

200 PEOPLE ARE ON CALENDAR OF FEDERAL COURT

Sixty Cases Scheduled for Trial Before Judge Anderson This Fall.

MOST ON LIQUOR LAWS

Trials of almost sixty cases involving more than 200 defendants indicated by the most extensive list of violations of the offense from liquor law violations to stealing from national banks will begin before Judge Albert B. Anderson, Nov. 1, and will continue until Dec. 12, according to the calendar, made public by Frederick Van Nus, United States district attorney, today.

HOWAT ELECTED AS DELEGATE TO WORLD MEETING

Miners Appoint Two to Congress in England—Meet Here in 1924.

Alfred H. Van Briggle, and other officials of the Van Briggle Motor Device Company, charged with making false representations through the mining congress in England by the miners' convention here today.

The convention completed its business at the morning session and adjourned to meet again this evening to receive the report of the wage scale committee.

At that time the convention will consider the demands the miners will make on the operators in March.

William Mitch, secretary of the Indiana union district, was also chosen a delegate. Walter Nesbit, secretary of the Illinois district, and John Gay of Iowa, were elected alternates.

The delegates selected Indianapolis as the convention city just prior to adjourning.

Howat is now imprisoned in a Kansas jail for defiance of the Kansas Industrial Court. He is president of the Kansas union district and also defied the union executive board when it ordered him to call off two outlaw strikes in his territory.

He and Mitch will represent the United Mine Workers at the convention.

The last congress at Geneva, Switzerland, took up proposals for nationalization of mines and considered a movement under which the coal-diggers throughout the world would stop work should another war be conceived.

Only St. Louis and Indianapolis were chosen for the next convention city, the vote being 11 in favor of the move to St. Louis. Several other cities were advanced by delegates as possible meeting places.

Delegates to the United Mine Workers' convention were expected to return home today after more than two weeks of stormy debate and bitter factional wrangling.

The outcome leaves President John L. Lewis and his administration finally in the saddle to conduct wage negotiations with the coal operators next March. The present agreements of both the anthracite and bituminous miners expire simultaneously at the first time in history.

Lewis told the convention the miners will retain the right to strike in an effort to resist wage reductions. He repeated his demand that Hartman hold for arbitration in case of a disagreement.

Meanwhile a special assessment of \$3 a member is being collected from each of the half million union miners. International officers explained this is being done to replenish the treasury and is not in anticipation of a strike.

KANSAS MINE STRIKE CONTINUES

PITTSBURGH, KAN., Oct. 5.—This was a quiet day for Alexander Howat and August Dorchy, serving six month sentences in the Columbus jail. Mine union leaders prepared to visit the jail this afternoon and confer with Howat on strike matters.

The strike of the 12,000 miners in the Kansas district continued today with no new developments. Neither the Kansas miners nor John L. Lewis, head of the international miners, have as yet made a move to end the strike. The big test will come when such a move is made. The miners, their leaders state, are ready to meet this "offensive" and are prepared to stand by Howat in a "fight to the finish" against both the industrial court and John L. Lewis.

NOV. 12.

Charles "Big Shiner" Middaugh, Indianapolis. Violation of liquor law.

Lige Carpenter, Indianapolis. Violation of liquor law.

Hyman Katz, Gary. Liquor law violation.

NOV. 14.

Charles M. Charters, former cashier of First National Bank, Peru, Ind. Violation of national banking laws.

NOV. 15.

Ethel C. Andrews, Indianapolis. Forgery of name of payee to Government, alteration, check cashing. The C. Dickson, Peru, Ind. Violation of liquor laws. Nick Misevich, Gary. Violation of liquor laws. Dominic Givano, Clinton. Violation of liquor laws.

NOV. 16-17-18-19-20.

B. F. Deahl, president of X-L Furniture Company, Gosport, Ind. Making false income tax return for company.

NOV. 21-22.

John W. Talbott and Pearl Spangler, South Bend. Mann white slate act.

NOV. 23.

Robert Aull Lukens, Richmond. Evading draft. John Craig, Fort Wayne. Napping on his bed. Vincent M. East Chicago; Charles Mosk and Christ Passavant both of Clinton; Clarence C. and Emilie Mullin both of Indianapolis. All charged with violation of liquor laws.

NOV. 24.

James A. West, city judge of Logansport; Othello C. Smith, deputy prosecuting attorney and 12 others. Liquor law violations.

DEC. 2-3.

South Bend liquor conspiracy cases involving twenty-three defendants.

DEC. 6.

South Bend liquor conspiracy cases involving forty-six defendants.

DEC. 9.

John L. Leonard, Richmond. Violation of liquor laws.

Elmer E. Kelly, Logansport. Violation of liquor laws.

DEC. 10.

William Dugan, druggist of Marion. Ind. Violation of liquor laws.

DEC. 12.

Stanley Reckzowski, alderman of East Chicago. Violation of liquor laws.

Edward P. O'Donnell, chief of police of East Chicago, and Thomas Downey, captain of police, and a number of others, all of East Chicago. Conspiracy to violate liquor laws.

