

MANNIX LANDED OFF CORNWALL BY DESTROYER

Thousands of Sinn Feiners Wait at Liverpool Docks, but Government Intervenes.

TRANSFERRED SECRETLY

LONDON, Aug. 9.—While thousands of Irishmen waited to greet him at Liverpool, Archbishop Mannix of Australia was landed from a British destroyer today at Penzance, a watering place in Cornwall, according to official announcement by the government press association.

Mannix had been summoned from New York to the destroyer, which sped him to Penzance, while the liner resumed her voyage to Liverpool.

The destroyer steamed into Mounts bay, on which Penzance is situated, at 1:15 p.m., according to the announcement.

No statement was made of the prelate's further movements, but it was unofficially understood that he started for London.

Mystery surrounded the circumstances of the archbishop's transfer from the Baltic to the destroyer.

A Dublin despatch to the Evening Telegram said the transfer was accomplished.

Ever since the Baltic entered British waters the Irish have been on the "qui vive," anxious to give him a rousing welcome because of his Sinn Fein sympathies and his statements made recently in America in open advocacy of an Irish republic.

Thousands assembled at Liverpool to cheer him, and fences were built around the docks as if the government feared a riot.

There was a rumor that Mannix would be taken off at Fish Guard, but the Baltic passed that point without stopping.

The government at first did not reveal where the archbishop was taken off the Baltic.

For a long time, however, the liner was anchored off Queenstown, surrounded by destroyers, and it is thought the transfer may have been made within sight of Irish soil.

HARDING SCORES ADDRESS OF COX

Calls Acceptance Speech 'Serious Mixture of Errors.'

MARION, O., Aug. 9.—Senator Harding plans a quiet week, despite the fact that acceptance formalities are out of the way for both of the candidates and the old is off officially for the remainder of the present session.

Political conferences, work on future speeches and a motor trip or two will comprise the week's routine.

Friday the State editorial association will visit the front porch and Harding may take the opportunity to make a partial reply to Gov. Cox's acceptance speech at that time.

WILL H. Hays, national chairman, is to be here Saturday to confer with the candidate on campaign plans.

Harding still is refraining from official comment on the Cox acceptance speech, but his headquarters today issued an authoritative statement scorning the situation as "a serious mixture of errors and misinterpretations."

Cox is charged, however, with "distorted" facts when he charged the republican congress with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation burdens.

The statement quoted figures showing the republican congress for March 4, 1919, to date, has effected an aggregate saving of nearly two and one-half billion dollars by cutting down appropriations asked for by the democratic administration.

VETERAN ACTOR WOUNDED BADLY

NEW YORK, Aug. 9.—John C. Slavin, veteran actor, is in a serious condition today at the Lenox Hill Hospital from a fractured skull and concussion of the brain. Injuries he mysteriously received in front of the apartment house where John J. McGraw, manager and the president of the New York National league baseball club, makes his home.

Detectives later found McGraw with a swollen nose and blackened eye, received, according to friends and McGraw himself, at an station at the Lambs club with an unknown man.

Slavin was taken to the hospital by Winfield Liggett, a resident of the Lambs club, and a taxicab chauffeur.

They declared Slavin's injuries a mystery to them.

\$9,000,000 Is Spent by Quakers for Hungry

Special to The Times.

RICHMOND, Ind., Aug. 9.—Preliminary to the formal opening of the 100th annual session of the Indiana Yearling Meeting of Friends the ministers and elders will meet in closed session today.

Meeting will be held in the spiritual condition of the yearly meeting, the whole and of the sixteen quarterly meetings which constitute the organization, will be considered.

Many of the Quaker leaders of Indiana will be absent from the sessions this year, as many of them are in England preparing to attend the all-world conference of Friends to be held in London, Aug. 14.

The report of the committee on Friends' relief work in Europe will show that the Quakers will have spent \$9,000,000 before this year closes for the feeding of starving children in central Europe and Poland.

Negro Odd Fellows Convene at Muncie

Special to The Times.

MUNCIE, Ind., Aug. 9.—The Seymour Democrat announces it has sold its mechanical equipment and subscription list to C. Smith, publisher of the Seymour Republican, and that it will cease publication.

The consolidation means Seymour will have but one daily newspaper.

The Democrat was established about 1860 as a weekly and a daily was started in 1875.

