

NEW BASEBALL GOVERNING PROPOSAL TAKEN UP BY THE MAJOR MAGNATES IN CHICAGO

FRICTION SEEN AS BIG LEAGUE OWNERS MEET

Heydler and Others Open Discussion of 'Lasker Plan' Despite Objections.

'CURE-ALL' IS SOUGHT

CHICAGO, Oct. 18.—A new constitution for baseball was under consideration by a majority of club owners of the two major leagues meeting here today.

The Lasker plan, "providing for a 'civilian' commission, picked from the leaders of the nation, who will charge the game with the proposed changes," was put forth by A. O. Lasker, one of the main stockholders in the Chicago National League Club. Following disclosures of crookedness in baseball by the Cook County grand jury.

The Lasker plan would be a substitute for the present system of governing baseball by a national commission, picked up of the two major leagues, the two major leagues and a chairman picked by the two presidents. At present there is no chairman, as Bill Johnson, head of the American League, and John Heydler, head of the National League, have been unable to agree upon a third man.

Johnson announced he opposed a change now because the Cook County grand jury had not completed its work of investigating alleged throwing of games by players. He said the investigations might result in disclosure of more secret understandings than those already made in connection with the throwing of the 1919 grand jury. The grand jury is to resume its work tomorrow.

The five clubs which were not represented at today's meeting have always been regarded as "Johnson followers" and supported the president of the league in his financial policies.

All of the eleven clubs represented at the meeting have indicated their approval of the "Lasker plan." Every club owner present at the meeting was represented. The Chicago, Boston and New York Clubs, the American League clubs, which have composed the faction opposed to Johnson in the junior league, were represented by their officers.

The magnates on hand for the meeting were loath to discuss the question before the session opened, as it was known the magnates had to get down to the nitty-gritty of the personnel of the proposed commission today. Some of the men recommended as leaders of the commission have been suggested for members of the commission. These include General Pershing, Judge K. M. Landis and former President Wilson.

The commission would have complete authority and responsibility for the conduct of the game in both minor and major leagues.

An announcement was made today that when the grand jury probe is resumed tomorrow the following will be examined: Harry Frazee, president of the Boston Club of the American League; Charles Ebbets of the Brooklyn Dodgers; Fred Mitchell, manager of the Cubs; John T. Sargeant, manager of the Cubs of the City; T. O'Neil, traveling secretary of the Chicago White Sox and Bill Johnson, head of the American League.

Several players may also be called, it was announced. Conduct of baseball pools will also be probed.

IN THE LOCAL GRID CAMPS

Butler has played three games this season and has piled up a total of 127 points as against 27 for its opponents. Not bad for a team that up until a week ago Saturday had not won a game in two years.

That place kick of Griggs' in the Tech-Newcastle High School game from the third week marks the first goal from the field ever made by a high school player this year. Griggs is another one of our "inexperienced" high school gridsters who seems to be picking up the game in a remarkably short time.

Tech, in the only two football games in her history, has scored 48 points, and has not been scored on in the Kirklin game, the only marker that has crossed the Green and White line in two years.

This coming week-end will see Coach Earhart's protégés resting up from the labor of the past two weeks and then until they stack up against Manual, Nov. 29, in the first football game between the two Indianapolis high schools since 1907.

As things stand now Tech is a slight favorite in this first clash for the city, but if the Manual athletes improve

Local Grid Outfit
Tied by Referee in
Rensselaer ContestBacked by Up-State Fans and
Coach in Raw Deal Claims
—Issue Challenge.

Saturday Grid Scores

INDIANAPOLIS.
Butler, 74; Wilmington, 0.INDIANA.
Earlham, 7; Rose Poly, 6.

Earlham, 7; Rose Poly, 6.

Marshall, 8; Northwestern College, 0.

MICHIGAN.
Indiana, 21; Minnesota, 7.

Ohio State, 17; Purdue, 0.

Illinois, 20; Iowa, 3.

Wisconsin, 27; Northwestern, 7.

WEST.
Chicago, 41; Wabash, 0.

Michigan, 35; Western Michigan, 0.

IRISH-CRIMSON RECORDS TALK OF GRID FANS

Hoosier Elevens Perform in Feature Victories of Saturday's Card.

BUTLER EASY WINNER

Notre Dame and Indiana were Hoosier opponents in the first game of Saturday's card.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front field, was the first to be won by the Hoosiers.

The back field, which for a time looked like the front