

Club Halloween Circus Afforded Opportunity for Noting Fashion's Trend

By Josephine Doran

A Club one always sees a smart crowd of well-dressed Indianapolis women, but never before such a throng of glittering feminine chic as attended the Circus at the club Wednesday, as a Halloween celebration we guess. When I say throng, I mean throng, too. Three of the floors of the club were thrown open for dining and dancing and even at that took superhuman neck-cranning to see who was there, because, as a matter of fact, it meant stretching your neck around the shoulder of your next door neighbor. The only place was at the Stevens in Chicago during the Navy-Note Dame football game.

And fun! Bare back riders, tumblers, clowns, elephants, saw dust ring, silly hats topping sedate heads that never wore anything but a Stetson, horns, marvelous music for dancing, lots of canvas so you could just feel like you were in a tent, and balloons—oh, millions of balloons every place.

Mrs. George Lemcke was there in flowing green chiffon; Mrs. F. O. Marquette in pink chiffon and sequins; Mrs. Marcus Warrender wore a lovely sea green chiffon robe de style; Mrs. R. V. Law was in blue with sequins; Mrs. Harry Rickhardt wore a bouffant black taffeta; Mrs. Ray O'Reilly wore white beaded in crystal; Mrs. William J. Coughlin, white splashed all over with gold sequins; Mrs. Ray Fox, black point de' esprit; Mrs. Thomas Mahaffey, white beaded in crystal; Mrs. William Baker, red chiffon; and Mrs. Richard Hennessey, honey chiffon robe de style.

Among the young set were Miss Cornelia Lemcke in green chiffon, embroidered with peacock feathers; Miss Margaret Denby in royal blue beaded with crystal, with American beauty colored shoes and a flower of the same color on one shoulder; Miss Betty Gould in black chiffon and rhinestones; Miss Evelyn Barnes, black velvet; Miss Imogene Shea in black chiffon with a stunning gold sequin jacket; Miss Dorothy Clune in black chiffon with rhinestones and Miss Rosemary Clune in yellow taffeta robe de style.

And the trend might be taken to be black and more black for evening. Long full skirts. And more circuses.

Mrs. Ayres to Be Hostess at Tea to Voters League

A membership tea for the Indianapolis League of Women Voters will be held at the home of Mrs. Frederic M. Ayres, 5700 Sunset boulevard, Friday, it was announced Wednesday at a meeting of the board of directors held at the home of Mrs. Frank H. Streightoff, 3343 North New Jersey street.

Discussion of plans for a lecture to be given early in November by James K. Pollock, Jr., member of the faculty in the department of political science at the University of Michigan, also took place. Mrs. Edna M. Christian made plans for further educational education.

Mrs. Robert Franklin Davidson, leader of the study group, reported findings on "International Co-operation to Prevent War." Mrs. Neal Campbell, chairman of the committee to study groups, presented tentative plans for study groups to be held later in the year. The meeting was presided over by Mrs. Warren K. Mannon, president.

Auxiliary to Meet

The women's auxiliary to the Southern Club will hold its first meeting of the autumn season at the home of the president, Mrs. W. D. Browning, 5122 Kenwood avenue, at 12:30 Friday afternoon, with Mrs. W. B. Frehoffer as assistant hostess. The club is not only a social organization, but interests itself in the Happy Little Cripple unit of the Riley Hospital Cheer guild, making garments each year.

Card Party Friday

The members of the Irvington Willing Workers will entertain at a card party at 2:30 Friday afternoon at 126 North Kealing avenue. Mrs. Christie Holland is in charge.

Home-Coming Postponed

Owing to the death of William R. Wycoff, the home-coming celebration of the Southport Baptist church, to have been held Sunday, has been postponed.

Again?

Every month, her mother must tell them at the office. Another busines girl who has not discovered Midol! Functional disturbance does not interfere with duty when these wonderful tablets are taken. They bring complete ease in five to seven minutes; no matter how difficult a time you've always had! Midol is marvelous, as countless women can tell you. And it is non-narcotic, as any druggist familiar with the formula can assure you. The quick and complete relief is due to its acting directly on the organs affected. Midol is obtainable at any drugstore for fifty cents, in aluminum case.*



INDIANAPOLIS WOMAN OWNS BEST SHAWL COLLECTION



At the left Mrs. Archibald Hall wears a Paisley shawl made after a design used for Queen Victoria. At the right, she is wearing a very old Kashmire, presented by an Indian prince to her cousin, a Polish noblewoman.

