

## SMUTS CALLS ON KING TO REPORT ON IRISH TRUCE

African General Said to Consider De Valera's Terms Unreasonable.

### HOPES FOR COMPROMISE

LONDON, July 7.—Gen. Jan Christian Smuts, premier of South Africa, who is attempting to mediate for peace among the factions of Irish strife, was received in audience by King George at Buckingham Palace today. The meeting gave rise to a report that General Smuts was to act as chairman at the proposed Irish peace conference.

In the face of the wave of optimism which has followed the new negotiations at Dublin, it was learned from an authoritative source that General Smuts considers De Valera's proposals unreasonable and impossible of acceptance, but hopes for a compromise.

General Smuts may not return to Dublin to attend the second conference of the Sinn Féiners and Unionists tomorrow.

The Daily Express said the two main difficulties in the way of peace are the following:

1. The Sinn Féin is not ready to admit that the Republic is not a reality.
2. Sir James Craig, premier of Ulster, is not in a position to make dramatic concessions on behalf of his province.

## 25 ATTACKS ON CROWN FORCES

LONDON, July 7.—Twenty-five attacks were made upon Crown forces in Ireland from ambush last week, but the casualties were slightly below those of the preceding week. It was announced by the Irish office today.

A police patrol was attacked at long range from ambush near Ballygar, County Galway, Tuesday. There were no casualties.

On Wednesday several buildings were burned in the same district near the scene of the previous ambush.

## SUITS AGAINST 3 FIRMS IN 'ICE TRUST' DROPPED

(Continued From Page One.)

that is involved here. It is necessary for the protection of property and the maintaining of other property in hot weather that our prayer be granted."

Following the statement, Charles N. Thompson, as special counsel for the State, argued in behalf of the motion asking for the issuing of a temporary restraining order.

The court has before him for disposition before adjournment the task of ruling on the special appearances which were filed by Miller & Dowling for the defendants.

One special appearance, which seeks to prevent the issuing of a temporary restraining order, was filed in interest of the Polar Ice and Fuel Company, Zero Ice and Fuel Company, Holt Ice and Cold Storage Company, Arctic Ice and Cold Storage Company, Coosue & Caylor Ice Company, Stanley Wyckoff as an individual and as executive secretary of the Indiana Ice Producers' Association, of DENNIS BUSINESS.

Mr. Dithmer, in another special appearance, contended that he never has been doing business as the Southern Ice and Coal Company or the Westside Ice and Coal Company and that the defendant does not own the plants of the Polar Ice and Fuel Company, which operates ice plants on the west and south sides.

Mr. Kittle in his special appearance, showed the court that he never has done business as the City Ice and Coal Company and that since June 8, 1920 has been doing business under the firm name of the City Ice and Coal Company. He claimed John S. Kittle and A. J. Kittle are not the same party.

## NINE TALK ON AMENDMENTS

Farm Federation Committee Listens to Discussion.

Nine speakers were on the program this afternoon at the executive committee of the Indiana Federation of Farmers' Associations for the discussion of the proposed constitutional amendments.

The speakers are Governor Warren T. McCray, former Governor James P. Goodrich, former Governor Samuel M. Raitson, W. L. Taylor of Indianapolis, former attorney general; James Noel, Indianapolis, an attorney; Fred Smith, Indianapolis, secretary of the manufacturers' association; Eben H. Walcott, Indianapolis, president of the State Savings and Trust Company; William Boswell, Indianapolis, a farmer; and Walter Chambers, Newcastle, an editor.

The executive committee at a meeting tomorrow will formulate the association's stand on the amendments.

## Motorists Racing on Cement Road Arrested

Russell Stewart, 15, 209 Hendricks place, arrested on July 6, for speeding, 18, 204 North Temple avenue, after an alleged auto race between the two youths on the Allisonville road yesterday, was fined \$10 and costs on a charge of speeding and \$1 and costs on a charge of driving on the left side of the street by Judge Walter Pritchard in city court today. McClure was fined \$10 and costs on a speeding charge. Otis F. Dillie, 913 Ashland avenue, arrested by Sergeant Dean on a charge of speeding, was fined \$25 and costs.

