

DENIES BOOST
IN PASSENGER
RATES FOR IND.

Public Service Commission
Influenced by Ohio and
Illinois.

3-CENT FARE CONTINUES

Passenger fare increases on steam railroads operating in Indiana will not be authorized by the public service commission at the present time, E. L. Lewis, chairman of the public service commission, announced today.

The steam carriers' petitions for higher freight rates are still under consideration, he said.

This action retains the 3-cent rate of fare in Indiana despite the order of the Indiana commission which authorized the railroads to increase their rates throughout the country.

The carriers had asked that Indiana rates be brought up to an equality with these rates.

Lewis said the public service commission denied the increase in accord with Ohio and Illinois action.

He said the commission favors the 3-cent-a-mile rate, but considered it inadvisable with a 3-cent rate prevailing in Ohio and a 2-cent rate in Illinois.

TRACTIONS ALSO
NOTIFIED.

Lewis made his announcement to attorneys for the Ft. Wayne & Decatur Traction Company; the Traction Indiana, and the Union Traction Company.

All the interurban companies in Indiana recently filed petitions asking for rates equal to those granted the railroads by the interstate commerce commission.

"I understand the railroads are planning to carry their fight to the interstate commerce commission, asking that body to rule that under sections of the Esch-Cummins law, said Lewis.

Mr. Lewis announced he would make public the ruling of the commission on freight rate increases Tuesday or Wednesday.

During the course of the hearing on the railroad petitions, shippers and others made a vigorous protest against increased rates because they contended such rates would be discriminatory to the disadvantage of Indiana.

400 INFANTRY
GUARD MINES

New Uprisings Feared by Resi-
dents of Districts.

WILLIAMSON, W. Va., Aug. 30.—More than 400 troops of the United States infantry which were assigned to the mine district from the states of Kentucky following sporadic fighting in the Williamson field between union miners and mine guards.

The district was quiet today and authorities feared no outbreaks.

A grave undercurrent of apprehension has been felt among miners of the Matewan and Williamson districts.

The approaching trial of Matewan miners and Baldwin-Feets detectives for the alleged killing of Mayor Testerman, seven private mine guard and two others on May 19 was generally regarded as a signal for new uprisings.

'Shiner's' Brother
in Police Toils Now

Charles Middaugh, 715 West Ohio street, brother of the notorious Coxen ('Shiner' Middaugh) is under arrest, charged with operating a 'blind tiger.'

The arrest followed a raid by the police on the 'Bungalow' at Emporiaville.

Jewels Worth \$3,500
Found by Honest Man

Jewels valued at \$3,500, reported to the police as lost by Mrs. Edward Schildhauer, 1321 North Meridian street, while motoring in the city, have been returned to Mrs. Schildhauer by a farmer who lives near Frankfort, Ind.

Mrs. Schildhauer discovered the loss Tuesday when she stopped for gasoline at Morocco.

She drove back over the route, but could not find any trace of the jewels.

The farmer's name has not been disclosed.

CREAMERIES ARE CONSOLIDATED.

DECATUR, Ind., Aug. 30.—The Cloverleaf Creameries Company, Inc., owning plants in Huntington, Bluffton and Decatur have consolidated the Bluffton and Decatur plants, moving the machinery to the Decatur factory to curtail expenses.

WEATHER

Forecast for Indianapolis and vicinity for the twenty-four hours ending 7 p.m. Aug. 31, 1920: Unsettled, thunder showers this afternoon or tonight, followed by fair Tuesday. Cooler

HOURLY TEMPERATURES.

6 a. m.	70
7 a. m.	72
8 a. m.	76
9 a. m.	77
10 a. m.	77
11 a. m.	78
12 (noon)	79
1 p. m.	77

TAKEN UP.

"The question was again taken to the supreme court in the case of board of commissioners of the county of Clay vs. McGregor, 171 Ind., 634. In this case, the lawyers, McGregor, recovered a judgment against the county for services rendered in the construction of a dam, the amount of which was \$1,000. The opinion in this case was written by Judge Montgomery. The court

concluded that the county was liable to the

appellee for the amount of \$1,000.

The question was again taken to the supreme court in the case of board of commissioners of the county of Clay vs. McGregor, 171 Ind., 634. In this case, the lawyers, McGregor, recovered a judgment against the county for services rendered in the construction of a dam, the amount of which was \$1,000. The opinion in this case was written by Judge Montgomery. The court

concluded that the county was liable to the

appellee for the amount of \$1,000.