WOMEN'S AID BODY OF RAILROAD MEETS

An informal reception, a business meeting and banquet formed the program of the meeting of the Pennsylvania Railroad Women's Aid of the southwestern division held at the Columbia Club Tuesday afternoon. Mrs. C. E. Whittle, Indianapolis, was in charge of the affair, attended by more than two hundred members.

Annual reports of superintendents of various units were read and Mrs. T. J. Ridgely, newly elected superintendent of the Indianapolis organization was presented by the retiring superintendent, Mrs. R. N. Nace.

Superintendents of other divisions are Mrs. R. C. Barnard, Cincinnati, O.; Mrs. J. C. Rice, Columbus, O.; Mrs. C. E. Whittle, general superintendent, Indianapolis, and Miss Mae Vought, Terre Haute.

Honor House Guests

Mr. and Mrs. Earl Cunningham, 2315 College avenue, entertained Wednesday evening with a costume ball to honor their house guests, Mr. and Mrs. William Fanning, Chicago, and Mr. and Mrs. Clifford Hoke, Louisville, Ky. A treasure hunt was a feature of the entertainment. Mrs. Cunningham was assisted by Mrs. Elizabeth Watterson Hughes.

Club Directors Meeting

Mrs. George J. Mess, 6237 Central avenue, will be hostess for the meeting of the board of directors of the Indiana Artists' club at her home this evening. Robert Craig, chairman of the membership committee, announces the following new members: Mrs. Helen Woodward, Miss Lenore Bernhoeft and Della Haselton; and Elmer E. Taftinger. The next meeting will be held Friday evening, Nov. 9, at the Propylaeum.

Mrs. Chenoweth to Speak

The Business and Professional Women's Club of Anderson will entertain this evening with a dinner at the Y. W. C. A. Mrs. Blanch Chenoweth, Indianapolis, will be the principal speaker. Her address, "Lights Out," will be presented following the regular dinner hour. Mrs. Chenoweth, a member of the American Pen Women's League, addressed a group of students at the high school this morning.

Home-Coming Postponed

Owing to the death of William R. Wycoff, the home-coming celebration of the Southport Baptist church, to have been held Sunday, has been postponed.

Prize Recipes by Readers

NOTE—The Times will give \$1 for each recipe submitted by a reader, judged to be sufficient merit to be printed in the column. One prize will be given daily except Friday, when twelve are given. Address Recipe Editor, twelve of the Times. Prizes will be mailed to winners.

Butterscotch Dip

Two cups brown sugar, 1/2 cup butter, 1 cup of cream. Cook in double boiler for one hour. Serve over cup cakes with whipped cream.

MRS. MABEL KIRKMAN,
416 North Eleventh street, Elwood.

Auxiliary Officials Arrive

Mrs. Boyce Ficklen Jr., Washington, Ga., arrived in Indianapolis Wednesday to take up her duties as national president of the American Legion auxiliary at national headquarters. She was greeted by officials of the auxiliary. Mrs. Gwenwynn Wiggin MacDowell, Stony Creek, Iowa, new national secretary, arrived Wednesday evening to take up her duties today.

Golden Wedding

Mr. and Mrs. John W. Settles, Richmond, will celebrate their fiftieth wedding anniversary Sunday with a family dinner and reception.

Card Parties

Democrats of the Second district, Thirteenth ward, will entertain with a card party this evening, Friday evening and Saturday evening at 8:30 at Democratic headquarters, 2139 South Meridian street.

Announce Tuesday Wedding

The marriage of Miss Blanche L. Voshell, daughter of Mr. and Mrs. William P. Lankford and Karl Moore, son of Mr. and Mrs. L. H. Moore, took place at noon Tuesday at the First Presbyterian church. Mr. and Mrs. Moore left immediately for Cincinnati, O., where they will live.

Prescribing Remedy for Bad Temper

BY MARTHA LEE

My readers have responded well to the enigma of Wondering Sue. If you remember, she is the young woman who has a husband with one of those frightful tempers that stops at nothing once he is crossed. He is perfectly capable of bouncing a cast iron stove off the head of the nearest "hooman" not excepting his own wife or his own boss.

Poor Wondering Sue is just about done up after five years of dodging, first incidents that might lead to a temper and later a flock of tea cups, chair legs and baseball bats. She is in a miserable state of health from it all and doesn't know which way to turn.

My readers have heard her cry for help through reading the column and have sent messages of condolence, but mostly some good sound advice for Sue to try out. Strangely enough, most of the readers have come to the same conclusion. That it is not, nor has it been, Sue who needed a doctor, but her husband. He needs a little mental adjustment, a few treatments for a case of bad nerves and not only his disposition but his health will improve, and after all, the one is so interdependent upon the other that they might even be called synonymous.