DEC. 8-9.

Muncie liquor conspiracy cases involving forty-six defendants.

DEC. 10.

John W. Talbott and Pearl Spangler, South Bend. Mann white slate act.

DEC. 11.

Robert Aull Lukens, Richmond. Evading draft. John Craig, Fort Wayne. Napping on his bed. Vincent M. East Chicago; Charles Mosk and Christ Passavant both of Clinton; Clarence C. and Emilie Mullin both of Indianapolis. All charged with violation of liquor laws.

NOV. 28-29.

Carl L. Heldt, Theodore A. Hull and Mark B. Ingle, all of Evansville. Conspiracy to defraud bank.

NOV. 29-30.

Gary liquor conspiracy cases, involving twenty-three defendants.

DEC. 2-3.

South Bend liquor conspiracy cases involving eight defendants. Peter Mann, South Bend, liquor law violation.

DEC. 6.

George and Theresa Szabo, John Mancuso and Alex Cusillo, all of South Bend. Liquor law violations. Yasa Lacanski, Watar Njegovan and Peter Strelton, all of East Chicago. Liquor law violations.

DEC. 8-9.

Muncie liquor conspiracy cases involving forty-six defendants.

DEC. 10.

John L. Leonard, Richmond. Violation of liquor laws.

Elmer E. Kelly, Logansport. Violation of liquor laws.

DEC. 12.

Stanley Reckzowski, alderman of East Chicago. Violation of liquor laws.

Edward P. O'Donnell, chief of police of East Chicago, and Thomas Downey, captain of police, and a number of others, all of East Chicago. Conspiracy to violate liquor laws.

DEC. 13.

Photographic Shop Open for Inspection

Indianapolis can now boast of the largest photographic shop in the United States, since R. C. Moorefield has taken over the entire ninth floor of the Kahn building for his studio and workshop, including fourteen rooms.

The shop is open to inspection by the public today and is attractively arranged with baskets of pink roses through the parlors and dressing rooms. There are two daintily equipped dressing rooms for the feminine patricesses, fitted with artistic dressing tables, and various other accessories. The parlors are furnished with tapestry overstuffed davenport and huge chairs, with exhibits of art work around the rooms. Tiny ivory framed calendars are being given as favors to the studio guests during the opening.

After considerable argument, however, De Forster decided that in as much as the "beans had been spilled" he might as well talk. He said there had been no signed or sworn confession and nothing but notes taken during a conversation. He said he had not consented to have printed too much about the case and had "spilled the beans."

Before Francis was taken to detectives' headquarters, De Forster was approached on the question of whether there ever had been an authentic confession. He at first denied it, the visitor, duly impressed, then asked him if he had signed a "confession" which was carelessly read to him and he was asked if he was willing to sign it. He was told he would not be compelled to sign anything, and that unless he wished to do so of his own free will he need not put his name on it. He consented readily enough, however, and was sworn to the statement by a notary in the detective department. This happened yesterday morning, and the document constitutes the first and only authentic statement made by Francis.

Francis then was shown the notes on his conversation Sept. 1, with William De Forster, and with Detective G. L. Moorefield, which he denied. This information was referred to repeatedly as a "confession" which was carelessly read to him and he was asked if he was willing to sign it. He was told he would not be compelled to sign anything, and that unless he wished to do so of his own free will he need not put his name on it. He consented readily enough, however, and was sworn to the statement by a notary in the detective department. This happened yesterday morning, and the document constitutes the first and only authentic statement made by Francis.

Before Francis was taken to detectives' headquarters Chief De Forster was approached on the question of whether there ever had been an authentic confession. He at first denied it, the visitor, duly impressed, then asked him if he had signed a "confession" which was carelessly read to him and he was asked if he was willing to sign it. He was told he would not be compelled to sign anything, and that unless he wished to do so of his own free will he need not put his name on it. He consented readily enough, however, and was sworn to the statement by a notary in the detective department. This happened yesterday morning, and the document constitutes the first and only authentic statement made by Francis.

After considerable argument, however, De Forster decided that in as much as the "beans had been spilled" he might as well talk. He said there had been no signed or sworn confession and nothing but notes taken during a conversation.

He said he had not consented to have printed too much about the case and had "spilled the beans."

After considerable argument, however, De Forster decided that in as much as the "beans had been spilled" he might as well talk. He said there had been no signed or sworn confession and nothing but notes taken during a conversation.

He said he had not consented to have printed too much about the case and had "spilled the beans."

After considerable argument, however, De Forster decided that in as much as the "beans had been spilled" he might as well talk. He said there had been no signed or sworn confession and nothing but notes taken during a conversation.

He said he had not consented to have printed too much about the case and had "spilled the beans."

After considerable argument, however, De Forster decided that in as much as the "beans had been spilled" he might as well talk. He said there had been no signed or sworn confession and nothing but notes taken during a conversation.

He said he had not consented to have printed too much about the case and had "spilled the beans."