The question was again taken to the supreme court in the case of board of commissioners of the county of Clay vs. McGregor, 171 Ind., 634. In this case, the lawyers, McGregor, recovered a judgment against the county for services rendered in the construction of a dam, the amount of which was \$1,000. The opinion in this case was written by Judge Montgomery. The court

concluded that the county was liable to the

appellee for the amount of \$1,000.

The question was again taken to the supreme court in the case of board of commissioners of the county of Clay vs. McGregor, 171 Ind., 634. In this case, the lawyers, McGregor, recovered a judgment against the county for services rendered in the construction of a dam, the amount of which was \$1,000. The opinion in this case was written by Judge Montgomery. The court

concluded that the county was liable to the

appellee for the amount of \$1,000.

The question was again taken to the supreme court in the case of board of commissioners of the county of Clay vs. McGregor, 171 Ind., 634. In this case, the lawyers, McGregor, recovered a judgment against the county for services rendered in the construction of a dam, the amount of which was \$1,000. The opinion in this case was written by Judge Montgomery. The court

concluded that the county was liable to the

appellee for the amount of \$1,000.

The question was again taken to the supreme court in the case of board of commissioners of the county of Clay vs. McGregor, 171 Ind., 634. In this case, the lawyers, McGregor, recovered a judgment against the county for services rendered in the construction of a dam, the amount of which was \$1,000. The opinion in this case was written by Judge Montgomery. The court

concluded that the county was liable to the

appellee for the amount of \$1,000.

The question was again taken to the supreme court in the case of board of commissioners of the county of Clay vs. McGregor, 171 Ind., 634. In this case, the lawyers, McGregor, recovered a judgment against the county for services rendered in the construction of a dam, the amount of which was \$1,000. The opinion in this case was written by Judge Montgomery. The court

concluded that the county was liable to the

appellee for the amount of \$1,000.

The question was again taken to the supreme court in the case of board of commissioners of the county of Clay vs. McGregor, 171 Ind., 634. In this case, the lawyers, McGregor, recovered a judgment against the county for services rendered in the construction of a dam, the amount of which was \$1,000. The opinion in this case was written by Judge Montgomery. The court

concluded that the county was liable to the

appellee for the amount of \$1,000.

The question was again taken to the supreme court in the case of board of commissioners of the county of Clay vs. McGregor, 171 Ind., 634. In this case, the lawyers, McGregor, recovered a judgment against the county for services rendered in the construction of a dam, the amount of which was \$1,000. The opinion in this case was written by Judge Montgomery. The court

concluded that the county was liable to the

appellee for the amount of \$1,000.

The question was again taken to the supreme court in the case of board of commissioners of the county of Clay vs. McGregor, 171 Ind., 634. In this case, the lawyers, McGregor, recovered a judgment against the county for services rendered in the construction of a dam, the amount of which was \$1,000. The opinion in this case was written by Judge Montgomery. The court

concluded that the county was liable to the

appellee for the amount of \$1,000.

The question was again taken to the supreme court in the case of board of commissioners of the county of Clay vs. McGregor, 171 Ind., 634. In this case, the lawyers, McGregor, recovered a judgment against the county for services rendered in the construction of a dam, the amount of which was \$1,000. The opinion in this case was written by Judge Montgomery. The court

concluded that the county was liable to the

appellee for the amount of \$1,000.

The question was again taken to the supreme court in the case of board of commissioners of the county of Clay vs. McGregor, 171 Ind., 634. In this case, the lawyers, McGregor, recovered a judgment against the county for services rendered in the construction of a dam, the amount of which was \$1,000. The opinion in this case was written by Judge Montgomery. The court

concluded that the county was liable to the

appellee for the amount of \$1,000.

The question was again taken to the supreme court in the case of board of commissioners of the county of Clay vs. McGregor, 171 Ind., 634. In this case, the lawyers, McGregor, recovered a judgment against the county for services rendered in the construction of a dam, the amount of which was \$1,000. The opinion in this case was written by Judge Montgomery. The court

concluded that the county was liable to the

appellee for the amount of \$1,000.

