

# The Indianapolis Times

ROY W. HOWARD, President.

WM. A. MAYBORN, Bus. Mgr.

BOYD GURLEY, Editor.  
Member of the Scripps-Howard Newspaper Alliance  
• • • Member of the Audit Bureau of Circulations.Published daily except Sunday by Indianapolis Times Publishing Co., 214-220 W. Maryland St., Indianapolis  
• • • Subscription Rates: Indianapolis—Ten Cents a Week. Elsewhere—Twelve Cents a Week • • •  
PHONE—MA 3500.

No law shall be passed restraining the free interchange of thought and opinion, or restricting the right to speak, write, or print freely, on any subject whatever.—Constitution of Indiana.

## PROBING PRIMARIES

Whether the Reed senatorial committee, investigating the habits of the direct primary, is brought to Indiana or decides there is little chance of exposure, some inquiry should be made as to the limitations of the present corrupt practices act.

The Indiana law provides for publicity of the expenses of candidates and has a very elaborate and definite method of making public the money spent by candidates.

The purpose of that law, of course, is to prevent the corruption of the primary by the expenditure of large sums of money or the influence upon the results by great privileged interests.

Just how Senator Watson observed the spirit of that law is shown by the manner in which the record is made and the details of his account.

The law provides that the treasurer of the club or committee or the person designated by the candidate as manager shall file the report in his home county.

The Watson people organized their club in this city, the largest in the State and the center of interest.

But they picked as the treasurer the collector of the gasoline tax. It was his job to gather in the money and to spend it.

He is attached to the State Capitol. But for voting purposes he maintains a residence in one of the smallest counties in the State, whose county seat is English.

That town holds secrets very well. It held this so well that it was only when The Times began to probe into the primary expenses that it found that the expense of the Watson campaign was hidden away miles from any daily newspaper and in the most secluded county seat of the State.

Certainly the Senator's friends thought little of the spirit of the law which is intended to give publicity when they filed the list of his expenses in such a village.

That, on its face, should excite curiosity if not suspicion.

Were more needed it may be found in the fact that this report covers only the period between the time that Watson filed his petition as a candidate and the date of the primary.

Watson was a candidate for at least one year in advance of that date, if his own words as quoted by his most recent friend and his chief sponsor, the Indianapolis News, is to be relied upon.

For in that newspaper, the foe of Watson for thirty years and now its most active sponsor, is printed an interview at the time Watson secured the undivided time of Mrs. Vivian Wheatcraft as his campaign manager to get the woman vote.

When she announced that she would resign from the State committee for that work, it was Senator Watson who declared that for nearly a year she had been on the job and had now consented to give all her time to that work.

If he violated the spirit, if not the letter, of the law in this matter, the Reed committee may be able to discover that other arrangements were made in advance of the filing of his petition.

Watson says this State likes Newberry, that it stands for and approves of his vote in favor of the huge corruption funds.

Why did he hide his report in a village? Why is it limited to the two months he was in the open when by his own statement he had workers for months in advance?

The voters may want to know.

## THE PRESIDENT AND THE LAW

This is not a one-man Government, and President Coolidge can not make it so by ignoring the constitutional right of the Senate to pass on his appointees.

That, in effect, is the meaning of a decision by Controller General McCarl that Tariff Commissioners Brossard and Lowell can not draw salaries.

Brossard was first appointed nearly fourteen months ago, in July, 1925. He is a protege of Senator Smoot, and became a member of the "Coolidge bloc" in the commission, the operations of which in favor of high tariffs has wrecked the commission and has nullified all attempts at scientific tariff revision.

Reaction to Brossard's appointment can best be expressed by quoting former Commissioner Culbertson's letter on the subject:

"I didn't think Coolidge would do the thing so rawly. Evidently Brossard (a former commission employee) has been playing with the sugar lobby and has received his reward."

At any rate, President Coolidge failed to send Brossard's name to the Senate until June 26, 1926, nearly a year after the appointment. All this time Brossard was sitting on the commission, drawing his salary, and helping to determine whether the commission would function in justice to the American people, or in behalf of the tariff-protected interests. And the Senate had been sitting since Dec. 10.

