

Democratic State Ticket.

Secretary of State,  
WILLIAM R. MYERS,  
of Madison county.  
Auditor of State,  
JAMES H. RICE,  
of Floyd county.  
Treasurer of State,  
JOHN J. COOPER,  
of Marion county.  
Attorney General,  
FRANCIS T. HORD,  
of Bartholomew county.  
Clerk of Supreme Court,  
SIMON P. SHERIN,  
of Cass county.  
Superintendent of Public Instruction,  
JOHN W. HOLCOMBE,  
of Porter county.  
Supreme Judge—First District,  
W. E. NIBLACK,  
of Knox county.  
Supreme Judge—Second District,  
GEORGE V. HOWE,  
of Floyd county.  
Supreme Judge—Fourth District,  
ALLEN ZOLLARS,  
of Allen county.

For Congress,  
THOMAS J. WOOD, of Lake county.  
For State Senator,  
FREDERICK HOOPER, of Jasper Co.  
For Joint Representative,  
THOMAS RUSSELL, of Newton county.  
For Prosecutor 30th Judicial Circuit,  
WILLIAM DARROCH, of Newton.

DEMOCRATIC COUNTY TICKET.

For Clerk—NATHANIEL S. BATES.  
For Auditor—EZRA C. NOWELS.  
For Treasurer—  
For Sheriff—JOHN W. DUVALL.  
For Recorder—JOHN T. FORD.  
For Coroner—SYLVESTER HEALY.  
For Surveyor—CHARLES W. LOWMAN.  
Commissioners.  
First District—GEORGE STALBAUM.  
Second District—DAVID GRAY.  
Third District—EDWARD W. CULP.

For no faith in eleven hour slanders set afloat by the opposition. They are meant only to deceive, and should receive the severest condemnation of every honest voter.

Thursday a report was put in circulation that the Democratic Central Committee of this county had been furnished \$1,500 by the Liquor League. All a lie! The committee has not received a cent except from the local candidates. Let the base falsehood be nipped, and who would circulate it be treated as a common liar!

The Republican is exceedingly tame this week, but it will behave all to be on the alert. What may have been excluded from the paper, may be set afloat in the form of secret circulars. Guerilla warfare is perfectly understood, uniformity practiced by the opposition. Look out for them! Be not deceived.

It is amusing and instructive to witness the efforts of the Republican "bosses" at "whipping in." It is evidently a bad year. On Sunday last one of these dictatorial presumptuous tried it on. With a wise look, and shake of his head, he made the dictatorial demand, but was instantly thunderstruck and dumbfounded at the independence of his opponent. He was promptly invited to go to h—ades.

Tax "bosses" blow hot and cold the same breath. They oppose Hoover because they claim he opposed Halloran's license, and they denounce him because, as they say, he does not show his colors on the prohibition question. They denounce Nowels because he is a temperance man in principle and practice, and then they claim that he is truckling to saloon keepers for their votes. They are certainly in a quandary.

The cost of the new jail was increased from the necessity of giving the Republican candidate for Auditor, Mr. Robinson, a sinecure position thereon—Over four dollars per day—and his time, so far as we can notice, devoted to electioneering. A practical builder informs that his appointment is unequalled for—that an expert, after the building is erected—with specifications in his hand, for less than \$10 could furnish the Board of Commissioners with all the information Mr. B. will give them.

The Republican insinuated that Mr. Nowels values the vote of a saloon keeper at \$5. Admit it—he is a fix for the sake of argument. A prominent Republican informs us that in one day, he saw Mr. Robinson take thirty different men into a saloon. No doubt he chuckled—"thirty votes—a drink a vote!" But then Mr. R. will open his eyes to learn that many of these men—"bummers" (he calls them)—do not consider they have sold their vote when they accept an invitation to drink.

A prominent Republican in Rensselaer the other day, summed up the composition of the ticket and the platform of his party thus: "Whiskey candidates on a Temperance platform. Where we are going to the Lordy." Democrats have not drawn such a contrast of the situation. The "bosses" are urging the election of their ticket on the ground that their candidates are men who "will take advice,"—that is—"manage the affairs of the county that it will be profitable to them." Nichols is urged for Commissioner, because "he would take advice." But the people want men who will act upon their honest judgment and oaths, in the interest of the people. Vote for Gray.

MR. NOWELS' VINDICATION.

EDITOR DEMOCRATIC SENTINEL: In the Republican of October 25th, 1882, appears an article written by some unknown quantity, in which they make the attempt to damage me personally by making assertions that are false in every particular, and cannot be supported by anything like a shadow of truth. First they say:

"With proof, for instance, of peculiar efficiency," is found in the fact that through the failure of the auditor to post certain notices required by law, the first jail contract was invalidly dated thereby entailing, directly or indirectly a loss of something like a thousand dollars to the county, besides depriving the county of the use of the jail for many months."

Now it was no part of the work of the auditor to post those notices until it was ordered by the Board of Commissioners and spread of Record. (See Acts 1875, page 36; Revised Statutes 1876, page 370; also Revised Statutes 1881, page 915); and the section reads the same in all cases and is as follows:

"When it shall become necessary for any Board of County Commissioners of any County in this State, to contract for any Court-House, Jail or other County or Township building or monument, and plans and specifications have been adopted and deposited in the office of the Auditor of such County and open to public inspection, the said Board shall not contract for or let the building of the same until it has advertised such letting and requested bids for the same for at least three weeks, in at least one newspaper of general circulation in such county, it any is printed therein, and by posting up notices of such building, with the time, plan, place and terms of the same, with a reference to such plan and specifications. Provided, that the provisions of this act shall not apply to buildings when the cost of the same shall not exceed five hundred dollars."