Ownership changed several times, and some months ago a stock company obtained a controlling interest and C. S. Mercer was given charge as editor and business manager.

The report of the committee on Friends' relief work in Europe will show that the Quakers will have spent \$9,000,000 before this year closes for the feeding of starving children in central Europe and Poland.

Receiver Appointed for Candy Company

J. Edward Morris, president of the West Side Trust Company, has been appointed receiver for the Indiana Candy Co. Company by trustee T. J. Moll of savings company No. 5.

The receivership was appointed as the result of a petition filed by Frank Shallhouse.

Fragrant Booze

Superior, Wis., Aug. 9.—Strong perfume led police to search a house. They found a still.

The perfume was being used in an effort to offset the smell of the home-made mash.

BOARD MEMBERS DO NOTHING ON SOUTH MARKET

(Continued From Page One.)

the establishment of municipal yards thereon.

He insisted that members of the city council had not had never been given an opportunity to see the plans for the municipal yards or had never been consulted as to whether they would push such a project in error because he had called a number of conferences for this purpose and that only the "fifth column" (republican members) responded.

The mayor intimated he intends to prepare an ordinance to get the municipal yard project under way very soon.

Persons who remember circumstances last summer, when the mayor was getting columns of publicity on his municipal yard project in the newspaper, will recall that at that particular time the school of messenger boy recently, recall that at that particular time the school of Indianapolis was striving to get money with which to build badly needed schoolhouses and that they finally developed the question:

"Shall we build barns for mules or school buildings for children?"

There was a rumor that Mannix would be taken off at Fish Guard, but the Baltic passed that point without stopping.

The government at first did not reveal where the archbishop was taken off the Baltic.

For a long time, however, the liner was anchored off Queenstown, surrounded by destroyers, and it is thought the transfer may have been made within sight of Irish soil.

AUTO THEFT CASES HEARD IN COURT

Youth, Sentenced to Penal Farm, Gives Notice of Appeal.

Elmer Eddy, 16, of 3249 Kenwood avenue, was fined \$25 and costs and sentenced to serve thirty days on the penal farm by Special Judge Symmes in city court today, when he was convicted of riding in a stolen automobile.

Eddy had been in juvenile court a year ago in connection with automobile thefts.

The youthful defendant admitted that he was in an automobile used by a gang of thieves in robbing a store in Moorestown.

Elmus Vorenman, 1445 West Ohio street, and George Woodson, 1424 Everett street, two alleged automobile thieves, waived examination in city court and were bound over to the grand jury under a \$2,000 bond.

These men were not members of Eddy's gang.

Three alleged members of another gang of juvenile thieves waived examination of conspiracy, burglary and larceny and were bound over to the grand jury.

They are Milton Wimberly, John Palmer and Walter Adams, who were charged with having stolen an automobile from Ed Ennis, 1737 Olive street.

The case of William Hatt, charged with having stolen an automobile from John Anderson, was to be heard this afternoon.

Hatt is alleged to be a member of the Wimberly, Palmer and Adams gang, and also to have sold automobiles to Gilmore Reynolds of Holton, Ind., who is alleged to have bought three stolen cars and to have sold them to Frank Craft, a garage man at Liberty.

The three cars were owned by the Indianapolis Painters' Union, and Fred Adams, 61 South Bradford avenue.

Reynolds, who was arrested on his farm near Versailles, Ind., is on trial today on the charge of conspiracy.

Part of the evidence against Reynolds was heard in city court this morning and the case will again be heard at 3 o'clock this afternoon, at which time Hatt will appear as a state's witness.

Four Women and Two Men Held by Police.

SAN FRANCISCO, Aug. 9.—The two all-metal airplanes which completed a flight from New York to San Francisco yesterday, will remain here pending further plans, pilots of the planes declared today.

The first plane, piloted by Bert A. Coates, reached Durand field, Oakland, at 10:40 yesterday afternoon. It carried E. W. Larsen, owner, and Capt. Eddie Eikenbacker as passengers.

The second plane, piloted by Charles R. Colt, and carrying Maj. L. R. Lent of the postal air service, landed a few minutes later.

The planes had averaged 100 miles an hour across the continent, and was said to have been flying at 10,000 feet.

Reynolds, who was arrested on his farm near Versailles, Ind., is on trial today on the charge of conspiracy.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.

He was charged with having failed to make a single effort toward reducing taxation.