In its last hour rush, the Senate, having had his name before it only a few days, adjourned without confirming him.

Coolidge at once gave him a second recess appointment. It is this appointment, which McCarl virtually criticizes as an attempt to evade the Senate's action, for which Brossard can draw no salary. McCarl's decision said:

"It was the evident purpose of the provision to prevent the executive, by a series of recess appointments, from avoiding the requirement of law that the appointment be by and with the consent of the Senate."

## A PROHIBITION PARTY

Dr. Gilbert O. Nations, prohibition candidate for President in 1920 and present editor of the Protestant, published in Washington, says existing major political parties have no intention of drying the country up.

To be sure, there are genuine drys in the Republican ranks, like Senators Borah, Capen and Fess. But there are also wet, such as Senators Wadsworth and Edge and Representative John Philip Hill. There are some real bone drys among Democrats—for example, Senators Sheppard and McKeithen and Representative Upshaw. There are wet Democrats, too—to illustrate, Senators Edwards and Jim Reed and Governor Ritchie of Maryland. Wayne B. Wheeler is a Republican, but so is Speaker Long.

ROY W. HOWARD, President.  
WM. A. MAYBORN, Bus. Mgr.  
• • • Member of the United Press and the NEA Service  
• • • Member of the Audit Bureau of Circulations.  
Published daily except Sunday by Indianapolis Times Publishing Co., 214-220 W. Maryland St., Indianapolis  
• • • Subscription Rates: Indianapolis—Ten Cents a Week. Elsewhere—Twelve Cents a Week • • •  
PHONE—MA 3500.

No law shall be passed restraining the free interchange of thought and opinion, or restricting the right to speak, write, or print freely, on any subject whatever.—Constitution of Indiana.

## PROBING PRIMARIES

Whether the Reed senatorial committee, investigating the habits of the direct primary, is brought to Indiana or decides there is little chance of exposure, some inquiry should be made as to the limitations of the present corrupt practices act.

The Indiana law provides for publicity of the expenses of candidates and has a very elaborate and definite method of making public the money spent by candidates.

The purpose of that law, of course, is to prevent the corruption of the primary by the expenditure of large sums of money or the influence upon the results by great privileged interests.

Just how Senator Watson observed the spirit of that law is shown by the manner in which the record is made and the details of his account.

The law provides that the treasurer of the club or committee or the person designated by the candidate as manager shall file the report in his home county.

The Watson people organized their club in this city, the largest in the State and the center of interest.

But they picked as the treasurer the collector of the gasoline tax. It was his job to gather in the money and to spend it.

He is attached to the State Capitol. But for voting purposes he maintains a residence in one of the smallest counties in the State, whose county seat is English.

That town holds secrets very well. It held this so well that it was only when The Times began to probe into the primary expenses that it found that the expense of the Watson campaign was hidden away miles from any daily newspaper and in the most secluded county seat of the State.

Certainly the Senator's friends thought little of the spirit of the law which is intended to give publicity when they filed the list of his expenses in such a village.

That, on its face, should excite curiosity if not suspicion.

Were more needed it may be found in the fact that this report covers only the period between the time that Watson filed his petition as a candidate and the date of the primary.

Watson was a candidate for at least one year in advance of that date, if his own words as quoted by his most recent friend and his chief sponsor, the Indianapolis News, is to be relied upon.

For in that newspaper, the foe of Watson for thirty years and now its most active sponsor, is printed an interview at the time Watson secured the undivided time of Mrs. Vivian Wheatcraft as his campaign manager to get the woman vote.

When she announced that she would resign from the State committee for that work, it was Senator Watson who declared that for nearly a year she had been on the job and had now consented to give all her time to that work.

If he violated the spirit, if not the letter, of the law in this matter, the Reed committee may be able to discover that other arrangements were made in advance of the filing of his petition.

Watson says this State likes Newberry, that it stands for and approves of his vote in favor of the huge corruption funds.