One reader writes:

Dear Miss Lee: For the woman whose husband suffers from a violent case of temper, or vice versa, I have this to offer. Every time a person indulges in this evil, he is simply filling himself with a nice dose of poison and the effects will show up later. Noted physicians declare that anger will not only cause cerebral congestion, disturb the kidneys and the heart. "The emotions of rage and hate take a definite toll of years from the life of man and produce actual acid conditions in the body." I read this in the Sept. 28 edition of The Indianapolis Times and it was written by Dr. Roemer, Waukegan, Ill. This suggestion to the victim of spells of temper at the proper time might through helping interest in himself, make some difference.

Another reader writes:

Dear Miss Lee: In regards to the problem of Wondering Sue. Her husband is a sick man and is badly in need of a thorough physical going-over and a good nerve tonic. A temper like that is an illness, not a natural condition at all. Wondering Sue's health has been affected by the carelessness of her husband in caring for his own self should advise her to go to see a doctor.

A woman who reads the column occasionally and happened upon this article says:

Dear Miss Lee: I am an occasional reader of your column. The letter from Wondering Sue drew my interest. Partially quoting from your response "a perfectly sensible and normal person can restrain fit of temper." Perhaps it has not occurred to this wife that her husband is not entirely to blame for his outbursts of temper.

In his actions, she is not entirely right to search for the trouble. Most people are aware of the fact that a baby is reasonably happy and contented if he feels well. On the other hand, if he is physically distressed, he cries. Why then would it not be reasonable to presume that outbursts on the part of Wondering Sue's husband are the result of ill health.

In his actions, she is not entirely right to search for the trouble. Most people are aware of the fact that a baby is reasonably happy and contented if he feels well. On the other hand, if he is physically distressed, he cries. Why then would it not be reasonable to presume that outbursts on the part of Wondering Sue's husband are the result of ill health.

In his actions, she is not entirely right to search for the trouble. Most people are aware of the fact that a baby is reasonably happy and contented if he feels well. On the other hand, if he is physically distressed, he cries. Why then would it not be reasonable to presume that outbursts on the part of Wondering Sue's husband are the result of ill health.

In his actions, she is not entirely right to search for the trouble. Most people are aware of the fact that a baby is reasonably happy and contented if he feels well. On the other hand, if he is physically distressed, he cries. Why then would it not be reasonable to presume that outbursts on the part of Wondering Sue's husband are the result of ill health.

In his actions, she is not entirely right to search for the trouble. Most people are aware of the fact that a baby is reasonably happy and contented if he feels well. On the other hand, if he is physically distressed, he cries. Why then would it not be reasonable to presume that outbursts on the part of Wondering Sue's husband are the result of ill health.

In his actions, she is not entirely right to search for the trouble. Most people are aware of the fact that a baby is reasonably happy and contented if he feels well. On the other hand, if he is physically distressed, he cries. Why then would it not be reasonable to presume that outbursts on the part of Wondering Sue's husband are the result of ill health.

In his actions, she is not entirely right to search for the trouble. Most people are aware of the fact that a baby is reasonably happy and contented if he feels well. On the other hand, if he is physically distressed, he cries. Why then would it not be reasonable to presume that outbursts on the part of Wondering Sue's husband are the result of ill health.

In his actions, she is not entirely right to search for the trouble. Most people are aware of the fact that a baby is reasonably happy and contented if he feels well. On the other hand, if he is physically distressed, he cries. Why then would it not be reasonable to presume that outbursts on the part of Wondering Sue's husband are the result of ill health.

In his actions, she is not entirely right to search for the trouble. Most people are aware of the fact that a baby is reasonably happy and contented if he feels well. On the other hand, if he is physically distressed, he cries. Why then would it not be reasonable to presume that outbursts on the part of Wondering Sue's husband are the result of ill health.

In his actions, she is not entirely right to search for the trouble. Most people are aware of the fact that a baby is reasonably happy and contented if he feels well. On the other hand, if he is physically distressed, he cries. Why then would it not be reasonable to presume that outbursts on the part of Wondering Sue's husband are the result of ill health.

In his actions, she is not entirely right to search for the trouble. Most people are aware of the fact that a baby is reasonably happy and contented if he feels well. On the other hand, if he is physically distressed, he cries. Why then would it not be reasonable to presume that outbursts on the part of Wondering Sue's husband are the result of ill health.