## Ex-Sailors Feast to Celebrate Victory

Celebration of the winning of all three prizes offered by the American Legion in connection with the Fourth of July was held last night by celebration, the George Dewey post, composed entirely of ex-soldiers, at the post headquarters, Sixteenth and Illinois streets. Refreshments were served the boys, and talks and music furnished the entertainment.

**DEFACED STREET: FINED \$100.** Arnold O. Willis, 501 Chadwick street, employed by the Hoss & Potash Contractors of this city, arrested June 28 by Motorpolicemen Hague and Heller with James Beattie, 115 Chadwick street, on a charge of defacing the streets, was given the maximum penalty, \$100 and costs, by Judge Walter Pritchard in city court today. A similar charge against Beattie was continued indefinitely when it was brought out in court that he was driving the vehicle that defaced the street.

## PLAYGROUND IS NAMED AFTER A BOARD MEMBER

Late George Lemaux Honored—Claypool Park Changed to Douglas.

Two parks and a playground were given names, Riverside park and two playgrounds were renamed and a new system of designating picnic grounds was adopted by the board of park commissioners today.

The new property at Twenty-Fifth street and Martin street, which has been known as Claypool park, was named Douglas park after an Indiana negro who gained fame in Washington, D. C. The Margaret J. Chapman park was officially given that name and the new playground at Forty-Seventh street and Park avenue was named George Lemaux playground after the late member of the board of public works.

Riverside now is the Taggart Riverside park, in honor of former Mayor Thomas Taggart, in whose administration the ground was purchased and the park established. Kingsford playground was changed to Washington playground, Greer street to Roosevelt playground and Almont to Dunbar playground, the latter after Paul Lawrence Dunbar, the negro poet.

Picnic grounds in all parks are to be given names and equipped with more facilities for parties. Those in Riverside park are to be improved first. The grounds there were named Emerson, Lowell, Whittier, Tarkington, Riley and Nicholson.

Further honor to Mr. Taggart was given when it was decided to build a fieldhouse to contain toilet, locker and shower facilities in the center of the present acreage at Taggart Riverside park devoted to baseball, football and soccer fields and name the field Taggart field and the house Taggart fieldhouse.

Detailed plans for a swimming pool at Douglas park were ordered prepared after a rough plan was approved. The pool will have a capacity of 4,000 lockers, will be oval in shape, 172 by 125 feet at the longest and widest points, and will cost approximately \$50,000. It is similar to those to be built in Rhodius and Brookside parks.

James H. L. Leary, superintendent of parks, announced that ground will be broken for the Willard park pool Friday morning.

## DAILY REPORTS SHOW WORK OF HUMANE COPS

(Continued From Page One.)

made by him, he spent much time in juvenile court, and made inspections at one poultry market house, and various other localities.

The following shows the acts performed by Sgt. Bledsoe each day:

June 30—Routine inspections; reported four dogs for fast driving with license. Routine inspections were practically all Sergeant Gaddis did, the reports show. His routine work consisted of inspections at poultry yards, railroad yards, wholesale districts, commission row, hay market, traders' alley, and dumps at West Washington and West Michigan streets.

Special investigations and special work was done by Sergeant Gaddis, as follows:

June 7—Reprimanded one driver for overloading.

June 8—Reprimanded three drivers, two for overloading, one for failure to repair harness.

June 9—Reprimanded three drivers for overloading.

June 10—Ordered one horse to blacksmith shop.

June 11—Ordered two drivers to place new packs under horse collars.

June 12—Reprimanded two drivers for overloading.

June 13—Ordered two drivers to repair harness.

June 14—Special investigation of complaint.

June 15—In office one hour.

June 16—Investigated case of desecration.

June 17—Investigated charity case.

June 18—Investigated charity case.

June 19—Investigated charity case.

June 20—Investigated charity case.

June 21—Investigated charity case.

June 22—Investigated charity case.

June 23—Investigated charity case.

June 24—Investigated charity case.

June 25—Investigated charity case.

June 26—Investigated charity case.

June 27—Investigated charity case.

June 28—Investigated charity case.

June 29—Investigated charity case.

June 30—Investigated charity case.

June 1—Investigated charity case.