And at that time, Hon. R. S. Dwiggins, County Attorney, told the Board, when he was called and the matter submitted to him for his opinion, that it was no part of my duty, but that of the Commissioners only. And as to the cost of advertising, I will say: That such exaggerations should cause the tongue of the foul slanderer to cleave to the roof of his mouth never to be used again. I will now give the exact cost of re-advertising the jail. Marshall & Overacker, No. of claim \$716, amount of claim, \$25 00; No. of order drawn, 2150. James W. McEwen, No. of claim \$725; amount of claim \$24 00; No. of order drawn, 2210, making a total to re-advertise of \$49 00.

Second: "What proof, for instance, of peculiar efficiency is found in the fact that through the failure of the auditor to call together the members of the County Board of Equalization at the proper time there has been no session of the Board this year thereby inflicting injustice upon many whose taxes are too heavy and endangering the legality of the entire tax levy of the county for this year?"

The Board of Equalization was called to meet on the first Monday of June, and Messrs. James Yeoman, Alexander A. Tyler, Thomas H. Robinson and David Gray, met in accordance with such notice on the day required and the Board of Commissioners being in session as directed by law, any one can plainly see that it was no fault of mine that the property of the county was not equalized. The Board doubtless had excellent reasons for the action it took.

Third: "What proof of efficiency can be found in the selling of a certain piece of school land to two different men, and then borrowing the school fund from the treasurer so closely that when purchased under two applications for a return of his money the treasurer was obliged to repay him from entirely different funds."

As to the sale of school land I will say that one certificate was issued to one Charles W. Lowman for the \$16, 28, 6, and by mistake was not put on the transfer book, and afterwards one forty was sold to J. J. Porter, and certificate issued, but when the mistake was discovered we paid him back his purchase money, interest, and cost of certificate; and as to the overdraft of the fund I cannot say, but do know that at this time the fund has a balance on hand as any one can see by examining my ledger.

Fourth: "How for instance about a certain fifty dollar check on the Citizens Bank, drawn in favor of A. G. W. Farmer of Jordan township, which was endorsed by the latter and paid to Goodman from there, passed in the course of business through a bank at Lafayette, then through McCoy & Thompson's bank here, and finally to the Citizens Bank?"

A check was given by me to A. G. W. Farmer, of Jordan township for money owing by me to him in a legitimate business transaction, and not to corrupt any person for his vote. That a bank of deposit should resort to giving a man's private business to outsiders that they may drag them into politics, is a breach of faith and should be denounced.

Fifth: "How about another fifty dollar check which went to Newton township, and being returned was replaced by the ready cash?"

This statement and insinuation is as false as false can be. No check was given and returned, and replaced by the ready cash.

Sixth: "How about those negotiations in regard to the lowest cash price of Gilliam township?"

I never have, nor do I ever expect to make any such negotiations for the purposes implied in this unmanly paragraph.

As to that large brood of foul slanders that are being circulated against Mr. Robinson's character, both public and private, we can only say that while we hope that Mr. Nowels is not the author of any of them, he is at least must know that they are being used in his interest, and that he could put a stop to their circulation if he choose to do so.

I have never said one word derogatory to Mr. Robinson's character, either in public or private, and I trust that I may never so far forget the example and teachings of my parents as to engage in such practices. I stand before the people as an honest, honorable man, and if I should be elected to the office of Auditor I will in the future, as in the past, endeavor to serve the people faithfully impartially and to the best of my ability.

Trusting that the people will examine into the truth or falsity of the article in the Republican, and this the answer, I am as ever,  
Yours, Obeyingly,  
EZRA C. NOWELS.

The "Lorly" element of the Republican party during the week have been busily engaged in circulating a circular purporting to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, in the case of Halloran vs McCullough, Kessler and Hoover, for refusing him license to sell intoxicating liquors. The circulars were being carefully placed where they were expected to do the most good, and every effort made to conceal the movement from Democrats. But the trick was discovered, and the nice little game is blocked.

To the extreme chagrine of the "bosses" and Halloran. Read the following:

STATE OF INDIANA, ss:

COUNTY OF JASPER, ss:

George Kessler, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he was a member of the Board of Commissioners of said County in December, 1875, and that he well remembers the fact that Michael Halloran was an applicant for a License to sell intoxicating liquors at retail, and that M. F. Chilcote was of Halloran's counsel and interested in his success, and that the decision of the Board was adverse to Halloran's application; and afterwards Halloran commenced an action for damages against Samuel McCullough, George Kessler, and Frederick Hoover, they being then Commissioners; that said case was tried in the Newton Circuit Court, at the October Term, 1876; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import, is unqualifiedly false in every particular; affiant further states that he has read the circular which purports to give the testimony of M. F. Chilcote, on the trial of said cause, and he knows, of his own personal knowledge, that the part of said Chilcote's testimony which says that affiant George Kessler stated that "Healy's damned Catholic Irishman did not get license," or words of like import,