

TRY TO ENLIST FRAT' BROTHERS TO AID WATSON

Michigan Phi Psi Sends Out
Appeals to Alumni in
Indiana.

WILSON ALSO MEMBER

Incessed at what they term an attempt to make use of their fraternity for selfish purposes, members of the Phi Kappa Psi, a Greek letter fraternity, among its members are the Indiana Republicans, Wilson, are condemning in no uncertain terms the attempt of Will A. Gavin, of Sturgis, Mich., to campaign for Senator James E. Watson under the name of the fraternity.

Hoosier members have received the following letter from Mr. Gavin:

WILL A. CAVIN,
Lumber and Building Material,
General Contracting and Building,
Sturgis, Mich., Oct. 1, 1920.

Mr. E. H. Knight, 904 State Bldg., Indianapolis, Ind.

Dear Brother Knight:—It may seem peculiar to you that a Michigan man is interesting himself in Indiana politics. Well, politics is reality, not the message offered you in this letter. I have been raised in education as a Hoosier and am truly proud of it. I am still a Hoosier Phi Kappa Psi and more interested than ever in all that makes for the good of the brotherhood.

Brother James E. Watson is, as you well know, a candidate for re-election to the United States Senate. No Indiana Phi Psi who has kept in touch with the fraternal organization in the past few years can question the loyalty of his chapter or to the Indiana Alumni Association. Almost without exception Senator Watson has been among those present in the meetings of the Indiana delegation, reveling in the joy of meeting old college friends and giving of himself each time the best that was in him in the way of oratory. He is a man of great ability. He loves the Phi Psi fraternity for what it stands and gives it much more for what it can give him. There are very prominent Phi Psi in Indiana, as you well know, who take all they can get from the fraternity and give none.

Do not let political prejudice prevent you from working and voting for Brother Watson. Phi Psi means more to you than the election of Tom Taggart in Indiana—now be honest—up it does.

Course, you'll be ashamed.

This appeal is not for your personal use, but at the request of knowledge of Brother Watson. Won't you help out some in your community to make Phi Psi in Indiana prouder than ever of her favored son, Brother Watson? Write me that you will.

Sincerely and fraternally,

WILL A. CAVIN,
Ind. A '03.

DEFFERS RADICALLY ON POLITICAL ISSUES.

Following are some of the replies that have been sent to Mr. Gavin:

Indiansapolis, Ind., Oct. 1, 1920.

Dear Brother Gavin—Your letter of Oct. 1, just received, for the reason of your recent request for the list of the members of my chapter residing in Indiana, I now know the purpose of your request. I would still have you list the list as a courtesy to both you and Brothert Watson, but at the same time I would enter a vigorous disclaimers of any purpose or intent to further Brother Watson's political ambitions.

I am a Democrat, and with the great issues before us in this campaign my democracy is more dear now than ever before. I usually vote independently in reference to individual candidates, and have never voted for Republican candidates, even for high office.

Personally, I entertain a sincere friend-ship and regard for Brother Watson and would do far for any person to render him a personal favor.

Politically, I absolutely cannot see him.

He is not of my kind, nor of my way of thinking. I want him to be free as freely as Brothert Watson as I do to you. It is not a question of choice between Brothert Watson and Tom Taggart, as individuals, but the overshadowing of the principles for which they stand in this campaign.

In politics, Brother Watson has unfor-tunately always been aligned with the worst reactionaries in his party.

In his prime of political principles the world over, he was written in the small-est available type.

In the present campaign he has placed political expediency above national duty.

As a chairman of the Indiana delegation at the Republican convention, he was instrumental in adopting a plank in the League of Nations issue which was deliberately voted down. The League was evasive and was a deliberate surrender of the principle he and the majority of his party stood in the Senate.

Politically, he is a Democrat, and with the great issues before us in this campaign my democracy is more dear now than ever before. I usually vote independently in reference to individual candidates, and have never voted for Republican candidates, even for high office.

Personally, I entertain a sincere friend-ship and regard for Brother Watson and would do far for any person to render him a personal favor.

Politically, I absolutely cannot see him.

He is not of my kind, nor of my way of thinking. I want him to be free as freely as Brothert Watson as I do to you. It is not a question of choice between Brothert Watson and Tom Taggart, as individuals, but the overshadowing of the principles for which they stand in this campaign.

In politics, Brother Watson has unfor-tunately always been aligned with the worst reactionaries in his party.

In his prime of political principles the world over, he was written in the small-est available type.

In the present campaign he has placed political expediency above national duty.

As a chairman of the Indiana delegation at the Republican convention, he was instrumental in adopting a plank in the League of Nations issue which was evasive and was a deliberate surrender of the principle he and the majority of his party stood in the Senate.

Politically, he is a Democrat, and with the great issues before us in this campaign my democracy is more dear now than ever before. I usually vote independently in reference to individual candidates, and have never voted for Republican candidates, even for high office.