June 2—Investigated charity case.

June 3—Investigated charity case.

June 4—Investigated charity case.

June 5—Investigated charity case.

## The Only Way to Be a Successful Commuter Is to Surround Yourself With 300 Clocks and Take the CONSENSUS of Opinion

By DON HEROLD

Hurry up, Harry, or you'll miss the eight forty

I'll be clock-eyed if we live in the suburbs much longer



## CITIZEN PLEADS FOR BOND ISSUE

(Continued From Page One.)

of the tax board regarded those contracts with some disfavor. They were careful not to question the ability of Mr. Snider, but there seemed to be a tendency to regard the payments made under them as very liberal.

Attorneys for the school board presented elaborate sets of figures to show that on four buildings under discussion the Snider & Rotz contracts would result in a saving of \$4,000 to the city over the system in use before the Snider & Rotz contracts were entered into.

These figures showed that architects are paid 6 per cent for school work here, while the old plan a clerk of the works at \$2,000 a year was employed to supervise the work. This interested Mr. Hough greatly and he at once wished to know why it was necessary to employ a clerk of the works.

Mr. Hough asked, "What is the salary of \$2,000 a year was employed to supervise the work. This interested Mr. Hough greatly and he at once wished to know why it was necessary to employ a clerk of the works."

Mr. Hough asked, "What is the salary of \$2,000 a year was employed to supervise the work. This interested Mr. Hough greatly and he at once wished to know why it was necessary to employ a clerk of the works."

Mr. Hough asked, "What is the salary of \$2,000 a year was employed to supervise the work. This interested Mr. Hough greatly and he at once wished to know why it was necessary to employ a clerk of the works."

Mr. Hough asked, "What is the salary of \$2,000 a year was employed to supervise the work. This interested Mr. Hough greatly and he at once wished to know why it was necessary to employ a clerk of the works."

Mr. Hough asked, "What is the salary of \$2,000 a year was employed to supervise the work. This interested Mr. Hough greatly and he at once wished to know why it was necessary to employ a clerk of the works."

Mr. Hough asked, "What is the salary of \$2,000 a year was employed to supervise the work. This interested Mr. Hough greatly and he at once wished to know why it was necessary to employ a clerk of the works."

Mr. Hough asked, "What is the salary of \$2,000 a year was employed to supervise the work. This interested Mr. Hough greatly and he at once wished to know why it was necessary to employ a clerk of the works."

Mr. Hough asked, "What is the salary of \$2,000 a year was employed to supervise the work. This interested Mr. Hough greatly and he at once wished to know why it was necessary to employ a clerk of the works."

Mr. Hough asked, "What is the salary of \$2,000 a year was employed to supervise the work. This interested Mr. Hough greatly and he at once wished to know why it was necessary to employ a clerk of the works."

Mr. Hough asked, "What is the salary of \$2,000 a year was employed to supervise the work. This interested Mr. Hough greatly and he at once wished to know why it was necessary to employ a clerk of the works."

Mr. Hough asked, "What is the salary of \$2,000 a year was employed to supervise the work. This interested Mr. Hough greatly and he at once wished to know why it was necessary to employ a clerk of the works."

Mr. Hough asked, "What is the salary of \$2,000 a year was employed to supervise the work. This interested Mr. Hough greatly and he at once wished to know why it was necessary to employ a clerk of the works."

Mr. Hough asked, "What is the salary of \$2,000 a year was employed to supervise the work. This interested Mr. Hough greatly and he at once wished to know why it was necessary to employ a clerk of the works."

Mr. Hough asked, "What is the salary of \$2,000 a year was employed to supervise the work. This interested Mr. Hough greatly and he at once wished to know why it was necessary to employ a clerk of the works."

Mr. Hough asked, "What is the salary of \$2,000 a year was employed to supervise the work. This interested Mr. Hough greatly and he at once wished to know why it was necessary to employ a clerk of the works."

Mr. Hough asked, "What is the salary of \$2,000 a year was employed to supervise the work. This interested Mr. Hough greatly and he at once wished to know why it was necessary to employ a clerk of the works."

