

A WIDOW'S MITE.

How Major Calkins Assessed a Widow Postmistress.

"The Money Should be Sent Immediately."

A HISTORY OF THE CASE.

To the Voters of Indiana, and all Others interested:

I wish to make this statement to the honest christian men and women of Indiana for their consideration before the election that is now pending:

I am a widow, now 63 years old. In 1882 I was postmistress at Westville, LaPorte county, Indiana. I received the appointment through Gen. Packard, who was then our congressman, and in the effort to get it was actively assisted by my many christian friends. During the campaign of 1882 I received the following letter from Major Wm. H. Calkins:

THE ASSESSMENT LETTER.

LAPORE, Ind., Sept. 26, 1882.
M. M. Duncan, Esq., P. M., Westville, Ind.
DEAR SIR:—In as much as the expenses of the office are now very great, and my opponent will expend a great deal of money, I shall be glad if you will contribute to Mr. Aaron Jones, Chairman of the Congressional Committee, at South Bend, the sum of \$12.00, to be used for legitimate campaign expenses. In order to be of any use, the money should be sent immediately.

Yours Respectfully,

W. H. CALKINS.

When this letter came to me I was very much surprised, for I had never been assessed by a congressman before. I was especially surprised because Major Calkins knew my circumstances. He knew that I had no male relative living, and that I had an aged mother then past eighty years old to support and also had to support a grown daughter, who was an invalid, and two small grand-children. This was no easy task for a woman of my age, with no property in the world but a little home, and that under a mortgage. Under these well-known circumstances I think I had cause to be very much astonished when I received such a letter from a man who was getting five thousand dollars a year from a generous government. To ask me to divide my little salary of three hundred dollars a year with him for use in politics was more than I could understand to be right. It was impossible for me to pay the amount asked at that time, and as he said "it must be paid immediately" to do any good, I did not send the \$12.00. In March, 1883, I heard that I was likely to lose the post office because I had not paid my assessment. This news came through two or three of Major Calkins' special friends, and I feared it might be true. As soon as this was known, my friends circulated a paper remonstrating against any change in the post office. The paper was signed by 200 patrons of the office, although only one day was given to the work of circulating it. It was sent to Washington by the first mail, but it seemed to avail nothing, and a new postmaster was appointed without the knowledge of the general public. Myself and unfortunate family were turned out almost on the charity of the world. I then had no way to save our little home, and to save further cost and trouble I deeded the property back to the man who held the mortgage. I paid in principal and interest about \$1,400 on our home, and lost every cent of it. I am now living in Chicago, working very hard, and keeping boarders to support my family, my aged mother and invalid daughter still being with me. I write this letter to let the people of Indiana know the kind of a man the Republicans have nominated for Governor. I have always been a Republican and am still in sympathy with that party, but I do not feel that the people of Indiana will vote for Major Calkins if they understand how he has acted. I have always been aided in various ways by my many Methodist friends, and I especially ask all christian people to read and believe my statement in regard to this whole matter. If anybody doubts the truthfulness of what I have said, I refer them to Dr. T. Fravel, David Herald and James Sanders, all residents of Westville, LaPorte county, Indiana.

I have sent the original letter that I received from Major Calkins to H. E. Wadsworth, editor of THE ARGUS, at LaPorte, Indiana, and requested him to keep it where anybody who wants to can see it. I hope if there is anybody who doubts that Major Calkins assesses office-holders, even if they are poor widows with large families to support, they will go and see the letter over his plain signature and written on government paper.

Mrs. M. M. DUNCAN.

CHICAGO, August 1, 1884.

CALKINS' REPLY.

A Statement Adroitly Made, and Well Calculated to Deceive.

In an interview with a correspondent of the Chicago Inter-Ocean, (Rep.) Mr. Calkins said: "The facts are, that in August, 1870, Mrs. M. M. Duncan was appointed Postmistress, coming from

The Widow's Assessment—A Fac-Simile of the Letter sent by Major Calkins to Mrs. Duncan.

COMMITTEE ON ELECTIONS.