Personally, I entertain a sincere friend-ship and regard for Brother Watson and would do far for any person to render him a personal favor.

Politically, I absolutely cannot see him.

He is not of my kind, nor of my way of thinking. I want him to be free as freely as Brothert Watson as I do to you. It is not a question of choice between Brothert Watson and Tom Taggart, as individuals, but the overshadowing of the principles for which they stand in this campaign.

In politics, Brother Watson has unfor-tunately always been aligned with the worst reactionaries in his party.

In his prime of political principles the world over, he was written in the small-est available type.

In the present campaign he has placed political expediency above national duty.

As a chairman of the Indiana delegation at the Republican convention, he was instrumental in adopting a plank in the League of Nations issue which was evasive and was a deliberate surrender of the principle he and the majority of his party stood in the Senate.

Politically, he is a Democrat, and with the great issues before us in this campaign my democracy is more dear now than ever before. I usually vote independently in reference to individual candidates, and have never voted for Republican candidates, even for high office.

Personally, I entertain a sincere friend-ship and regard for Brother Watson and would do far for any person to render him a personal favor.

Politically, I absolutely cannot see him.

He is not of my kind, nor of my way of thinking. I want him to be free as freely as Brothert Watson as I do to you. It is not a question of choice between Brothert Watson and Tom Taggart, as individuals, but the overshadowing of the principles for which they stand in this campaign.

In politics, Brother Watson has unfor-tunately always been aligned with the worst reactionaries in his party.

In his prime of political principles the world over, he was written in the small-est available type.

In the present campaign he has placed political expediency above national duty.

As a chairman of the Indiana delegation at the Republican convention, he was instrumental in adopting a plank in the League of Nations issue which was evasive and was a deliberate surrender of the principle he and the majority of his party stood in the Senate.

Politically, he is a Democrat, and with the great issues before us in this campaign my democracy is more dear now than ever before. I usually vote independently in reference to individual candidates, and have never voted for Republican candidates, even for high office.

Personally, I entertain a sincere friend-ship and regard for Brother Watson and would do far for any person to render him a personal favor.

Politically, I absolutely cannot see him.

He is not of my kind, nor of my way of thinking. I want him to be free as freely as Brothert Watson as I do to you. It is not a question of choice between Brothert Watson and Tom Taggart, as individuals, but the overshadowing of the principles for which they stand in this campaign.

In politics, Brother Watson has unfor-tunately always been aligned with the worst reactionaries in his party.

In his prime of political principles the world over, he was written in the small-est available type.

In the present campaign he has placed political expediency above national duty.

As a chairman of the Indiana delegation at the Republican convention, he was instrumental in adopting a plank in the League of Nations issue which was evasive and was a deliberate surrender of the principle he and the majority of his party stood in the Senate.

Politically, he is a Democrat, and with the great issues before us in this campaign my democracy is more dear now than ever before. I usually vote independently in reference to individual candidates, and have never voted for Republican candidates, even for high office.

Personally, I entertain a sincere friend-ship and regard for Brother Watson and would do far for any person to render him a personal favor.

Politically, I absolutely cannot see him.

He is not of my kind, nor of my way of thinking. I want him to be free as freely as Brothert Watson as I do to you. It is not a question of choice between Brothert Watson and Tom Taggart, as individuals, but the overshadowing of the principles for which they stand in this campaign.

In politics, Brother Watson has unfor-tunately always been aligned with the worst reactionaries in his party.

In his prime of political principles the world over, he was written in the small-est available type.

In the present campaign he has placed political expediency above national duty.

As a chairman of the Indiana delegation at the Republican convention, he was instrumental in adopting a plank in the League of Nations issue which was evasive and was a deliberate surrender of the principle he and the majority of his party stood in the Senate.

Politically, he is a Democrat, and with the great issues before us in this campaign my democracy is more dear now than ever before. I usually vote independently in reference to individual candidates, and have never voted for Republican candidates, even for high office.

Personally, I entertain a sincere friend-ship and regard for Brother Watson and would do far for any person to render him a personal favor.

Politically, I absolutely cannot see him.

He is not of my kind, nor of my way of thinking. I want him to be free as freely as Brothert Watson as I do to you. It is not a question of choice between Brothert Watson and Tom Taggart, as individuals, but the overshadowing of the principles for which they stand in this campaign.

In politics, Brother Watson has unfor-tunately always been aligned with the worst reactionaries in his party.

In his prime of political principles the world over, he was written in the small-est available type.

In the present campaign he has placed political expediency above national duty.

As a chairman of the Indiana delegation at the Republican convention, he was instrumental in adopting a plank in the League of Nations issue which was evasive and was a deliberate surrender of the principle he and the majority of his party stood in the Senate.

Politically, he is a Democrat, and with the great issues before us in this campaign my democracy is more dear now than ever before. I usually vote independently in reference to individual candidates, and have never voted for Republican candidates, even for high office.

Personally, I entertain a sincere friend-ship and regard for Brother Watson and would do far for any person to render him a personal favor.