Mr. Hough asked, "What is the salary of \$2,000 a year was employed to supervise the work. This interested Mr. Hough greatly and he at once wished to know why it was necessary to employ a clerk of the works."

Mr. Hough asked, "What is the salary of \$2,000 a year was employed to supervise the work. This interested Mr. Hough greatly and he at once wished to know why it was necessary to employ a clerk of the works."

Mr. Hough asked, "What is the salary of \$2,000 a year was employed to supervise the work. This interested Mr. Hough greatly and he at once wished to know why it was necessary to employ a clerk of the works."

Mr. Hough asked, "What is the salary of \$2,000 a year was employed to supervise the work. This interested Mr. Hough greatly and he at once wished to know why it was necessary to employ a clerk of the works."

Mr. Hough asked, "What is the salary of \$2,000 a year was employed to supervise the work. This interested Mr. Hough greatly and he at once wished to know why it was necessary to employ a clerk of the works."

Mr. Hough asked, "What is the salary of \$2,000 a year was employed to supervise the work. This interested Mr. Hough greatly and he at once wished to know why it was necessary to employ a clerk of the works."

Mr. Hough asked, "What is the salary of \$2,000 a year was employed to supervise the work. This interested Mr. Hough greatly and he at once wished to know why it was necessary to employ a clerk of the works."

Mr. Hough asked, "What is the salary of \$2,000 a year was employed to supervise the work. This interested Mr. Hough greatly and he at once wished to know why it was necessary to employ a clerk of the works."

Mr. Hough asked, "What is the salary of \$2,000 a year was employed to supervise the work. This interested Mr. Hough greatly and he at once wished to know why it was necessary to employ a clerk of the works."

Mr. Hough asked, "What is the salary of \$2,000 a year was employed to supervise the work. This interested Mr. Hough greatly and he at once wished to know why it was necessary to employ a clerk of the works."

Mr. Hough asked, "What is the salary of \$2,000 a year was employed to supervise the work. This interested Mr. Hough greatly and he at once wished to know why it was necessary to employ a clerk of the works."

## MCRAE GRANTS FIVE PAROLES

Two 'Lifers' Given Freedom for Week to Visit Sick Families.

Four temporary paroles, two of them to murderers, and one conditional parole, have been granted by Governor Warren T. McCray. It was learned today. In addition, the Governor has revoked one parole.

The temporary paroles were granted as follows: Charles Mitchell, convicted of murder in Lawrence County, Feb. 1, 1912, and sentenced to life at the State Prison, paroled for one week to visit his sick mother.

Charles Reveles, convicted in Wayne County, April 20, 1912, and sentenced to life at the State Prison, paroled for one week to visit his sick father.

Alfred E. Klegg, sentenced in Rush County, Jan. 22, 1921, to serve six months to five years in the Reformatory for vehicle taking, paroled for thirty days because of illness.

The Governor issued a conditional pardon to William F. Martin, convicted in Allen County May 24, 1921, of a statutory offense. Martin was pardoned in order that he might be taken to Zeigler, Iowa, where he is said to be wanted for escape from the State Reformatory in May, 1918.

The parole of Willis Vanzant, sentenced from one to seven years for obtaining money under false pretense in Warrick County, was revoked. Vanzant was sentenced in Missouri, June 21, to serve three years for burglary and larceny.

The parole of Willis Vanzant, sentenced from one to seven years for obtaining money under false pretense in Warrick County, was revoked. Vanzant was sentenced in Missouri, June 21, to serve three years for burglary and larceny.

## NEW OBJECTS TO USELESS WASTE

Appeals to Dawes, Director of Budget, to Prevent Rental in Cincinnati.

That Senator Harry S. New is carrying direct to General Charles G. Dawes, the new budget director, the protest against the proposed expenditures for the War Risk Insurance Bureau (for Cincinnati) for carrying on the bureau's activities in that section of the country" is shown by the following letter sent by Senator New to General Dawes:

"I am this morning in receipt of a letter from Col. John B. Reynolds, secretary Indianapolis Chamber of Commerce, in which he calls my attention to a letter he has written you, together with a copy of a protest signed by various citizens of Indianapolis, against carrying on a proposal made by the War Risk Insurance Bureau for the renting of certain headquarters at Chicago for carrying on the bureau's activities in that section of the country."