WILLIAM H. CALKINS,
GEORGE C. HARRELTON,
JOHN T. WAIN,
WILLIAM G. THOMPSON,
ANDREW A. RANNEY,
JAMES M. RITCHIE,
ANDREW H. PITTIGLOW,
SAMUEL H. MILLER,
THOMAS JACOBS, JR.,
JOHN PAUL,
FRANK B. BRIDGERHOVER,
GIBSON A. HERTZOG,
LOWELL H. DAVIS,
GEORGE W. JONES,
SAMUEL W. MCINTOSH.

House of Representatives,
LaPorte, Ind., Sept. 26, 1882.

M. M. Duncan, Esq.,
Westville, Ind.

Dear Sir:

As much as the expenses of the Congressional Campaign will be very great, and my opponent will spend a great deal of money, I shall be glad if you will contribute to Mr. Aaron Jones, Chairman of the Congressional Committee, at South Bend, the sum of \$12.00, to be used for legitimate campaign expenses. In order to be of any use, the money should be sent immediately.

Yours Respectfully,

W. H. CALKINS

another State, and the appointment was made without the knowledge or consent of but a few of the patrons of the office, she buying out the former occupant. As far as she personally was concerned she discharged the duties fairly well, but she had in addition to the office a small millinery and dressmaking shop, a stationery store, and was a skilled taxidermist, which occupied a large portion of her time, leaving the office to unskilled and inefficient help, causing more or less complaint, and in some cases complaints were sent to headquarters. She had two able-bodied son-in-laws who were able to take care of her had she not been healthy and strong and perfectly able to take care of herself, and after her having the office thirteen years it was thought advisable to make a change. Her successor was a widow with three children of tender years, one a nursing babe and the other two in the neighborhood of three to five years of age. Her husband was a wounded soldier and died from the effects of his wounds. The Postmistress herself is very frail, in poor health, with three children and no means except a small house and lot for which her husband paid \$400 and on which was a mortgage of \$150. As far as the assessment is concerned she probably did receive a circular letter, as all the other officers did, and, as a matter of fact, I understand she did pay \$10 of the \$12, and when the removal was asked for I refused to act till it had been returned to her, which was done by Aaron Jones, Chairman of the Congressional Committee. Her money cut no figure in the removal whatever. Mrs. Miller makes a competent officer and those who at the time favored Mrs. Duncan now say they would not have Mrs. Miller removed.

THE WIDOW'S REJOINDER.

Mrs. Duncan's Answer to Major Calkins.

A Vigorous Defense against False Statements.

To the Editor of the Inter-Ocean:

CHICAGO, Aug. 22.—Please do me the favor to publish my statement of the facts concerning my removal from the Westville (Ind.) postoffice. In your Sunday issue of the 17th inst., there appeared a statement of the matter, purporting to come from the Hon. Wm. H. Calkins, that does me great injustice. He says that I was removed from the Westville postoffice for "irregularities in conducting the office and in answer to a petition from the leading citizens," etc. In regard to the irregularities charged, it should be understood that a personal enemy of mine made complaint against me. The Washington authorities sent Special Inspector Turner to investigate the matter, and after making a full examination he stated publicly that my office was unusually well conducted, and that his report to the department would be such as to preclude the possibility of my removal from office, he being convinced that there was no other reason. They did not again attempt to secure my removal until Mr. Turner was transferred to another district.

I have sent the original letter that I received from Major Calkins to H. E. Wadsworth, editor of THE ARGUS, at LaPorte, Indiana, and requested him to keep it where anybody who wants to can see it. I hope if there is anybody who doubts that Major Calkins assesses office-holders, even if they are poor widows with large families to support, they will go and see the letter over his plain signature and written on government paper.

Mrs. M. M. DUNCAN.

CHICAGO, August 1, 1884.

CALKINS' REPLY.

A Statement Adroitly Made, and Well Calculated to Deceive.

In an interview with a correspondent of the Chicago Inter-Ocean, (Rep.) Mr. Calkins said: "The facts are, that in August, 1870, Mrs. M. M. Duncan was appointed Postmistress, coming from

COMMITTEE ON ELECTIONS.

House of Representatives,

LaPorte, Ind., Sept. 26, 1882.