After considerable argument, however, De Forster decided that in as much as the "beans had been spilled" he might as well talk. He said there had been no signed or sworn confession and nothing but notes taken during a conversation.

He said he had not consented to have printed too much about the case and had "spilled the beans."

After considerable argument, however, De Forster decided that in as much as the "beans had been spilled" he might as well talk. He said there had been no signed or sworn confession and nothing but notes taken during a conversation.

He said he had not consented to have printed too much about the case and had "spilled the beans."

After considerable argument, however, De Forster decided that in as much as the "beans had been spilled" he might as well talk. He said there had been no signed or sworn confession and nothing but notes taken during a conversation.

He said he had not consented to have printed too much about the case and had "spilled the beans."

After considerable argument, however, De Forster decided that in as much as the "beans had been spilled" he might as well talk. He said there had been no signed or sworn confession and nothing but notes taken during a conversation.

He said he had not consented to have printed too much about the case and had "spilled the beans."

After considerable argument, however, De Forster decided that in as much as the "beans had been spilled" he might as well talk. He said there had been no signed or sworn confession and nothing but notes taken during a conversation.

He said he had not consented to have printed too much about the case and had "spilled the beans."

After considerable argument, however, De Forster decided that in as much as the "beans had been spilled" he might as well talk. He said there had been no signed or sworn confession and nothing but notes taken during a conversation.

He said he had not consented to have printed too much about the case and had "spilled the beans."

After considerable argument, however, De Forster decided that in as much as the "beans had been spilled" he might as well talk. He said there had been no signed or sworn confession and nothing but notes taken during a conversation.

He said he had not consented to have printed too much about the case and had "spilled the beans."

After considerable argument, however, De Forster decided that in as much as the "beans had been spilled" he might as well talk. He said there had been no signed or sworn confession and nothing but notes taken during a conversation.

He said he had not consented to have printed too much about the case and had "spilled the beans."

After considerable argument, however, De Forster decided that in as much as the "beans had been spilled" he might as well talk. He said there had been no signed or sworn confession and nothing but notes taken during a conversation.

He said he had not consented to have printed too much about the case and had "spilled the beans."

After considerable argument, however, De Forster decided that in as much as the "beans had been spilled" he might as well talk. He said there had been no signed or sworn confession and nothing but notes taken during a conversation.

He said he had not consented to have printed too much about the case and had "spilled the beans."

After considerable argument, however, De Forster decided that in as much as the "beans had been spilled" he might as well talk. He said there had been no signed or sworn confession and nothing but notes taken during a conversation.

He said he had not consented to have printed too much about the case and had "spilled the beans."

After considerable argument, however, De Forster decided that in as much as the "beans had been spilled" he might as well talk. He said there had been no signed or sworn confession and nothing but notes taken during a conversation.

He said he had not consented to have printed too much about the case and had "spilled the beans."

After considerable argument, however, De Forster decided that in as much as the "beans had been spilled" he might as well talk. He said there had been no signed or sworn confession and nothing but notes taken during a conversation.

He said he had not consented to have printed too much about the case and had "spilled the beans."

After considerable argument, however, De Forster decided that in as much as the "beans had been spilled" he might as well talk. He said there had been no signed or sworn confession and nothing but notes taken during a conversation.

He said he had not consented to have printed too much about the case and had "spilled the beans."

After considerable argument, however, De Forster decided that in as much as the "beans had been spilled" he might as well talk. He said there had been no signed or sworn confession and nothing but notes taken during a conversation.

He said he had not consented to have printed too much about the case and had "spilled the beans."

After considerable argument, however, De Forster decided that in as much as the "beans had been spilled" he might as well talk. He said there had been no signed or sworn confession and nothing but notes taken during a conversation.

He said he had not consented to have printed too much about the case and had "spilled the beans."

After considerable argument, however, De Forster decided that in as much as the "beans had been spilled" he might as well talk. He said there had been no signed or sworn confession and nothing but notes taken during a conversation.

He said he had not consented to have printed too much about the case and had "spilled the beans."

After considerable argument, however, De Forster decided that in as much as the "beans had been spilled" he might as well talk. He said there had been no signed or sworn confession and nothing but notes taken during a conversation.

He said he had not consented to have printed too much about the case and had "spilled the beans."

After considerable argument, however, De Forster decided that in as much as the "beans had been spilled" he might as well talk. He said there had been no signed or sworn confession and nothing but notes taken during a conversation.

He said he had not consented to have printed too much about the case and had "spilled the beans."

After considerable argument, however, De Forster decided that in as much as the "beans had been spilled" he might as well talk. He said there had been no signed or sworn confession and nothing but notes taken during a conversation.

He said he had not consented to have printed too much about the case and had "spilled the beans."

After considerable argument, however, De Forster decided that in as much as the "beans had been spilled" he might as well talk. He said there had been no signed or sworn confession and nothing but notes taken during a conversation.

He said he had not consented to have printed too much about the case and had "spilled the beans."

After considerable argument, however, De Forster decided that in as much as the "beans