

The Democratic Sentinel

OFFICIAL PAPER OF JASPER COUNTY.

FRIDAY, MARCH 10, 1882.

About 1,000 negroes have emigrated from Maury county, Mississippi, this year.

Mr. W. H. Mattingly has retired from the Rochester Republican and is succeeded by Mr. L. M. Foyer.

"Although there are scattered through the land many persons, I am sorry to say, unable to pay for a newspaper, I have never heard of any body unable to edit it."

The Louisville, New Albany and Chicago Company, last Monday, received the first of twenty engines ordered for the Chicago and Indianapolis Air Line; it is numbered 38. -Delhi Times.

It is reported that the place of publication has been tendered to James D. Warren, editor of the Buffalo Commercial Advertiser, an inventor of the political term "half breed." If this be true what is Mr. J. G. Blaine to have? He invented the term "stalwart."

A. B. Southard, General Freight Agent of the Louisville, New Albany and Chicago and the Chicago and Indianapolis Air Line, reports that Chicago business men are taking very kindly to the road, and promise that when in full operation this route shall have its full share of business.

The Brazil Democrat says:

Hick's Jubilee Singers called on President Arthur the other day and made him cry. They sang "Safe in the Arms of Jesus" and it proved too much for the old man. This annoying the chief Executive of 50,000,000 people until he cries about it is becoming intolerant and must be stopped.

A. B. Southard, general freight agent of the Louisville, N. w Albany & Chicago road, has issued a paper announcing that the Chicago and Indianapolis Air Line will be opened for business May 1. The iron will be down, it is thought, April 1, giving thirty days for proper ballasting and solidifying the road bed before the regular trains are put on.

The management of the Louisville, New Albany and Chicago road, which operates the Chicago and Indianapolis Air Line, in a circular just issued, announce the opening of the following stations for business and the respective agents: Del. & C. O. Larned; L. & L. William Corder; Monticello, T. H. Howard; Monroe, J. P. Gwin; Pleasant Ridge, J. V. Parkison; Rensselaer, C. F. Wren; Rose Lawn, L. Craig; Thayer, W. M. Kennedy; Lowell, George C. Barker; Creston, R. C. Wood; St. Johns, P. J. Schmitt; Dyer, James Tanner.

Maj. L. A. Burke, formerly of this city, but now of Michigan, was in town last Friday and called on the Times. Major Burke is about to retire from the hotel business in Michigan city and will return to Rensselaer, where he is to become landlord of a new and elegant three story brick hotel about to be opened. Rensselaer is a growing town and Maj. B. will strike a small sized bonanza. -Lafayette Times.

We are sorry that such is the fact. During Major Burke's residence in this city he has made hundreds of friends who will very much dislike to part with him. -Michigan City Dispatch.

Ex Senator Conkling's nomination to the Supreme Bench is having a very harrowing effect upon the New York Tribune. It says:

Guiteau has made a supreme Judge, as well as a president; and he is not hung yet. There is not a human being so ignorant as to believe that Mr. Roscoe Conkling would have been nominated to the Supreme Bench if he had not been in the gift of the president; if a cold-blooded assassin had not threatened the president whom the people elected. For the horrible murder no man living is thought by the people of the United States more responsible than Mr. Conkling himself. * * * If the nomination were otherwise, it is something would be pardoned to the personal friendship and personal gratitude of the late Vice President. But the nomination is on other grounds, notoriously unfit. * * * It has been provided for at the public expense that she should be in the manner he himself recommends. This is what he said about Collector Robertson to Garfield; send him abroad to some second-class consulship; and I will go into the lobby and hold my nose while he is confirmed."

The Tribune seems to have as much love for Mr. Conkling as it has for Mr. Tilden.

Two Ossians.—Gen. Fitz John Porter, an accomplished, skillful and brave soldier, was made to bear the punishment due to the blunders—me, I think, intentional—of Stanton, Halleck, et al., by a Board of incompetents organized to convict. Since then an Advisory Board, after having carefully examined the proceeding of the court which tried him, report "that Porter was not only unjustly dealt with, but that IT HAD NOT BEEN FOR HIM THERE WOULD HAVE BEEN GREAT DISASTER TO THE UNION ARMY," and recommend that the foul wrong be righted and he restored to his command.

The Fowler Era, a half-breed sheet, thus gives vent to its "loyal" feelings in reference to the matter: "As we think, the President and Cabinet will cover themselves with disgrace, if we read such speeches as the Rev. Dr. Newman's it is difficult to avoid the conclusion, that he, at least, rejoiced at the fact. Arthur was glorified and Garfield was such a poor insignificant nobody that no one had time even to mention his name. For the first time in the history of our country a man was nominated for the presidency who was not a candidate."

the said dubbed "loyal men" of the era were far beyond danger from rebel bullets.

The nomination of Mr. Sargent is nearly as disgraceful as Conkling's. He is a corrupt politician and represents nothing of American national character, except that which calls for a bribe. He ought not to be confirmed. -Indianapolis News, "half breed."

The New Gaol and the Old Court House. The public are informed that Jasper county is to be favored with a new Gaol. Doubtless it will be in keeping with the other substantial improvements in Rensselaer which have been completed, or are in course of construction. If so, it will throw the Court House, which was constructed in 1854, in the shade. The old Court House has always been at eye-sore to the citizens of Jasper, although they paid liberally for it; but there were then, as there are now, bribers and sharpers, and they looked upon the job as a fat morsel.

The following article which appeared in the Lafayette Courier, and was copied into the Banner and commented upon, will furnish a clue to the way the thing was worked:

"Society's Mystery.

A bachelor friend of ours stepped into the extensive military establishment of Madams Gould & Mayo, a day or two since and ordered one of their forty dollar bonnets to be nicely packed and forwarded to Jasper. It was something exquisitely beautiful—too much so we thought for so out-of-the-way place as Jasper—but if you do a thing, do it up nicely, say we.

What you say would prefer?" four years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained. But then you say you would prefer "four

years of strife, dissension and strife

to come from an avoided enemy, the other was the thought of his own household. I have always been a great admirer of your speeches, but when I read your thrust at President Hayes I feel mortified—"mean sneaking, lying, hypocritical pretense." Indeed, to me, it is inexplicable that such language should come from so clear headed a republican as you. You forget under Grant congress was lost to the Republicans, and under Hayes it was regained