Why did he hide his report in a village? Why is it limited to the two months he was in the open when by his own statement he had workers for months in advance?

The voters may want to know.

## THE PRESIDENT AND THE LAW

This is not a one-man Government, and President Coolidge can not make it so by ignoring the constitutional right of the Senate to pass on his appointees.

That, in effect, is the meaning of a decision by Controller General McCarl that Tariff Commissioners Brossard and Lowell can not draw salaries.

Brossard was first appointed nearly fourteen months ago, in July, 1925. He is a protege of Senator Smoot, and became a member of the "Coolidge bloc" in the commission, the operations of which in favor of high tariffs has wrecked the commission and has nullified all attempts at scientific tariff revision.

Reaction to Brossard's appointment can best be expressed by quoting former Commissioner Culbertson's letter on the subject:

"I didn't think Coolidge would do the thing so rawly. Evidently Brossard (a former commission employee) has been playing with the sugar lobby and has received his reward."

At any rate, President Coolidge failed to send Brossard's name to the Senate until June 26, 1926, nearly a year after the appointment. All this time Brossard was sitting on the commission, drawing his salary, and helping to determine whether the commission would function in justice to the American people, or in behalf of the tariff-protected interests. And the Senate had been sitting since Dec. 10.

In its last hour rush, the Senate, having had his name before it only a few days, adjourned without confirming him.

Coolidge at once gave him a second recess appointment. It is this appointment, which McCarl virtually criticizes as an attempt to evade the Senate's action, for which Brossard can draw no salary. McCarl's decision said:

"It was the evident purpose of the provision to prevent the executive, by a series of recess appointments, from avoiding the requirement of law that the appointment be by and with the consent of the Senate."

## A PROHIBITION PARTY

Dr. Gilbert O. Nations, prohibition candidate for President in 1920 and present editor of the Protestant, published in Washington, says existing major political parties have no intention of drying the country up.

To be sure, there are genuine drys in the Republican ranks, like Senators Borah, Capen and Fess. But there are also wet, such as Senators Wadsworth and Edge and Representative John Philip Hill. There are some real bone drys among Democrats—for example, Senators Sheppard and McKeithen and Representative Upshaw. There are wet Democrats, too—to illustrate, Senators Edwards and Jim Reed and Governor Ritchie of Maryland. Wayne B. Wheeler is a Republican, but so is Speaker Long.

ROY W. HOWARD, President.  
WM. A. MAYBORN, Bus. Mgr.  
• • • Member of the United Press and the NEA Service  
• • • Member of the Audit Bureau of Circulations.  
Published daily except Sunday by Indianapolis Times Publishing Co., 214-220 W. Maryland St., Indianapolis  
• • • Subscription Rates: Indianapolis—Ten Cents a Week. Elsewhere—Twelve Cents a Week • • •  
PHONE—MA 3500.

No law shall be passed restraining the free interchange of thought and opinion, or restricting the right to speak, write, or print freely, on any subject whatever.—Constitution of Indiana.

## PROBING PRIMARIES

Whether the Reed senatorial committee, investigating the habits of the direct primary, is brought to Indiana or decides there is little chance of exposure, some inquiry should be made as to the limitations of the present corrupt practices act.

The Indiana law provides for publicity of the expenses of candidates and has a very elaborate and definite method of making public the money spent by candidates.

The purpose of that law, of course, is to prevent the corruption of the primary by the expenditure of large sums of money or the influence upon the results by great privileged interests.

Just how Senator Watson observed the spirit of that law is shown by the manner in which the record is made and the details of his account.

The law provides that the treasurer of the club or committee or the person designated by the candidate as manager shall file the report in his home county.

The Watson people organized their club in this city, the largest in the State and the center of interest.

But they picked as the treasurer the collector of the gasoline tax. It was his job to gather in the money and to spend it.

He is attached to the State Capitol. But for voting purposes he maintains a residence in one of the smallest counties in the State, whose county seat is English.

