

Indiana Daily Times

INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA.

Daily Except Sunday, 25-29 South Meridian Street.
Telephones—MA in 3500; New, LI ncoln 8351.

MEMBERS OF AUDIT BUREAU OF CIRCULATIONS.

Advertising offices | New York, Boston, Payne, Burns & Smith, Inc.
Chicago, Detroit, St. Louis, G. Logan Payne Co.

LET'S SEE, who are the members of the board of health today?

THOSE FRENCH ex-cabinet members might now go into the motion picture business.

•THERE APPEAR to be only about three men in France available to head a cabinet and they take turns.

ARMS PARLEY proves value of discussion—headline. Let us hope it does not prove the uselessness of it.

EMMA GOLDMAN says the United States is the only country, after all. It took her a long while to find it out.

PERHAPS the new district attorney's commission was delayed while the Postoffice Department was hunting for Martinsville!

MAYOR SHANK should examine that little book carefully to see that no names have been added to the preferred list in its absence.

MR. BEVERIDGE was probably greatly surprised when he received that resolution asking that he become a candidate for the Senate.

THE FRENCH objections to fifty vociferous women secretaries probably should not be attributed wholly to the fact that they were German.

CHARLES A. BOOKWALTER is probably grateful for that assurance of Mayor Shank that he will not fire anybody on the city pay roll who is not for Beveridge.

A WOMAN is walking from Dayton to Washington to obtain a pardon for her son, who is in a Federal penitentiary. Pardons have been obtained more easily than that.

Mr. Bryson's Appointment

Unquestionably the people of Indianapolis will continue to dispatch and receive mail under Postmaster Robert H. Bryson as they have for some time under Postmaster Robert E. Springsteen. As far as the business of the mails is concerned, it does not matter a particle who is postmaster. But from the political standpoint there is considerable difference.

Mr. Bryson's landing of the postoffice is a victory for the old organization that controlled Indianapolis prior to the advent of the new Shank machine that came into power at the last election.

Bryson could never have landed the job except with the consent of the politicians who controlled the Shank organization. Therefore, it must be acknowledged that his success marks the first step in the benevolent assimilation of one organization or the other. There may be some question, in fact, there is some question, of whether the old News-Jewett crowd that was served so well by Mr. Bryson is swallowing the Shank organization, or whether the Shank organization is gradually taking into camp the remnants of the older group.

But this much is certain: There could be no Postmaster Bryson save by and with the consent of the followers of Mr. Shank.

And there are a lot of followers of Mr. Shank who recall that the strength of their organization lay in its refusal to treat with the old News-Jewett crowd.

To these the appointment of Mr. Bryson is regrettable, not because Bryson is the appointee, but because they discern the end of their own organization that was built on opposition to that which wanted Bryson.

They Got Away With It

Declaring that the Newberry issue has not been set forth in "its frank ugliness," the Philadelphia Public Ledger attempts to make of Senator Newberry's defense an indictment of the direct primaries. It argues that he should logically have defended himself by attacking the law which it says "forced him to spend more than he or his friends ever dreamed." The Ledger says:

"Hypocrisy of the worst kind lies on either side of the way; but the deepest hypocrisy of all is to be found in the fact that no one dares to set forth the real cause of the trouble, the direct primary law. For that reason, Newberry defended himself with an alibi instead of a counter-indictment. Had he leveled his charges against the defective system which is debauching our politics from coast to coast at every senatorial and presidential election, to mention no others, he would not have got a vote—Senator Penrose being dead. Instead of an indictment, therefore, we get a defense; a defense which, if true, as we must believe it is, sets a precedent fully as bad as his expulsion by his accomplices would be."

The major premises of the Ledger argument appears to be its greatest fault. No one "forced" either Mr. Newberry or his friends to spend hundreds of thousands of dollars to elect him to the Senate.

They saw the opportunity to obtain their desires by the expenditure of money which they had and were willing to give up and they spent it.

What's more, thanks to the exigency of the Grand Old Party, they "got away with it," even though the expenditures constitute the greatest blot on the records of the United States Senate.

Selling Indianapolis Products

This is a time of keen competition in business. The day of the order taker is past and the day of the salesmen has arrived. No business house that does not go after the business can hope to exist.

With this idea in view the Chamber of Commerce has worked out an excellent plan of selling Indianapolis products. The idea is a reversal of the business trips that have been made out of Indianapolis periodically under the auspices of the Chamber of Commerce. Under the new plan the retail dealers of the State will be brought to Indianapolis to look over what the wholesale trade has to offer. Their transportation will be paid and entertainment will be provided. It will be a combination business trip and vacation for those who come here and the arrangement should prove attractive.

There is no reason why Indiana retail merchants should go to cities outside of the State for their goods. Indianapolis offers a wide variety of products and their excellence is unquestioned. It should not be difficult to sell Indianapolis-made goods to Indiana merchants. All that is needed is the sort of initiative being displayed by the Chamber of Commerce.

The Impossible Happens

One of the ironies of the conference of judges Thursday was the discovery that while the two Madison County judges were attending the meeting, listening to long and idealistic lectures on the treatment of criminals, there was in the Madison County jail an insane man who had been incarcerated there for five years and actually forgotten. While Judge Pan of Chicago and Judge Collins of Indianapolis were discussing self probation systems and the improvement of jails and penitentiaries and the great strides that have been made in making life easier for criminals, this unfortunate man, in jail only because he was suffering from disease of the mind, was probably suffering untold agonies as a result of his long imprisonment.

That such a thing could occur in a civilized community is almost beyond belief. It reminds one of the outrages that preceded the French revolution and led to the destruction of the Bastille. Just so in those days did judges and officials of high estate pride about their greatness while unfortunate were suffering great injustice and physical agony without cause.

A conference may be of some benefit to judges and other law enforcement officials, but it would have been much better for the judges to have stayed at home and visited the jails to which they are sending prisoners. Perhaps there are other unfortunate who have been forgotten in these days of ideal jail and prison conditions.

STATE DENIES
ICE FISHERMEN
SHANTIES' USEAttorney General of Opinion
Law Precludes Right to
Occupy Shelter.

An opinion submitted to George N. Mannfeld, chief of the fish and game division of the State conservation department, by U. S. Law attorney Lepman, says persons fishing through the ice in inland lakes in Indiana can not lawfully occupy houses or shanties built upon the ice for the purpose of protection while thus engaged.

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

House Bill 112, passed by the Seventy-First Indiana General Assembly, requires fishing through the ice in inland Hoosier lakes and parts of rivers that fishing through the ice in any of the lakes of this State, no person shall so fish through more than two holes in such ice at one time, or through a hole more than two and one-half feet in diameter, unless more than one hole is attached to such line, or within any house, shanty or structure which will obstruct a full view of such fishing."

House Bill 112, passed by the Seventy-First Indiana General Assembly, requires fishing through the ice in any of the lakes of this State, no person shall so fish through more than two holes in such ice at one time, or through a hole more than two and one-half feet in diameter, unless more than one hole is attached to such line, or within any house, shanty or structure which will obstruct a full view of such fishing."

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

House Bill 112, passed by the Seventy-First Indiana General Assembly, requires fishing through the ice in any of the lakes of this State, no person shall so fish through more than two holes in such ice at one time, or through a hole more than two and one-half feet in diameter, unless more than one hole is attached to such line, or within any house, shanty or structure which will obstruct a full view of such fishing."

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"

The opinion was sought by the conservation official with the further request, "Is it lawful for any person to place a house or shanty on the ice and fish from it, although no hole might be within the house?"