

The Democratic Sentinel.

OFFICIAL PAPER OF JASPER COUNTY.

FRIDAY, MARCH 18, 1881.

VOTE FOR PRESIDENT, 1880.

Hancock, Democrat,	4,424,690
Garfield, Republican,	4,416,584
Weaver, Greenback,	313,893
Phelps,	1,133
Dow, Prohibition,	10,791
Scattering,	2,122
Total,	9,169,213
Hancock over Garfield,	8,106

The Czar of Russia was killed the 13th inst.

Frye, of Maine, succeeds Blaine in the U. S. Senate.

No member of the Poland Committee need apply for an appointment under Garfield.

The Republican State of Minnesota has settled with her creditors at fifty cents on the dollar.

The motion to confirm the nomination of Robert Lincoln as Secretary of War was made by Mr. Voorhees.

It seems to be settled that Hon. Edward McPherson will be First Assistant Postmaster General.

Were the Constitutional Amendments constitutionally submitted to a vote of the people? That's the question now.

Gov. Porter has been compelled to call an extra session of the Legislature. This will cost the State \$125,000. So much for Republican incompetency.

Clark Mills, the sculptor, took a plaster cast of the features of Senator Carpenter after death, from which a bust is to be made for the Congressional Statuary Hall.

Simon Cameron said of his son-in-law Wayne MacVeagh, "He is a lawyer general" a few days ago, that the best he could say of him was that he was a "snob."

Gen. Geo. B. McClellan, of New Jersey, has been elected a member of the Board of Managers for the National Soldiers' Home, in the place of Gen. John Love, of Indiana, deceased.

The Pittsburgh Post prints a war episode in which General Garfield figures extensively as the man, who, under military law, signed the order for the execution of a great grandson of Martha Washington.

It is false to say Hayes was made President by accident. He was elevated to power, and the record will stand against him and his party while the world stands. He has won an eternity of infamy.

We have never purchased a glass of liquor and drink it as a beverage. We have never purchased it in any quantity and used it as a beverage. Can the immaculate Corkins say as much for himself?

The Kentland News says S. P. Thompson, of Rensselaer, made the address at the temperance meeting at that place Monday night of last week, giving his ideas in a concise and pleasing manner.

"O, that we had been an editor like McIlwain!"—Corkins.

Oh, how eloquent! How romantic! Vain delusion—he doesn't mean it. He knows that he can improve upon the management of the Chicago Times.

It has been proved that at the recent charter election in Philadelphia, a man registered in twenty-four different wards and voted once in each, for which interesting day's work he got \$25. Philadelphia has a strict legal law.

McPherson, who, according to the Republican, and a few other radical papers, figures Garfield a few thousand and majority on the popular vote, has been invited by the new postmaster general to accept the position of first assistant in his department.

The Democratic members of the Forty-sixth Congress voted for and passed the three per cent funding bill, but the retiring radical fraud strangled it. This strangling operation will cost the people from \$12,000,000 to \$15,000,000 per annum.

Chairman New, of the Indianapolis Journal says: "Perhaps it will be advisable to run the next campaign in Indiana without a central committee or any local organization. There seems to be a growing opinion that such machinery is an incubus."

Chairman New is jealous of Dorsey.

Governor Porter has vetoed two of the bills passed by the legislature. That body might as well adjourn and the members return to their homes, for it does not appear to be able to accomplish any good for the State. The bills vetoed relate to fees and salaries and defining cruelty to minors.

A correspondent of the Scientific American says: "Let any one who has an attack of lockjaw take a small quantity of turpentine, warm it and pour it on the wound, no matter where the wound is and relief will follow in less than a minute."

Nothing better can be applied to a severe cut or bruise than cold turpentine.

Does he ever indulge in personalities toward Republicans implicated in wrong doing? Nary time. Why? They were republicans, and officials at that.

Does he ever indulge in personalities toward Republicans implicated in wrong doing? Nary time. Why? They were republicans, and officials at that.

Does he ever indulge in personalities toward Republicans implicated in wrong doing? Nary time. Why? They were republicans, and officials at that.

Does he ever indulge in personalities toward Republicans implicated in wrong doing? Nary time. Why? They were republicans, and officials at that.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Tyner has been appointed minister to Peru—Indiana.

Kentland News: Mr. W. G. Smoot, a most worthy citizen of Indianapolis township is enjoying the advantages of a petit juror this week. He is the guest of his son-in-law, Sheriff Ulrey.

Monticello Herald: Scott Harrison lost his right hand by having it caught in the cog wheel of Karr's saw mill Tuesday evening. It was amputated by Drs. Clark & Tracy at Dr. Robinson's office Wednesday morning.

Over in Ohio the former friends of Hayes are so ashamed of the means by which he was made President that they have a distaste for calling him President Hayes and a prominent Republican paper says, "Let us tell him Governor." Quite right. Hayes is entitled to be called Governor but has no claim upon the title of President.

An old man rapidly acquired \$30,000 worth of property at McGregor, Ia. Feeling that he would soon die, he wrote letters to his relatives in the East, soliciting aid to carry him through the winter. The only response was from a niece, who sent him \$50 out of her earnings as a school teacher, and to her he left the entire estate.

Arthur is now in a position where he can repay John Sherman for kicking him out of the New York Custom House. Sherman is in the Senate over which Arthur is the President. He can rap John over the head at pleasure, especially since Arthur's New York friends have presented him with a gavel of solid ivory ornamented with gold.

AMONG THE ARABS.—Corkins—John, do you like the way I use Mac, up, this week?

John—the deuce of it is somebody else did it for you?

Corkins—It didn't take me ten minutes to write it.

John—Copy it, you mean? Had you written it we would be in a week in arriving at the meaning of your English.

Fowler Era: The editor of the Rensselaer Republican came up to Fowler Tuesday for a removal of life envoi, attending his normal existence in Rensselaer, it's wearing on his physique.

Ennuit—Oh, Yes, Webster

disgust at what? Rensselaer? Poor fellow but then he's going to Heaven soon. "Where the wicked cease from troubling and the weary are at rest."

The man at Rensselaer, of General Hancock, during the late canvass, was Grant; and he is ashamed of himself, judging from his efforts to avoid the former. He stood away from the ceremonies at Delmonico's the other night on the occasion of installing the officers elect of George Washington Post 103, of the Grand Army of the Republic, because he was afraid he should meet Hancock. Slanderers are always cowards.

The Kentland News says S. P. Thompson, of Rensselaer, made the address at the temperance meeting at that place Monday night of last week, giving his ideas in a concise and pleasing manner.

"O, that we had been an editor like McIlwain!"—Corkins.

Oh, how eloquent! How romantic!

Vain delusion—he doesn't mean it.

He knows that he can improve upon the management of the Chicago

Times.

He is as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.

Is he as anxious for the right as he professes? Yes, verily, provided it is profitable to the party, and through the party to himself.