

SPECIAL JUDGE OF PROHIBITION LAW VIOLATIONS

Changes of Venue From City Court Heard in Adjoining Quarters.

A special court, held in a separate room, dealing exclusively with cases in which changes of venue have been taken on Judge Delbert O. Wilmett of city court has been established. It meets every Wednesday afternoon. Ralph Updyke, special judge, who sat on the bench at the first session, assessed fines in nine cases in which the defendant was charged with violation of the prohibition laws. Jail sentences and terms on the Indiana State farm were also given in four instances. The sentences in these were suspended.

Collie Herd, Negro, 722 North West street, was fined \$100 and costs on a "tiger" charge. Herd testified that "some man" had hired him to transport the nineteen gallons of "white mule" which were in his automobile when he was arrested. Herd, a plain clothed man, was hit when he was hit by the "man's" car, which was broken down on Elmwood and Blaikie streets, where Herd said he was to meet another machine. "The law got too warm after us," Herd explained to Special Judge Updyke, "and they caught us." Charles Frejle, owner of the car in which Herd was carrying the liquor, was discharged.

Others fined were: Ira Swisher, 1308 North Capital avenue, and Leon Canida, 47 South West street, each \$200 and costs and sixty days (days suspended); Andy Gilgor, 1023 Ketcham street, \$100 and costs and thirty days in jail; William Archer, 319 West Fountain street, \$200 and costs and sixty days (days suspended); Justice Reynolds, 423 West Michigan street, \$50 and costs; Frank Zuppin, 749 Haugh street, \$100 and costs; John Manfrida, 776 North Warman avenue, \$100 and costs, and Christ Vasil, 702 Ketcham street, \$50 and costs.

STREET CAR FARE BOOST IS FAVORED

(Continued From Page One.)

auditing and that on survey of conditions in other cities.

After a twenty-page report had been read by Mr. Louis C. Schwartz, member of the Fulien committee and a fifteen-hundred-word digest of answers to questionnaires sent to other cities had been delivered by Mr. White, an expected wrangle over the content of the documents was averted by a motion that they be turned over to Mayor S. M. Swindell to be delivered to the general committee, comprising some forty representatives of civic societies and business clubs. When this motion was carried Mr. Harvey read a thousand-word report, containing conclusions drawn from the reports of the two sub-committees and moved that they be voted by the executive committee by way of explanation and summary of the other two reports and be turned over to the executive committee. Another member of the committee moved that the motion be amended to provide for turning the Harvey report over to the mayor without comment as the others were to be. Mr. Harvey consented and this was carried.

CONCLUSIONS ITEMIZED LIST.

The Harvey conclusions are as follows: 1. Owl car service from 1 to 4:30 a. m. loses from \$1,000 to \$2,000 a month. Recommended owl fare be increased from 5 to 10 cents.

2. Interurban companies ought to join with the street car company in a petition to the public service commission to increase and raise the local commission of its rate.

3. Freight terminal facilities should be improved and suggestion is made the public service commission say what they should be and whether interurban companies or shippers pay the cost.

4. Interurban fares inside city limits should be increased to 10 cents, so passengers would be driven to ride city cars, thereby increasing earnings of the local company.

5. Interurban bus line should be regulated by public service commission so as to reduce business they take from street car company.

6. Street car company should be relieved of obligation of paving between tracks and should be required to maintain such pavement. Payment should be paid original cost.

7. As rapidly as possible street car company should arrange to discontinue its West Washington street power house and purchase power. Would be necessary for company to establish sub-stations if this were done.

8. Company should set aside a "proper portion" of its income to cover depreciation.

The Harvey conclusions state it is believed adoption of the above economies will save the company money but it will not be sufficient to offset the deficit under which the company is now operating. It is impossible that the commissioners, to fix an exact amount the company is entitled to, since there is no exact valuation of the utility's property.

In the absence of an exact valuation, it is suggested the return should be 7 per cent of the tentative valuation of from \$14,000,000 to \$16,000,000 fixed by the public service commission some time ago, for return on the investment and 1 per cent of the valuation for depreciation.

**INCREASED FARE
SEEMS ONLY REMEDY.**

"In order to produce such an income, the committee sees no other alternative, but an increase in fare," said Harvey. "The committee does not feel it is possible to recommend a definite increase in the absence of the exact valuation. The committee believes that if the question were properly presented to the public service commission, that commission would have the power to order such an increase in fare, which along with the establishment of the above suggested economies, as would prevent the company from incurring a loss, to the company's credit in view of the uncertain return to be derived from any increase in fare, we suggest that the public service commission be petitioned to devise and fix some maximum figure, above which the company's earnings might be held in a special fund to be returned to the company. This is to say, if a rate of fare as fixed by the commission was found to produce a return in excess of 10 per cent (7 per cent on investment and 3 per cent for depreciation) such excess should be returned to the city with bond to guarantee the return of such excess."

