



Democratic National Ticket.

For President,
WINFIELD S. HANCOCK,
OF PENNSYLVANIA.

For Vice President,
WILLIAM H. ENGLISH,
OF INDIANA.

State Ticket.

For Governor,
FRANK LANDERS.
For Lieutenant Governor,
ISAAC P. GRAY.

For Secretary of State,
JOHN C. SHANKLIN.

For Auditor of State,
MAHON D. MANSON.

For Treasurer,
WILLIAM FLEMING.

For Clerk of the Supreme Court,
GABRIEL SCHMUCK.

For Reporter of the Supreme Court,
A. N. MARTIN.

For Sup't of Public Instruction,
A. C. GOODWIN.

For Judges of the Supreme Court,
JAMES MITCHELL,
JOHN T. SCOTT.

For Attorney General,
T. W. WOOLLEN.

For Representative in Congress,
JOHN N. SKINNER.

For Representative,
JAMES A. BURNHAM.

For Prosecutor—30th Circuit,
JAMES W. DOUTHIT.

County Ticket.

For Treasurer,
HENRY WELSH.

For Sheriff,
JAMES M. NICKELL.

For Surveyor,
ELLIS R. PIERCE.

For Commissioner—3d District,
JAMES T. RANDLE.

For Coroner,
SYLVESTER HALEY.

Remember !!

Public Speaking!

HON. D. D. DYKE

AND

HON. J. S. SLICK

Will address the people of Jasper county on the political issues of the day, at the Court House, in

RENSSELAER.

SATURDAY, AUGUST 14, 1880, in the afternoon. Everybody invited to attend.



All Hail Alabama!

DEMOCRATIC MAJORITY 60,000!!

Hancock and Honesty, Garfield and Grub.

The Republican Southern authorites against Hancock, are of the "Louisiana Liars" school.

Hon. Sam. H. Thompson, a staunch Republican of Mount Morris, Ill., is out in support of Hancock & English.

General Slickle is out for Hancock, which, the Graphic says, will give the Democratic candidate for President 10,000 votes in the State of New York.

Edward Butler, late stalwart editor of the New Haven, Conn. Palladium, has declared his intention to support Hancock.

The Pittsburgh Volksblatt, a prominent German Republican paper, has hauled down the Garfield and hoisted the Hancock flag.

John Studebaker and Leo Miller, while addressing a Greenback meeting at Camden, Jay county, were rotten egg'd, by a mob of republicans, and the meeting broken up.

It was Judge Farwell who saluted down James A. Garfield as the man who betrayed his trust and helped DeGolyer & Co., defraud the Government out of \$700,000.

Garfield is going to be flooded with biographers. Seven or eight are announced already. If this is not looked after, there won't be consultants enough to go round.

"I spoke to Gov. Shepherd about it—that was all I did." Five thousand dollars for speaking to Gov. Shepherd, or reporting two millions for a fraudulent job, was pretty good for Garfield.

Hon. S. W. Moulton and Judge Moyer, prominent Republicans of Sherville, Illinois, are out for Hancock and English. Moulton represented the State at large in Congress before the last apportionment.

Unhappy London and Paris are struggling with the "Gem Puzzle," 13, 15, 16—Exchange.

Unhappy republicanism is struggling with a more exhausting puzzle—how it is to carry more than three States, Rhode Island, Vermont and Kansas, for Garfield.

The census returns, sufficiently complete to warrant an approximate estimate, places the Empire State's population at five millions! Eighty years ago, at the beginning of the present century, the population of the whole country was but 5,305,857. Vote for Hancock, English, Landers and their associates on the same ticket.

Nearly \$70,000 have been saved already since the State Benevolent Institutions passed into the hands of the new Democratic management. Will "Judge" Porter tell of it in his speeches, or will he continue to depend upon those 175,000,000 acres of public lands which the Republican party squandered on the railroad companies?

Marshal Jewell, chairman of the Credit Mobilier ticket committee, is quoted as saying both in Boston and Philadelphia last week, that Garfield could pull through, and Pennsylvania would give him more than an old-time majority.

"Debuted soul that dreams of heaven."

Pennsylvania is as likely to give a majority to Weaver or Neal Dow as Garfield. Hancock & English will carry Pennsylvania by not less than 20,000.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.

Gen. Garfield is described as the hero of Chickamauga. We have been wrestling with several histories to ascertain just what particular service this man, Garfield, did render. We don't want to disparage anything he did, if he did anything. Will not somebody just furnish some authentic data, official or otherwise, of Gen. Garfield's services in the field. It is difficult to determine whether we should have been beaten worse or not so badly if Garfield had been absent at Chickamauga. Gen. Rossenrancs, on whose staff he was, it may as well be noted, is for Hancock.