In his actions, she is not entirely right to search for the trouble. Most people are aware of the fact that a baby is reasonably happy and contented if he feels well. On the other hand, if he is physically distressed, he cries. Why then would it not be reasonable to presume that outbursts on the part of Wondering Sue's husband are the result of ill health.

In his actions, she is not entirely right to search for the trouble. Most people are aware of the fact that a baby is reasonably happy and contented if he feels well. On the other hand, if he is physically distressed, he cries. Why then would it not be reasonable to presume that outbursts on the part of Wondering Sue's husband are the result of ill health.

In his actions, she is not entirely right to search for the trouble. Most people are aware of the fact that a baby is reasonably happy and contented if he feels well. On the other hand, if he is physically distressed, he cries. Why then would it not be reasonable to presume that outbursts on the part of Wondering Sue's husband are the result of ill health.

In his actions, she is not entirely right to search for the trouble. Most people are aware of the fact that a baby is reasonably happy and contented if he feels well. On the other hand, if he is physically distressed, he cries. Why then would it not be reasonable to presume that outbursts on the part of Wondering Sue's husband are the result of ill health.

In his actions, she is not entirely right to search for the trouble. Most people are aware of the fact that a baby is reasonably happy and contented if he feels well. On the other hand, if he is physically distressed, he cries. Why then would it not be reasonable to presume that outbursts on the part of Wondering Sue's husband are the result of ill health.

In his actions, she is not entirely right to search for the trouble. Most people are aware of the fact that a baby is reasonably happy and contented if he feels well. On the other hand, if he is physically distressed, he cries. Why then would it not be reasonable to presume that outbursts on the part of Wondering Sue's husband are the result of ill health.

In his actions, she is not entirely right to search for the trouble. Most people are aware of the fact that a baby is reasonably happy and contented if he feels well. On the other hand, if he is physically distressed, he cries. Why then would it not be reasonable to presume that outbursts on the part of Wondering Sue's husband are the result of ill health.

In his actions, she is not entirely right to search for the trouble. Most people are aware of the fact that a baby is reasonably happy and contented if he feels well. On the other hand, if he is physically distressed, he cries. Why then would it not be reasonable to presume that outbursts on the part of Wondering Sue's husband are the result of ill health.

In his actions, she is not entirely right to search for the trouble. Most people are aware of the fact that a baby is reasonably happy and contented if he feels well. On the other hand, if he is physically distressed, he cries. Why then would it not be reasonable to presume that outbursts on the part of Wondering Sue's husband are the result of ill health.

In his actions, she is not entirely right to search for the trouble. Most people are aware of the fact that a baby is reasonably happy and contented if he feels well. On the other hand, if he is physically distressed, he cries. Why then would it not be reasonable to presume that outbursts on the part of Wondering Sue's husband are the result of ill health.

In his actions, she is not entirely right to search for the trouble. Most people are aware of the fact that a baby is reasonably happy and contented if he feels well. On the other hand, if he is physically distressed, he cries. Why then would it not be reasonable to presume that outbursts on the part of Wondering Sue's husband are the result of ill health.

In his actions, she is not entirely right to search for the trouble. Most people are aware of the fact that a baby is reasonably happy and contented if he feels well. On the other hand, if he is physically distressed, he cries. Why then would it not be reasonable to presume that outbursts on the part of Wondering Sue's husband are the result of ill health.

In his actions, she is not entirely right to search for the trouble. Most people are aware of the fact that a baby is reasonably happy and contented if he feels well. On the other hand, if he is physically distressed, he cries. Why then would it not be reasonable to presume that outbursts on the part of Wondering Sue's husband are the result of ill health.

In his actions, she is not entirely right to search for the trouble. Most people are aware of the fact that a baby is reasonably happy and contented if he feels well. On the other hand, if he is physically distressed, he cries. Why then would it not be reasonable to presume that outbursts on the part of Wondering Sue's husband are the result of ill health.

In his actions, she is not entirely right to search for the trouble. Most people are aware of the fact that a baby is reasonably happy and contented if he feels well. On the other hand, if he is physically distressed, he cries. Why then would it not be reasonable to presume that outbursts on the part of Wondering Sue's husband are the result of ill health.

In his actions, she is not entirely right to search for the trouble. Most people are aware of the fact that a baby is reasonably happy and contented if he feels well. On the other hand, if he is physically distressed, he cries. Why then would it not be reasonable to presume that outbursts on the part of Wondering Sue's husband are the result of ill health.

In his actions, she is not entirely right to search for the trouble. Most people are aware of the fact that a baby is reasonably happy and contented if he feels