

SPECULATE ON TURN IN COAL MINERS' CASES

Will Evidence Palmer Ordered
Withheld Be Used in
Prosecution?

'GOOD FAITH' AT ISSUE

Interest in the Federal prosecution of 125 coal miners and operators under an indictment charging them with violation of the Lever act centered today in speculation as to whether the local Government attorneys will use the evidence which Attorney General A. C. Mitchell Palmer ordered withheld from the statements of Judge A. B. Anderson in court yesterday presage prosecution of the attorney general. The case was continued until Jan. 10 with the understanding the court will endeavor meanwhile to find out if what he termed as a "good faith" prosecution may be made. Before granting to the government's motion for continuance on the ground that it is unprepared, Judge Anderson said the action of the Attorney General in ordering the suppression of vital evidence after a grand jury had returned an indictment based in great part upon it was "strangely near" to compounding felony and "very close" to being contempt of court.

HINGES ON REQUEST OF ATTORNEY GENERAL

The court's statement that he will endeavor to ascertain if a "good faith" prosecution can be made is taken to mean he will find out if the evidence which it is alleged the attorney general wants suppressed is to be used.

Dan W. Simms, former special assistant United States attorney and assistant to the attorney general, resigned when Mr. Palmer, on Sept. 22, instructed him not to use in the present prosecution any facts which were connected with the injunction and contempt proceedings against members of the United Mine Workers in October and November, 1919.

Mr. Simms said after the hearing closed yesterday that he will not return to the case.

Judge Anderson, in considering the procedure in the case, said:

"Would it not be contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce?"

QUESTIONS DISCUSSED CONTEND PHASE

Bearing upon the point of possible contempt proceedings the judge said:

"Of all the things that a man who is a prosecuting officer should not do is to attempt to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce."

He then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

The court then proceeded to quote authorities to the effect that it is not contempt of court for a prosecuting officer to bring about a prosecution which, from the beginning, would be a farce.

FOOD PHASE OF NEW LAW FAILS IN EXECUTION

(Continued From Page One.)

BLAME LAID TO LEGISLATURE

The blame for the tied hands of the commission is not being laid at the door of the commission, but rather is being placed at the feet of the Legislature. Those who are questioning the motives of the act are wondering why, when the bill was passed, the General Assembly did not provide for some means to gather funds for conducting an investigation of food profiteering, or why it did not make a specific appropriation for the use of the commission for its investigation of coal and food conditions in the State.

The period of operation of the special coal and food commission expires March 1, 1921, unless the regular session of the Legislature prolongs it, it will pass out of existence.

With colder weather practically here, and with the coal situation becoming more and more serious, it is reasonable to presume that not time will be left to the commission to investigate any profiteering in food, as the time and personnel of the commission is now occupied entirely in investigation of the coal situation.