"The original of this protest is in my possession. The signatories are the leading citizens of Indianapolis and the State, including the Governor, mayor of Indianapolis, presidents of banks, the heads of the biggest manufacturing and commercial concerns in the State, lawyers, judges of all sorts of courts, doctors, et al. I think I have never seen a collection of Indianapolis signatories containing a smaller portion of unknown people."

"I have had some occasion to investigate this matter and I think the statement made in Colonel Reynolds' letter to you is correct. I do not at all understand why it is necessary to pay out so much greater a sum of public money in one case than is called for the other, and it would appear from what I know of it that this furnishes a splendid opportunity to inaugurate one of the substantial savings you have in mind."

"I would be glad to talk with you about this personally at an opportunity convenient to yourself."

Colonel Reynolds has protested against the maintenance of the War Risk Insurance Bureau in the grounds that it is far more costly than locations offered elsewhere, especially in Indianapolis.

The hearing was held in the House of Representatives and the room was comfortably filled with spectators. A large delegation representing the East Side Civic League were on hand in the interests of a new building at No. 62.

At the close of the hearing both sides to a controversy filed numerous sets of figures and statistics of various kinds. Frederick C. Schortemeier, secretary of the Indianapolis State central committee, with Mr. Sims, is representing the remonstrants, while Frederick E. Matson is in charge of the case for the school board.

The hearing was held in the House of Representatives and the room was comfortably filled with spectators. A large delegation representing the East Side Civic League were on hand in the interests of a new building at No. 62.

At the close of the hearing both sides to a controversy filed numerous sets of figures and statistics of various kinds. Frederick C. Schortemeier, secretary of the Indianapolis State central committee, with Mr. Sims, is representing the remonstrants, while Frederick E. Matson is in charge of the case for the school board.

The hearing was held in the House of Representatives and the room was comfortably filled with spectators. A large delegation representing the East Side Civic League were on hand in the interests of a new building at No. 62.

At the close of the hearing both sides to a controversy filed numerous sets of figures and statistics of various kinds. Frederick C. Schortemeier, secretary of the Indianapolis State central committee, with Mr. Sims, is representing the remonstrants, while Frederick E. Matson is in charge of the case for the school board.

The hearing was held in the House of Representatives and the room was comfortably filled with spectators. A large delegation representing the East Side Civic League were on hand in the interests of a new building at No. 62.

At the close of the hearing both sides to a controversy filed numerous sets of figures and statistics of various kinds. Frederick C. Schortemeier, secretary of the Indianapolis State central committee, with Mr. Sims, is representing the remonstrants, while Frederick E. Matson is in charge of the case for the school board.

The hearing was held in the House of Representatives and the room was comfortably filled with spectators. A large delegation representing the East Side Civic League were on hand in the interests of a new building at No. 62.

At the close of the hearing both sides to a controversy filed numerous sets of figures and statistics of various kinds. Frederick C. Schortemeier, secretary of the Indianapolis State central committee, with Mr. Sims, is representing the remonstrants, while Frederick E. Matson is in charge of the case for the school board.

The hearing was held in the House of Representatives and the room was comfortably filled with spectators. A large delegation representing the East Side Civic League were on hand in the interests of a new building at No. 62.

At the close of the hearing both sides to a controversy filed numerous sets of figures and statistics of various kinds. Frederick C. Schortemeier, secretary of the Indianapolis State central committee, with Mr. Sims, is representing the remonstrants, while Frederick E. Matson is in charge of the case for the school board.

The hearing was held in the House of Representatives and the room was comfortably filled with spectators. A large delegation representing the East Side Civic League were on hand in the interests of a new building at No. 62.

At the close of the hearing both sides to a controversy filed numerous sets of figures and statistics of various kinds. Frederick C. Schortemeier, secretary of the Indianapolis State central committee, with Mr. Sims, is representing the remonstrants, while Frederick E. Matson is in charge of the case for the school board.

The hearing was held in the House of Representatives and the room was comfortably filled with spectators. A large delegation representing the East Side Civic League were on hand in the interests of a new building at No. 62.