It was suggested that no increase be made effective for more than one year in view of anticipated recovery from industrial depression.

**SERVIC ECOMMISSION
HEARING URGED.**

"Your committee recommends that the city commission, the Indiana state public service commission, join with the Indianapolis Street Railway Company in petitioning the Indiana public service commission for a hearing with a prayer for the adoption of measures of relief as more suggested," concludes the Harvey conclusion.

The Fulien sub-committee spent about six weeks delving into the records of the company. It reported full access to the utility books was granted. Harry Boggs, chief auditor for the public service commission, who was loaned to the committee, was in with the committees and rising in rank, was loaned to the committee by the executive committee at Mr. Fulien's suggestion. In addition to the twenty-

'Nursitis' Declared Deadly Malady 'NO SUBSTITUTE FOR A MOTHER'

Doc Copeland Expects Hot Reply

NEW YORK, May 18.—"Children of New York City's 'four hundred' are seriously afflicted with 'nursitis' which threatens to spread to middle class children unless stopped in its course," Dr. Royal S. Copeland, city health commissioner, declared today.

He explained that the disease was caused by society mothers giving their children too much to the care of their nurse maids.

"Three times as many youngsters die

early in the region of Central Park,

Riverside Drive and Fifth avenue as down

the East Side and the less fashionable sections," Dr. Copeland asserted. "I at-

tribute this fact largely to the disease I have been telling you of for no nurse in the world can take the place of a mother caring for a child," he explained.

"Since so many women have started to mother toy dogs instead of their children, it has been found that the children are the ones who suffer most as a result."

"Many of these mothers will deny any association with the 'nursitis' but, I know when I speak. Children who have personal association with mothers are much brighter of intellect than those turned over to nurses for attention. Many of our middle class mothers try to ape the society women, to the detriment of their children."

**Dad's Old Cob Pipe Soothes Baby
GIRL MOTHER'S STORY SHOCKS COURT**

She's Taking Train for Missouri

They give the baby dad's old corn cob pipe instead of a pacifier when they want to keep it quiet, out among the Ozarks, a young woman from southwestern Missouri told Judge Frank J. Bryan, who was brought into the case on a charge of child neglect. Testimony also was given that the girl, who is the mother of two children was in the habit of smoking several cigarettes a day.

"How long have you been doing this smoking?" asked the judge.

"I started when I was 16, so I was 16 when I started," the girl said. "I was enough to walk. I used to give my kids his pipe to suck on to keep us quiet," the girl declared. "I guess I just got the habit then and as soon as I was big enough to reach the shelf where he kept it when he wasn't smokin' it, I

used to swipe it to smoke, too. All of us kids could smoke a pipe and I didn't see no harm in smokin' cigarettes."

"How old are your children?" asked the judge. "Laurie," inquired the defendant.

"But most of them is grown up now and a pretty well off, and he says I can come back there and bring my kids."

"You don't want to go back there and teach your father to teach your children to smoke pipe, do you?" the judge asked.

"I guess so," the girl said. "I just got the habit then and as soon as I was big enough to reach the shelf where he kept it when he wasn't smokin' it, I

used to swipe it to smoke, too. All of us kids could smoke a pipe and I didn't see no harm in smokin' cigarettes."

"How old are your children?" asked the judge. "Laurie," inquired the defendant.

"But most of them is grown up now and a pretty well off, and he says I can come back there and bring my kids."

"You don't want to go back there and teach your father to teach your children to smoke pipe, do you?" the judge asked.

"I guess so," the girl said. "I just got the habit then and as soon as I was big enough to reach the shelf where he kept it when he wasn't smokin' it, I

used to swipe it to smoke, too. All of us kids could smoke a pipe and I didn't see no harm in smokin' cigarettes."

"How old are your children?" asked the judge. "Laurie," inquired the defendant.

"But most of them is grown up now and a pretty well off, and he says I can come back there and bring my kids."

"You don't want to go back there and teach your father to teach your children to smoke pipe, do you?" the judge asked.

"I guess so," the girl said. "I just got the habit then and as soon as I was big enough to reach the shelf where he kept it when he wasn't smokin' it, I

used to swipe it to smoke, too. All of us kids could smoke a pipe and I didn't see no harm in smokin' cigarettes."

"How old are your children?" asked the judge. "Laurie," inquired the defendant.

"But most of them is grown up now and a pretty well off, and he says I can come back there and bring my kids."

"You don't want to go back there and teach your father to teach your children to smoke pipe, do you?" the judge asked.

"I guess so," the girl said. "I just got the habit then and as soon as I was big enough to reach the shelf where he kept it when he wasn't smokin' it, I

used to swipe it to smoke, too. All of us kids could smoke a pipe and I didn't see no harm in smokin' cigarettes."