Marriage Licenses

Elza Hawkins, 602 S. Delaware st., 28

Chloe True, 418 W. Henry st., 28

Clifford Smith, 1400 N. La Salle st., 28

Flora Lombard, 2726 N. La Salle st., 28

Charles Massingale, 3705 Brightwood, 21

Alce Brunett, 2327 Walker st., 18

Lee Yocum, 438 W. New York st., 28

Irene Gowen, 848 Camp st., 21

Oliver J. Karger, St. Louis, Mo., 21

Elsie C. Harger, 2394 N. Delaware st., 28

Stirling Griffin, 1400 N. Blackford st., 28

Bethel Porter, 338 N. Blackford st., 28

Wilber S. Harris, Hamilton Co., 23

Ruth Hodges, Hadley, Ind., 21

Fred King, 2620 W. Washington st., 28

Blanche Halls, 824 N. Capitol ave., 19

David Curran, 1225 Hoyt ave., 28

Margaret Fitzpatrick, 1415 S. Alabama st., 28

Harriet Williams, Wabash, Ind., 28

Wm. Bundy, Wabash, Ind., 28

Harley Wilson, 219 E. McCarty st., 21

Twilla Baker, 825 S. Delaware st., 16

Ralph McPeak, 351 Broadway st., 28

Itha Howard, 1214 English ave., 28

Ralph Hunter, Putnam Co., 30

Tressa Brewer, 2422 Roosevelt ave., 30

Lampton Gots, 511 W. Ohio st., 28

Archie Smith, 35 S. Missouri st., 18

Christ Mente, 451 N. Pennsylvania st., 29

Edna Rodgers, 606 Ogden st., 23

Walter Smith, Louisville, Ky., 28

Maud McGraw, Frankfort, Ind., 28

Lyman Yancey, New York, 36

Frances Poney, New York, 36

Herschel Thixton, Bloomington, Ind., 28

William Dandridge, 908 N. Senate ave., 28

Pearl Leach, 1133 N. Senate ave., 25

John Smith, 933 W. Eleventh st., 28

Lulu Johnson, 318 N. Elder st., 28

Carl Boeldt, 329 E. Orange st., 25

Helen Giron, 135 E. Minnesota st., 21

Jessie Taylor, 323 Madison ave., 25

Temmy Day, Irving Place, 28

Rush Johnson, Shelbyville, Ind., 28

Oliver Traut, 47 N. Bradley st., 28

Claude Jones, Jr., 2322 S. State st., 25

Waneta Driscoll, 3129 N. Illinois st., 25

Deaths

Clara West, 23, Methodist Hospital, cerebral spinal meningitis.

John Brunne, 68, Methodist Hospital, carcinoma.

Alta Cain, 41, 950 N. Sheffield, pulmonary tuberculosis.

Howard Harvey Boswell, 5, 1125 Udell, tubercular meningitis.

William Woosner, 47, Central Indiana Hospital, paresis.

Anna Ruth Jones, 19, St. Vincent's Hospital, streptococcal septicaemia.

Jacob G. Parcel, 57, 1511 Garfield place, lobar pneumonia.

Infant Hayes, 10 hours, Methodist Hospital, cerebral hemorrhage.

Martin V. Toney, 85, Central Indiana Hospital, erysipelas.

Lora Bonds, 35, 424 West Sixteenth, pulmonary tuberculosis.

Esther Hyde, 7, City Hospital, scarlet fever.

George W. Beam, 69, Methodist Hospital, carcinoma.

British Plan Ypres Gate as Memorial

LONDON, Nov. 9.—For the purpose of Lord Riddell headed a committee which has visited both countries.

"The best suggestion we have had so far," said Lord Riddell, "is the erection of a gate on the Menin road, Ypres."

It is a matter of pride to the British Army that it held Ypres through all the attacks made upon it, and in Belgium that is the natural choice for a memorial.

"There were so many places in France that the British Army made famous by its courage that it would be difficult to select any particular place. Therefore, it is more than likely that Paris will be selected as the most suitable place for our memorial."

"We hope the United States will erect a memorial to their brave men who fell in the struggle. I am certain that this will be done and the scene of the last great and victorious effort against the enemy will doubtless be selected by them."

Risk Agents to Meet

MARION, Ind., Nov. 9.—Eighty fire insurance agents from all parts of the State will gather Nov. 10 for the annual convention of the Conservation and Fire Protection Association of Indiana. All fire hazards in the city will be inspected by the visitors, including factories, business houses and as many residences as possible.

Arrangements for the meeting have been completed by John E. Nigley, Winchester, president of the association, and E. D. Weaver, Indianapolis, secretary.

ACTION IN DEBS CASE INDORSED Wilson Commended by Legion Adjutants.

In accordance with unanimous vote at today's session of the conference of department adjutants of the American Legion, the Washington hotel, the following telegram was sent to President Wilson:

Honorable Woodrow Wilson, Washington, D. C.

Your decision not to pardon Eugene V. Debs, as recently reported in the press, is heartily commended by department adjutants of the American Legion in conference at Indianapolis. The American Legion views with heartfelt satisfaction this vital act in support of the fundamental doctrines of the Constitution. We believe in other loyal and patriotic citizens will join with those who placed their bodies between our nation and the without, in practically unanimous endorsement of a policy that holds no compromise for the enemies in our midst who would overthrow the Government by force.

P. W. GALBRAITH, JR., National Commander, The American Legion.

It was decided that a committee of five adjutants, consisting of Hugh W. Robertson of New York, William G. Murdock of Pennsylvania, W. L. Price of Virginia, W. Q. Settle of Illinois, Frank J. Abbot of New Hampshire, and particularly anxious to confer with the proper officials of the War Department concerning the method to be employed by the department in publication of the names of draft dodgers and slackers.

It was explained that the committee was concerned, first, with a plan which will eliminate the inclusion in such published list of men who joined some military organization and are unjustly accused of dodging the draft; that it also was the wish to eliminate the names of those who died before they had an opportunity to answer the draft; and second, that the committee is concerned with placing at the disposal of the War Department 1,500,000 members of the legion in 10,000 posts to assist in apprehending and prosecuting these criminals.

and is particularly anxious that speedy action be taken so that the three years limit be not reached before these slackers are arrested and convicted.