That town holds secrets very well. It held this so well that it was only when The Times began to probe into the primary expenses that it found that the expense of the Watson campaign was hidden away miles from any daily newspaper and in the most secluded county seat of the State.

Certainly the Senator's friends thought little of the spirit of the law which is intended to give publicity when they filed the list of his expenses in such a village.

That, on its face, should excite curiosity if not suspicion.

Were more needed it may be found in the fact that this report covers only the period between the time that Watson filed his petition as a candidate and the date of the primary.

Watson was a candidate for at least one year in advance of that date, if his own words as quoted by his most recent friend and his chief sponsor, the Indianapolis News, is to be relied upon.

For in that newspaper, the foe of Watson for thirty years and now its most active sponsor, is printed an interview at the time Watson secured the undivided time of Mrs. Vivian Wheatcraft as his campaign manager to get the woman vote.

When she announced that she would resign from the State committee for that work, it was Senator Watson who declared that for nearly a year she had been on the job and had now consented to give all her time to that work.

If he violated the spirit, if not the letter, of the law in this matter, the Reed committee may be able to discover that other arrangements were made in advance of the filing of his petition.

Watson says this State likes Newberry, that it stands for and approves of his vote in favor of the huge corruption funds.

Why did he hide his report in a village? Why is it limited to the two months he was in the open when by his own statement he had workers for months in advance?

The voters may want to know.

## THE PRESIDENT AND THE LAW

This is not a one-man Government, and President Coolidge can not make it so by ignoring the constitutional right of the Senate to pass on his appointees.

That, in effect, is the meaning of a decision by Controller General McCarl that Tariff Commissioners Brossard and Lowell can not draw salaries.

Brossard was first appointed nearly fourteen months ago, in July, 1925. He is a protege of Senator Smoot, and became a member of the "Coolidge bloc" in the commission, the operations of which in favor of high tariffs has wrecked the commission and has nullified all attempts at scientific tariff revision.

Reaction to Brossard's appointment can best be expressed by quoting former Commissioner Culbertson's letter on the subject:

"I didn't think Coolidge would do the thing so rawly. Evidently Brossard (a former commission employee) has been playing with the sugar lobby and has received his reward."

At any rate, President Coolidge failed to send Brossard's name to the Senate until June 26, 1926, nearly a year after the appointment. All this time Brossard was sitting on the commission, drawing his salary, and helping to determine whether the commission would function in justice to the American people, or in behalf of the tariff-protected interests. And the Senate had been sitting since Dec. 10.

In its last hour rush, the Senate, having had his name before it only a few days, adjourned without confirming him.

Coolidge at once gave him a second recess appointment. It is this appointment, which McCarl virtually criticizes as an attempt to evade the Senate's action, for which Brossard can draw no salary. McCarl's decision said:

"It was the evident purpose of the provision to prevent the executive, by a series of recess appointments, from avoiding the requirement of law that the appointment be by and with the consent of the Senate."

## A PROHIBITION PARTY

Dr. Gilbert O. Nations, prohibition candidate for President in 1920 and present editor of the Protestant, published in Washington, says existing major political parties have no intention of drying the country up.

To be sure, there are genuine drys in the Republican ranks, like Senators Borah, Capen and Fess. But there are also wet, such as Senators Wadsworth and Edge and Representative John Philip Hill. There are some real bone drys among Democrats—for example, Senators Sheppard and McKeithen and Representative Upshaw. There are wet Democrats, too—to illustrate, Senators Edwards and Jim Reed and Governor Ritchie of Maryland. Wayne B. Wheeler is a Republican, but so is Speaker Long.

ROY W. HOWARD, President.  
WM. A. MAYBORN, Bus. Mgr.  
• • • Member of the United Press and the NEA Service  
• • • Member of the Audit Bureau of Circulations.  
Published daily except Sunday by Indianapolis Times Publishing Co., 214-220 W. Maryland St., Indianapolis  
• • • Subscription Rates: Indianapolis—Ten Cents a Week. Elsewhere—Twelve Cents a Week • • •  
PHONE—MA 3500.

</div