At the close of the hearing both sides to a controversy filed numerous sets of figures and statistics of various kinds. Frederick C. Schortemeier, secretary of the Indianapolis State central committee, with Mr. Sims, is representing the remonstrants, while Frederick E. Matson is in charge of the case for the school board.

The hearing was held in the House of Representatives and the room was comfortably filled with spectators. A large delegation representing the East Side Civic League were on hand in the interests of a new building at No. 62.

## Bondsmen Get New Handicap on Soliciting

A "crimp" has been put into soliciting by professional bondsmen at police headquarters with the orders to the turnkeys to obtain written requests from prisoners for bondsmen and to call bondsmen for prisoners only when such requests are obtained.

The new order was issued by Judge Walter Pritchard following a conference with Chief of Police Jerry Kinney. Under the new system the prisoner will write his request for a bondsman on a slip of paper, write the name and telephone number of the bondsman, and give it to the turnkey who will communicate with the bondsman.

Judge Pritchard has attempted to halt soliciting by bondsmen ever since he became judge of the city court, but with little success, for as soon as he would stop one set of professionals, other politicians would appear and signing bonds and soliciting. The court has sent bondsmen to jail at two different times for indirect contempt of court when it was shown that they had solicited.

The new order was issued by Judge Walter Pritchard following a conference with Chief of Police Jerry Kinney. Under the new system the prisoner will write his request for a bondsman on a slip of paper, write the name and telephone number of the bondsman, and give it to the turnkey who will communicate with the bondsman.

Judge Pritchard has attempted to halt soliciting by bondsmen ever since he became judge of the city court, but with little success, for as soon as he would stop one set of professionals, other politicians would appear and signing bonds and soliciting. The court has sent bondsmen to jail at two different times for indirect contempt of court when it was shown that they had solicited.

## SKIPS PAYMENT LANDS IN COURT

Young Couple's Romance Ends When 'Other Woman' Appears, Wife Says.

A brief romance of a year figured in city court today, when Charles Miller, 19, 616 East Washington street, was tried on a lacy husband charge. Charles proved that he had been working every day for more than a year and that he had been paying his wife, Geneva, \$10 a week since they had been separated five weeks ago with the exception of last week.

The failure to pay the \$10 last Saturday which would cause Charles his trouble. The wife is one year younger than the husband. Everything was lovely, Mrs. Miller testified in city court until "the other woman" came along.

"Elizabeth Kennedy, whose home is on East Washington street is the other woman," she said.

Following a quarrel, the young couple consulted Miss Laurel Thayer, probation officer in the city court. The husband agreed to pay his wife \$10 a week and kept his promise for four weeks, but he claimed he was of the "it last week and therefore had no opportunity to pay Mrs. Miller swore out a warrant which landed her husband in the city court.

Judge Walter Pritchard asked Miller how much he earned. He was told "50 a week." Then followed an argument between J. Burdette Little, prosecutor, and Miller's attorney as to what part of the \$30 Mrs. Miller was entitled. The judge ordered Miller to pay \$10 last week and continued the case indefinitely, warning him that failure to pay the amount regularly would cause the case to be reopened.

Judge Walter Pritchard asked Miller how much he earned. He was told "50 a week." Then followed an argument between J. Burdette Little, prosecutor, and Miller's attorney as to what part of the \$30 Mrs. Miller was entitled. The judge ordered Miller to pay \$10 last week and continued the case indefinitely, warning him that failure to pay the amount regularly would cause the case to be reopened.

Judge Walter Pritchard asked Miller how much he earned. He was told "50 a week." Then followed an argument between J. Burdette Little, prosecutor, and Miller's attorney as to what part of the \$30 Mrs. Miller was entitled. The judge ordered Miller to pay \$10 last week and continued the case indefinitely, warning him that failure to pay the amount regularly would cause the case to be reopened.

Judge Walter Pritchard asked Miller how much he earned. He was told "50 a week." Then followed an argument between J. Burdette Little, prosecutor, and Miller's attorney as to what part of the \$30 Mrs. Miller was entitled. The judge ordered Miller to pay \$10 last week and continued the case indefinitely, warning him that failure to pay the amount regularly would cause the case to be reopened.