Politically, I absolutely cannot see him.

He is not of my kind, nor of my way of thinking. I want him to be free as freely as Brothert Watson as I do to you. It is not a question of choice between Brothert Watson and Tom Taggart, as individuals, but the overshadowing of the principles for which they stand in this campaign.

In politics, Brother Watson has unfor-tunately always been aligned with the worst reactionaries in his party.

In his prime of political principles the world over, he was written in the small-est available type.

In the present campaign he has placed political expediency above national duty.

As a chairman of the Indiana delegation at the Republican convention, he was instrumental in adopting a plank in the League of Nations issue which was evasive and was a deliberate surrender of the principle he and the majority of his party stood in the Senate.

Politically, he is a Democrat, and with the great issues before us in this campaign my democracy is more dear now than ever before. I usually vote independently in reference to individual candidates, and have never voted for Republican candidates, even for high office.

Personally, I entertain a sincere friend-ship and regard for Brother Watson and would do far for any person to render him a personal favor.

Politically, I absolutely cannot see him.

He is not of my kind, nor of my way of thinking. I want him to be free as freely as Brothert Watson as I do to you. It is not a question of choice between Brothert Watson and Tom Taggart, as individuals, but the overshadowing of the principles for which they stand in this campaign.

In politics, Brother Watson has unfor-tunately always been aligned with the worst reactionaries in his party.

In his prime of political principles the world over, he was written in the small-est available type.

In the present campaign he has placed political expediency above national duty.

As a chairman of the Indiana delegation at the Republican convention, he was instrumental in adopting a plank in the League of Nations issue which was evasive and was a deliberate surrender of the principle he and the majority of his party stood in the Senate.

Politically, he is a Democrat, and with the great issues before us in this campaign my democracy is more dear now than ever before. I usually vote independently in reference to individual candidates, and have never voted for Republican candidates, even for high office.

Personally, I entertain a sincere friend-ship and regard for Brother Watson and would do far for any person to render him a personal favor.

Politically, I absolutely cannot see him.

He is not of my kind, nor of my way of thinking. I want him to be free as freely as Brothert Watson as I do to you. It is not a question of choice between Brothert Watson and Tom Taggart, as individuals, but the overshadowing of the principles for which they stand in this campaign.

In politics, Brother Watson has unfor-tunately always been aligned with the worst reactionaries in his party.

In his prime of political principles the world over, he was written in the small-est available type.

In the present campaign he has placed political expediency above national duty.

As a chairman of the Indiana delegation at the Republican convention, he was instrumental in adopting a plank in the League of Nations issue which was evasive and was a deliberate surrender of the principle he and the majority of his party stood in the Senate.

Politically, he is a Democrat, and with the great issues before us in this campaign my democracy is more dear now than ever before. I usually vote independently in reference to individual candidates, and have never voted for Republican candidates, even for high office.

Personally, I entertain a sincere friend-ship and regard for Brother Watson and would do far for any person to render him a personal favor.

Politically, I absolutely cannot see him.

He is not of my kind, nor of my way of thinking. I want him to be free as freely as Brothert Watson as I do to you. It is not a question of choice between Brothert Watson and Tom Taggart, as individuals, but the overshadowing of the principles for which they stand in this campaign.

In politics, Brother Watson has unfor-tunately always been aligned with the worst reactionaries in his party.

In his prime of political principles the world over, he was written in the small-est available type.

In the present campaign he has placed political expediency above national duty.

As a chairman of the Indiana delegation at the Republican convention, he was instrumental in adopting a plank in the League of Nations issue which was evasive and was a deliberate surrender of the principle he and the majority of his party stood in the Senate.

Politically, he is a Democrat, and with the great issues before us in this campaign my democracy is more dear now than ever before. I usually vote independently in reference to individual candidates, and have never voted for Republican candidates, even for high office.

Personally, I entertain a sincere friend-ship and regard for Brother Watson and would do far for any person to render him a personal favor.

Politically, I absolutely cannot see him.

He is not of my kind, nor of my way of thinking. I want him to be free as freely as Brothert Watson as I do to you. It is not a question of choice between Brothert Watson and Tom Taggart, as individuals, but the overshadowing of the principles for which they stand in this campaign.

In politics, Brother Watson has unfor-tunately always been aligned with the worst reactionaries in his party.

In his prime of political principles the world over, he was written in the small-est available type.

In the present campaign he has placed political expediency above national duty.

As a chairman of the Indiana delegation at the Republican convention, he was instrumental in adopting a plank in the League of Nations issue which was evasive and was a deliberate surrender of the principle he and the majority of his party stood in the Senate.

Politically, he is a Democrat, and with the great issues before us in this campaign my democracy is more dear now than ever before. I usually vote independently in reference to individual candidates, and have never voted for Republican candidates, even for high office.

Personally, I entertain a sincere friend-ship and regard for Brother Watson and would do far for any person to render him a personal favor.

Politically, I absolutely