mail before midnight, Wednesday, Feb. 22. Judges will be announced next week. They will check on the correctness after the official list is announced by Mr. Roosevelt, making

HARRY WOODRING, Kan., farmer, oil producer, former Governor; JOHN S. COHEN, Ga., newspaper publisher, senator by appointment; GEORGE H. DERN, Utah, banker, now Governor.

DANIEL J. TOBIN, labor leader, A. F. or L. candidate.

WILLIAM E. WOODIN, N. Y., industrialist.

ALBERT C. FITCHIE, Md., lawyer, now serving fourth term as Governor.

ARTHUR F. MULLEN, Neb.: lawyer, assistant to James Farley in managing Roosevelt's campaign.

FRANK MURPHY, Minn., former Governor.

ALBERT C. FITCHIE, Md., lawyer, now serving fourth term as Governor.

ARTHUR F. MULLEN, Neb.: lawyer, assistant to James Farley in managing Roosevelt's campaign.

FRANK MURPHY, Minn., former Governor.

DANIEL J. TOBIN, labor leader, A. F. or L. candidate.

WILLIAM E. WOODIN, N. Y., industrialist.

HARRY WOODRING, Kan., farmer, oil producer, former Governor.

JOHN S. COHEN, Ga., newspaper publisher, senator by appointment.

GEORGE H. DERN, Utah, banker, now Governor.

## BOY, SHOT BY GOLF PRO, ASKS \$25,000 IN SUIT

Permanent Injuries Are Claimed: Wounded Last Summer at Speedway.

Charging he was injured permanently when he was shot at the Speedway golf course last summer, Edward Olnski, 16, of 735 Concord street, today sued for \$25,000 damages from operators of the course and Charles (Chuck) Garris, professional golfer. The shooting climaxed a caddy war at the course.

Other defendants to the suit filed in circuit court, are: Indianapolis Motor Speedway, Speedway Golf Corporation and Gerald R. Button, brother-in-law of Garris, who assisted at the course.

Olnski was shot Aug. 16, when Garris carried out threats to "sting" members of a gang of boys who he alleged, had been stealing golf balls from players.


Olnski's suit alleges he was walking along the creek at the course with another boy when shot. Eight shots still remain in his body, the complaint states.

Garris was arrested following the shooting on charges of shooting with intent to kill. The county grand jury recommended his discharge last fall, and he was released by Judge Frank P. Baker.

The suit further alleges the defendants had Olnski arrested on

false charges. These later were dismissed, the suit asserts.

## AVIATOR TO SPEAK



Lieutenant A. F. Hegenberger

Lieutenant Albert F. Hegenberger, who in 1927 won world fame for his flight from Oakland, Cal., to Honolulu, will address the local chapter, National Aeronautic Association, at 8 tonight at the Antlers.

Lieutenant Hegenberger, whose flight with Lieutenant Lester J. Maitland was the first nonstop hop to Honolulu, will discuss his perilous Pacific trip and also recent developments in aviation.

The general public is invited to attend the meeting, at 8, and also a dinner at 6:30 in honor of Lieutenant Hegenberger.

**Bridges Over Missouri Planned**

LINCOLN, Neb., Feb. 16.—The Nebraska legislature Wednesday sought co-operation of the Iowa legislature in providing jointly owned bridges across the Missouri river between the states. Sites suggested for acquiring of bridges were South Sioux City, Decatur, Blair, Omaha, Plattsmouth, and Nebraska City.

## CITY REJECTS PROPOSAL FOR NATURAL GAS

Cincinnati Banking Firm at Once Withdraws Its Offer.

(Continued From Page One)

mit was issued only after agreement that no gas would be sold to the suburbs of Indianapolis.

It is in position to sell natural gas by building only fourteen miles of line. But, so asserted the Westheimer offer, the company can not afford to meet its prices because of the rates charged by it to other cities.

Reason for the refusal, it is asserted, was in the fear that the sales of gas, now low, would not increase sufficiently to use the minimum required in the proposed contract and still maintain the present plants, which produce coke and tar as by-products and provide much of the company revenue.

"All that we asked was a thorough investigation of our offer by experts on gas," said Wickham. "Our offer is withdrawn. It could be renewed. We believe that an investigation would show that we could save the people of Indianapolis at least \$600,000 a year, provide a more economical fuel and at the same time make more profitable the gas company when the city takes it over.

We do not feel that our offer

has received the consideration to which it was entitled. We know that your city eventually will use natural gas. That is the present trend and development. But it also is our opinion that, in turning down our offer, the way has been left open for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia, and the terms of our offer then will prove interesting, for purposes of comparison, with what your people will be forced to pay.

for much higher rates when we are out of the field.

With us we retire and the chance is gone, there will be but one source, Columbia