The question was again taken to the supreme court in the case of board of commissioners of the county of Clay vs. McGregor, 171 Ind., 634. In this case, the lawyers, McGregor, recovered a judgment against the county for services rendered in the construction of a dam, the amount of which was \$1,000. The opinion in this case was written by Judge Montgomery. The court

concluded that the county was liable to the

appellee for the amount of \$1,000.

The question was again taken to the supreme court in the case of board of commissioners of the county of Clay vs. McGregor, 171 Ind., 634. In this case, the lawyers, McGregor, recovered a judgment against the county for services rendered in the construction of a dam, the amount of which was \$1,000. The opinion in this case was written by Judge Montgomery. The court

concluded that the county was liable to the

appellee for the amount of \$1,000.

The question was again taken to the supreme court in the case of board of commissioners of the county of Clay vs. McGregor, 171 Ind., 634. In this case, the lawyers, McGregor, recovered a judgment against the county for services rendered in the construction of a dam, the amount of which was \$1,000. The opinion in this case was written by Judge Montgomery. The court

concluded that the county was liable to the

appellee for the amount of \$1,000.

The question was again taken to the supreme court in the case of board of commissioners of the county of Clay vs. McGregor, 171 Ind., 634. In this case, the lawyers, McGregor, recovered a judgment against the county for services rendered in the construction of a dam, the amount of which was \$1,000. The opinion in this case was written by Judge Montgomery. The court

concluded that the county was liable to the

appellee for the amount of \$1,000.

The question was again taken to the supreme court in the case of board of commissioners of the county of Clay vs. McGregor, 171 Ind., 634. In this case, the lawyers, McGregor, recovered a judgment against the county for services rendered in the construction of a dam, the amount of which was \$1,000. The opinion in this case was written by Judge Montgomery. The court

concluded that the county was liable to the

appellee for the amount of \$1,000.

The question was again taken to the supreme court in the case of board of commissioners of the county of Clay vs. McGregor, 171 Ind., 634. In this case, the lawyers, McGregor, recovered a judgment against the county for services rendered in the construction of a dam, the amount of which was \$1,000. The opinion in this case was written by Judge Montgomery. The court

concluded that the county was liable to the

appellee for the amount of \$1,000.

The question was again taken to the supreme court in the case of board of commissioners of the county of Clay vs. McGregor, 171 Ind., 634. In this case, the lawyers, McGregor, recovered a judgment against the county for services rendered in the construction of a dam, the amount of which was \$1,000. The opinion in this case was written by Judge Montgomery. The court

concluded that the county was liable to the

appellee for the amount of \$1,000.

The question was again taken to the supreme court in the case of board of commissioners of the county of Clay vs. McGregor, 171 Ind., 634. In this case, the lawyers, McGregor, recovered a judgment against the county for services rendered in the construction of a dam, the amount of which was \$1,000. The opinion in this case was written by Judge Montgomery. The court

concluded that the county was liable to the

appellee for the amount of \$1,000.

The question was again taken to the supreme court in the case of board of commissioners of the county of Clay vs. McGregor, 171 Ind., 634. In this case, the lawyers, McGregor, recovered a judgment against the county for services rendered in the construction of a dam, the amount of which was \$1,000. The opinion in this case was written by Judge Montgomery. The court

concluded that the county was liable to the

appellee for the amount of \$1,000.

The question was again taken to the supreme court in the case of board of commissioners of the county of Clay vs. McGregor, 171 Ind., 634. In this case, the lawyers, McGregor, recovered a judgment against the county for services rendered in the construction of a dam, the amount of which was \$1,000. The opinion in this case was written by Judge Montgomery. The court

concluded that the county was liable to the

appellee for the amount of \$1,000.

The question was again taken to the supreme court in the case of board of commissioners of the county of Clay vs. McGregor, 171 Ind., 634. In this case, the lawyers, McGregor, recovered a judgment against the county for services rendered in the construction of a dam, the amount of which was \$1,000. The opinion in this case was written by Judge Montgomery. The court

concluded that the county was liable to the

appellee for the amount of \$1,000.

The question was again taken to the supreme court in the case of board of commissioners of the county of Clay vs. McGregor, 171 Ind., 634. In this case, the lawyers, McGregor, recovered a judgment against the county for services rendered in the construction of a dam, the amount of which was \$1,000. The opinion in this case was written by Judge Montgomery. The court