"How old are your children?" asked the judge. "Laurie," inquired the defendant.

"But most of them is grown up now and a pretty well off, and he says I can come back there and bring my kids."

"You don't want to go back there and teach your father to teach your children to smoke pipe, do you?" the judge asked.

"I guess so," the girl said. "I just got the habit then and as soon as I was big enough to reach the shelf where he kept it when he wasn't smokin' it, I

used to swipe it to smoke, too. All of us kids could smoke a pipe and I didn't see no harm in smokin' cigarettes."

"How old are your children?" asked the judge. "Laurie," inquired the defendant.

"But most of them is grown up now and a pretty well off, and he says I can come back there and bring my kids."

"You don't want to go back there and teach your father to teach your children to smoke pipe, do you?" the judge asked.

"I guess so," the girl said. "I just got the habit then and as soon as I was big enough to reach the shelf where he kept it when he wasn't smokin' it, I

used to swipe it to smoke, too. All of us kids could smoke a pipe and I didn't see no harm in smokin' cigarettes."

"How old are your children?" asked the judge. "Laurie," inquired the defendant.

"But most of them is grown up now and a pretty well off, and he says I can come back there and bring my kids."

"You don't want to go back there and teach your father to teach your children to smoke pipe, do you?" the judge asked.

"I guess so," the girl said. "I just got the habit then and as soon as I was big enough to reach the shelf where he kept it when he wasn't smokin' it, I

used to swipe it to smoke, too. All of us kids could smoke a pipe and I didn't see no harm in smokin' cigarettes."

"How old are your children?" asked the judge. "Laurie," inquired the defendant.

"But most of them is grown up now and a pretty well off, and he says I can come back there and bring my kids."

"You don't want to go back there and teach your father to teach your children to smoke pipe, do you?" the judge asked.

"I guess so," the girl said. "I just got the habit then and as soon as I was big enough to reach the shelf where he kept it when he wasn't smokin' it, I

used to swipe it to smoke, too. All of us kids could smoke a pipe and I didn't see no harm in smokin' cigarettes."

"How old are your children?" asked the judge. "Laurie," inquired the defendant.

"But most of them is grown up now and a pretty well off, and he says I can come back there and bring my kids."

"You don't want to go back there and teach your father to teach your children to smoke pipe, do you?" the judge asked.

"I guess so," the girl said. "I just got the habit then and as soon as I was big enough to reach the shelf where he kept it when he wasn't smokin' it, I

used to swipe it to smoke, too. All of us kids could smoke a pipe and I didn't see no harm in smokin' cigarettes."

"How old are your children?" asked the judge. "Laurie," inquired the defendant.

"But most of them is grown up now and a pretty well off, and he says I can come back there and bring my kids."

"You don't want to go back there and teach your father to teach your children to smoke pipe, do you?" the judge asked.

"I guess so," the girl said. "I just got the habit then and as soon as I was big enough to reach the shelf where he kept it when he wasn't smokin' it, I

used to swipe it to smoke, too. All of us kids could smoke a pipe and I didn't see no harm in smokin' cigarettes."

"How old are your children?" asked the judge. "Laurie," inquired the defendant.

"But most of them is grown up now and a pretty well off, and he says I can come back there and bring my kids."

"You don't want to go back there and teach your father to teach your children to smoke pipe, do you?" the judge asked.

"I guess so," the girl said. "I just got the habit then and as soon as I was big enough to reach the shelf where he kept it when he wasn't smokin' it, I

used to swipe it to smoke, too. All of us kids could smoke a pipe and I didn't see no harm in smokin' cigarettes."

"How old are your children?" asked the judge. "Laurie," inquired the defendant.

"But most of them is grown up now and a pretty well off, and he says I can come back there and bring my kids."

"You don't want to go back there and teach your father to teach your children to smoke pipe, do you?" the judge asked.

"I guess so," the girl said. "I just got the habit then and as soon as I was big enough to reach the shelf where he kept it when he wasn't smokin' it, I

used to swipe it to smoke, too. All of us kids could smoke a pipe and I didn't see no harm in smokin' cigarettes."

"How old are your children?" asked the judge. "Laurie," inquired the defendant.

"But most of them is grown up now and a pretty well off, and he says I can come back there and bring my kids."

"You don't want to go back there and teach your father to teach your children to smoke pipe, do you?" the judge asked.

"I guess so," the girl said. "I just got the habit then and as soon as I was big enough to reach the shelf where he kept it when he wasn't smokin' it, I

used to swipe it to smoke, too. All of us kids could smoke a pipe and I didn't see no harm in smokin' cigarettes."

"How old are your children?" asked the judge. "Laurie," inquired the defendant.

"But most of them is grown up now and a pretty well off, and he says I can come back there and bring my kids."

"You don't want to go back there and teach your father to