M. M. Duncan, Esq.,
Westville, Ind.

Dear Sir:

As much as the expenses of the Congressional Campaign will be very great, and my opponent will spend a great deal of money, I shall be glad if you will contribute to Mr. Aaron Jones, Chairman of the Congressional Committee, at South Bend, the sum of \$12.00, to be used for legitimate campaign expenses. In order to be of any use, the money should be sent immediately.

Yours Respectfully,

W. H. CALKINS

As to a petition from leading citizens, there was none. The patrons of the office will testify that there was no petition circulated among the citizens for my removal. A few enemies, inspired chiefly by motives of revenge, secretly plotted for my removal and wrote letters to Washington. When this became known it created great surprise. Three of my friends, all professional men in high standing, immediately wrote to headquarters a statement of my circumstances and the general wish of the people to have me retained in the office. A reply soon came from Congressman Calkins that "the matter had gone beyond his control," and that "the petition for my removal was from persons whose desire in the matter he could not disregard."

My friends then forwarded a remonstrance signed by 200 patrons of the office, who were amply competent to judge of their "desires," and the work was all done in one day, and without removing the remonstrance from the counter in the office. Many more desired to sign it, but time could not be given them to come in, and it was hastily mailed to First Assistant Postmaster General, the Hon. Frank Hatton, accompanied by two more urgent private letters in my favor. Major Calkins further states that my successor "is the widow of a soldier who died of his wound." The war wife and daughter of this soldier were living at the time of his marriage with the present Postmistress, and Mr. Miller did not die of "wounds received in the army." The statement that he did not die is a surprise to his physicians as well as to the people who knew him as an unusually strong and vigorous man.

The further statement that I was in good health and had two able-bodied sons-in-law to help me, is not true. I was neither "strong" nor "healthy." My husband's son, the hope of our old age, was killed at the battle of Atlanta, and his death so shocked his father, who was in feeble health, that he never rallied, and both were sacrificed to our country's cause. With the aid of my family I faithfully performed the duties of the office, although we were afflicted with much illness.

As to the statement that I had an income outside of my salary, I would say that my earnings never amounted to over \$50 per year, and that during the last three years.

Mr. Calkins says that my appointment was made without the "knowledge or consent of but a few of the patrons of the office," and charges that I came from "another State." In 1870 I moved to Westville, where I had formerly taught school and had many friends and relatives, and had often joined in their church services. Mr. Allen Warnock, then the Postmaster, wanted me to buy his home and take the office. He resigned in my favor and sent a petition signed by a dozen prominent citizens to Congressman Jasper Packard, saying that "Mr. Packard's acquaintance with them would be sufficient." I disapproved of his plan and was greatly relieved when the honorable member of Congress refused to take action without a general voice of the community interested. Mr. John Warnock then took a petition and visited every business house in the village and returned it in three hours' time signed by 104

It will be seen that Major Calkins does not scruple to use paper furnished him by the government, for official use, to write his campaign assessment circulars on.

For eight years the Auditor of LaPorte county has been trying to find a purchaser for Calkins' \$700 land for the price of \$200, but no one can be found to buy the swamp at that price.

How The Newspapers Stand.

Out of the twenty-four great newspapers of New York City, eighteen are cordially supporting Cleveland; only three favor the election of Blaine, two support Butler and one is for St. John.

The following is an incomplete list of the Republican and Independent papers that support Cleveland:

- 1—New York Times, Rep.
- 2—New York Herald, Ind.
- 3—New York Evening Post, Rep.
- 4—New York Truth, Ind. Rep.
- 5—New York Telegram, Ind.
- 6—New York Harpers' Weekly, Rep.
- 7—New York Morning Journal, Rep.
- 8—New York Globe (negro organ, Rep.)
- 9—New York Graphic, Rep.
- 10—New York Journal of Commerce, Ind.
- 11—New York Nation, Rep.
- 12—Brooklyn Union, Rep.
- 13—Rochester, N. Y., Herald, Rep.
- 14—Rochester, N. Y., Post-Express, Rep.
- 15—Albany, N. Y., Express, Rep.
- 16—Buffalo, N. Y., News, Rep.
- 17—Syracuse, N. Y., Herald, Rep.
- 18—Cohoes, N. Y., Regulator, Rep. (labor organ.)
- 19—Cohoes, N. Y., Gazette, Rep.
- 20—Boston, Mass., Herald, Ind. Rep.
- 21—Boston, Mass., Advertiser, Rep.
- 22—Boston, Mass., Transcript, Rep.
- 23—Boston, Mass., Gazette, Rep.
- 24—Worcester, Mass., Spy, Rep.
- 25—Worcester, Mass., Gazette, Rep.
- 26—Springfield, Mass., Republican, Ind. Rep.
- 27—New London, Conn., Telegram, Rep.
- 28—New Haven, Conn., Register, Rep.
- 29—New Haven, Conn., News, Ind. Rep.
- 30—Waterbury, Conn., American, Ind. Rep.
- 31—Bangor, Me., Commercial, Rep.
- 32—Flushing, L. I., Times, Rep.
- 33—Newport, R. I., News, Rep.
- 34—Wilmington, Del., Morning News, Rep.
- 35—Philadelphia Record, Ind. Rep.
- 36—Philadelphia Telegraph, Rep.
- 37—Philadelphia Times, Ind.
- 38—Reading, Pa., Eagle, Rep.
- 39—Allegheny City, Pa., Evening Mail, Rep.
- 40—Monongahela City, Pa., Record, Rep. (labor organ.)
- 41—East Liverpool, O., Potters' Gazette, Rep. (labor organ.)
- 42—Detroit, Mich., Times, Rep.
- 43—Chicago Times, Ind.
- 44—Chicago News, Ind., Rep.
- 45—Chicago Herald, Ind.
- 46—Kansas City Star, Rep.
- 47—Minneapolis Review, Rep.

GERMAN PRESS.

- 48—New York Staats Zeitung, Rep.
- 49—New York Puck, Ind. Rep.
- 50—Rochester, N. Y., Aleud Post, Rep.
- 51—Brooklyn, N. Y., Freie Presse, Rep.
- 52—Buffalo Freie Presse, Rep.
- 53—Cincinnati Volksfreund, Ind.
- 54—Chicago Staats Zeitung, Rep.
- 55—Chicago Freie Presse, Rep.
- 56—Milwaukee Freie Presse, Rep.
- 57—Milwaukee Freie Presse, Rep.
- 58—St. Louis Auseziger, Rep.
- 59—St. Joseph, Mo., Volksblatt, Rep.
- 60—Topeka, Kas. Telegraph, Rep.

Cleveland has the solid support of the German press, without a single exception, in Wisconsin, Iowa, Illinois and Kansas, and the same in Ohio and Michigan, with few exceptions.

The German population of the several States mentioned is as follows: Ohio, 192,597; Illinois, 235,786; Wisconsin, 184,328; Michigan, 89,085; Iowa, 88,268; Minnesota, 66,592.

CHRISTIAN PRESS SUPPORTING CLEVELAND.

- 61—Congregationalist.
- 62—Christian Register.
- 63—Christian Union.
- 64—Christian At Work.
- 65—Weekly Witness.
- 66—Baptist Weekly.
- 67—Baptist Record.

Here is a list of 67 of the most important papers of the United States, and with one exception they supported Garfield four years ago. They are now all heartily supporting Cleveland and Hendricks. By adding the smaller papers that have come out in opposition to Blaine and Logan the list might be greatly enlarged, but this is enough to show the drift of public feeling. The estimated daily circulation of the above papers reaches about 1,500,000 copies, while the weekly German publications are estimated at nearly 500,000 copies. It will be strange indeed if these papers exert no influence on the public mind, and we point to them as one of the many significant signs of Democratic success.

The Democratic watch word, Tell the Truth, should be painted on every dead wall and written across every path this campaign, so that he who runs may read. It should stand as a constant reminder to everybody that the difference between Cleveland and Blaine is the difference between truth and falsehood—the difference between honesty and dishonesty.

There is not one Methodist in Indiana that is truly and honestly a christian, that will vote for Calkins, after reading widow Duncan's letter. Mrs. Duncan is a devout christian and an earnest worker in the M. E. Church, and each minister should read her letter from his pulpit.—[Albion Democrat.