WARRING BALL FACTIONS BID FOR MINOR AID

(Continued From Page One.)

spoken regarding the proposed Lasker plan. "It will get mighty few votes from the minors," Hickey said.

Dave Fultz, president of the International League, who was standing near, agreed.

He believes the American Association will present a solid front against the Lasker plan," Hickey continued. "It seems to be the outgrowth of a quartet between American League owners. Until the minors settle their quarrels they can hardly expect the minors to take sides."

It is evident the minors are not looking for war or anything akin to it. They have the initiative and the money to go ahead without fearing about any minor league squabbles. No less than ten minor league presidents made this plain today. This stand, taken in face of the belief that the new war is going to be either a tragedy or the worst kind of a farce, is the solid stand of 75 per cent of the baseball men gathered here. Whether or not they will maintain it is a question. What the minors want is equality.

TO INSIST ON EQUAL RIGHTS.

Among other things David L. Fultz, president of the new International League, said: "Our position seems quite plain. The minors represent the country and baseball fans at large. We have vastly more invested in the game than have the majors and we employ many more players. For the last two years we have been going along smoothly, running things in our own way, and we will be perfectly satisfied to continue."

MOTHER!

"California Syrup of Figs"

Child's Best Laxative

Accept "California" Syrup of Figs only—look for the name California on the package, then you are sure your child is having the best and most harmless physic for the stomach, liver and bowels. Children love its fruity taste. Full directions on each bottle. You must say "California."—Advertisement.

Why Be Skinny?

It's Easy to Be Plump, Popular and Attractive

It's easy to be plump, popular and attractive instead of being thin, angular and scrawny. Almost invariably the trouble is due to weak nerves and consequent failure to assimilate your food. You may eat heartily, but owing to the lack of nervous energy and impoverished blood you don't get the benefit from the food you eat. All of this can be remedied very quickly by taking with each meal a five-grain tablet of Blood-Iron Phosphate. This quickly strengthens the nervous system, enriches the blood and increases its oxygen carrying power, and in a remarkably short time the average thin, weak, nervous man or woman gains not only to put on flesh, but also begins to look and feel better. Sleep, appetite, strength and endurance are improved, dull eyes become bright, and the complexion, with some orange-brown, takes on a healthy glow. If you take Blood-Iron Phosphate regularly, you should not only look and feel much better and many years younger. Deposit \$1.00 today with Isaac's, Hook's or Hudson's for your order. For a three weeks' treatment. Use as directed and if at the end of three weeks you are not delighted go back and get your money. Your druggist, a man you know, is authorized to give it to you.—Advertisement.

Money back without question if you are not satisfied. Write to: RINGWORM, TETTER or other itching skin diseases. 375 N. 25th street, Chicago, Ill.

BOOK DRUG COMPANY

To Land Big Tarpon Now Harding's Chief Ambition

POINT ISABEL, Texas, Nov. 9.—

Throwing aside the restraints of public life President-elect Warren G. Harding got into a soft shirt and baggy trousers today and went out into the bay to fish for tarpon. His chief ambition on the trip is to land one of the monster fish, a feat said to be the height of every angler's desire down here.

This afternoon Harding expected to motor over to the Brownsville Country Club for golf. The Harding party shifted as best it could last night, as the train running from Brownsville, jumped the track with all the baggage aboard. There was much clamor, but all was in vain as nobody around would do anything until daylight.

There is great bustle and stir in this normally sleepy little fishing valley. No quarterly spot could have been chosen by Harding as a retreat than this straggling little place with its low, weather-stained shacks on the bare sandy point here at the southern extremity of Texas, just six miles from the Mexican border.

Senator and Mrs. Harding are quartered in the roomy summer cottage of R. B. Crease, who is putting up the personal friend of Harding. The low,

green house, built on comfortable, rambling lines, stands out on the point overlooking the village on one side and the serene bay on the other. Flaming shacks bob at anchor along the beach.

A hundred yards from the Crease cottage is the only hotel—a two-story affair, with railed porches all the way around. Pigs and chickens wander about the courtyard indifferent to the excitement attending the arrival of the next president of the United States.

The narrow gauge railroad connecting the point with Brownsville runs down the main street of the town.