

THE EAGLE.

H. L. PHILLIPS, { EDITORS & PROPRIETORS
A. J. HILL, }
DECATUR, INDIANA.

FRIDAY MORNING, OCT. 7, 1859.

BLANKS! BLANKS! BLANKS!!!

Blank Deeds, Mortgages, Justice's Blanks, of all kinds; Constable's Blanks, of all kinds; Blank Notes, &c., &c., of the best quality for Sale at this Office.

Democratic County Ticket

For Clerk,
JAMES B. SIMCOKE.

For Auditor,
WM. G. SPENCER.

For Commissioner, 1st Dist.
CONRAD REINKING.

For Commissioner, 3rd Dist.
JOSIAH CRAWFORD.

D I S T R I C T T I C K E T .

For Circuit Prosecutor,
MOSES JENKINSON,
OF FORT WAYNE.

For District Prosecutor,
JOSEPH S. FRANCE,
OF FORT WAYNE.

A C A R D .
To my Democratic Friends of Adams County.

Through the urgent request of many Democratic friends, who saw and disapproved of the unfair means used to defeat me in the primary election last Spring, I allowed my name to be used as an independent candidate for the office of County Auditor; with the full understanding that I was to have the track alone. But no sooner had those who professed to be most friendly found that I was fairly before the public than they brought out a Republican candidate, and showed a disposition to take advantage of the circumstance, and by dividing the Democratic vote to elect their own candidate.

Secondly, by insinuation, the *Young America* charges that the resident tax payers of Adams County pay for publishing the delinquent list; and that the *Eagle's* exorbitant charges was fished out of their pockets.

These are grave charges; but entirely untrue. In the first place, we will say that the business of publishing a county paper is a laborious one; requiring much labor and no inconsiderable amount of ready means to keep it up; that the experience of the craft throughout the country has fixed for the country press a minimum rate for advertising; and the rate is ten cents per line. When we commenced the publication of the *Eagle*, now nearly three years ago, it was our desire, by honest industry to sustain the enterprise. But to do this we must have a fair return for our labor, and to obtain this object, we selected, as our rates, that which was customary throughout the country, to wit: "Ten cents per line;" and placed the same at the head of our columns; and we have always been guided by these rates, never charging more or less, either to the county, or to individuals.

Hence, the whole thing, so far as the first charge is concerned, resolves itself into this, is ten cents a line too much, is it exorbitant?

If it is, then the *Eagle* is guilty of charging too much, if it is only a fair price, then the charges of the *Young America* are false. Now reader you will bear in mind, that the advertised rates of the *Young America* are the same as those of the *Eagle*, and that in all the advertising the *Young America* has done for the Clerk's office during the past year it has uniformly conformed to those rates.

We ask every honest reader who may think there is any truth in the charges made by the *Young America*, to go to the Clerk's office and examine the bills of the *Young America* there on file, and you will see that the editors of that sheet have charged widows and orphans and parties generally, that were compelled to have advertising done through the Clerk, ten cents per line for every line advertised.

And then go to the Auditor's office and examine the bills of the *Eagle* there on file, and you will see that we charged the same. Then we ask reader, that you take up the *Eagle* and the *Young America* and examine the advertising columns of each and buy them together and you will observe that those of the *Eagle* are much the widest, and that its type is much the smallest, consequently a square in the *Eagle* contains fully one third more words than a square in the *Young America* does.

The *Young America* has bills on file in the Clerk's office, charging six dollars for giving notice to non-residents, which would not amount to over three dollars published in the *Eagle*, such is the difference between the type and columns of the two papers.

We have not referred to the printing the *Young America* done for the Clerk's office for the purpose of showing that its charges were too high, although they are much higher than our rates; yet we do not say that they are too high, or exorbitant. But we have referred to it to show and prove to every man that will go and see what we tell them to be the truth; that the Tolans, for eight months past, have charged Executives, Administrators and others ten cents a line for advertising in their narrow columns and large type; that it is higher than any bill the *Eagle* office ever charged the county.

H. D. GILLESPIE.

We publish the above Card of Mr. Gillespie's again, because the *Young America* of last week held out the idea that he was still a candidate, from the fact that they say he ordered them to print him a certain number of tickets. Now this is false, Mr. Gillespie told them emphatically that he wanted no tickets; but they seem determined to print and circulate tickets with his name on, with the vain hope of injuring Mr. Spencer. We warn all against being deceived by such tickets.

We have also proved that these lovers of the "dear people" who "love them as vultures love lambs" to cover and devour them—plundered the tax-payers of \$5.32 in the matter of the publication of the Auditor's Report for the years 1857, 1858, and 1859, by the allowance to Phillips and Spencer, of \$3.14 a year, when the same work had been done by J. B. Simcock for 1853, 1854, 1855, 1856, for the average sum of \$5.56 a year, making a difference of \$5.32 as already stated.

The above taken from the *Young America* contains a lie so palpable, bare-faced and unblushing that the farther of liars himself, it occurs to us, would not like to father it. Tom and Ike both know it is untrue, that we only done the "same work that Simcock did." No wonder that those imps go round with their heads down; we should not like to look an honest man in the face after fathering such stuff.

Buncombe.

The Trout Republicans report it around that Mr. Gillespie is using his influence for Mr. Trout. Now can any one read Mr. Gillespie's card, and believe such a thing for a moment; the idea it preposterous. Mr. Gillespie, in his card of withdrawal, says most emphatically, that he will go with all the power he has for the Democratic ticket, without any exception. It is but another lie, manufactured by the Smith clique for political purposes, and has not the least foundation. Mr. Gillespie is a good man for that.

The *Young America* and the Printing Question Again.

The *Young America* of last week devotes a large portion of its half sheet to a repetition of its old stale and oft-repeated lies and insinuations against the *Eagle* in reference to the County printing.

We would not now revert to these charges, or notice them, if it were not that they are made and repeated on the eve of the election, with the evident intention of deceiving the voters of the county. We have before laid the subject so fully and fairly before our readers, that to do so again appears almost unnecessary. But as it is on the eve of the election, and lest our silence might be construed, by some, into an admission of the truth of the falsehoods, we will again take it up and endeavor to place it before the public in such a light, that, "The wayfaring man, though a fool, cannot err therein." And in order that our readers may more fully understand the points at issue, we will repeat in substance, the charges made by the *Young America*:

"First, that the *Eagle* office, while Spencer was one of its editors, charged the county an exorbitant price for printing the delinquent list and Auditor's annual report, and thereby defrauded the county.

Secondly, by insinuation, the *Young America* charges that the resident tax payers of Adams County pay for publishing the delinquent list; and that the *Eagle's* exorbitant charges was fished out of their pockets."

These are grave charges; but entirely untrue. In the first place, we will say that the business of publishing a county paper is a laborious one; requiring much labor and no inconsiderable amount of ready means to keep it up; that the experience of the craft throughout the country has fixed for the country press a minimum rate for advertising; and the rate is ten cents per line. When we commenced the publication of the *Eagle*, now nearly three years ago, it was our desire, by honest industry to sustain the enterprise. But to do this we must have a fair return for our labor, and to obtain this object, we selected, as our rates, that which was customary throughout the country, to wit: "Ten cents per line;" and placed the same at the head of our columns; and we have always been guided by these rates, never charging more or less, either to the county, or to individuals.

Hence, the whole thing, so far as the first charge is concerned, resolves itself into this, is ten cents a line too much, is it exorbitant?

If it is, then the *Eagle* is guilty of charging too much, if it is only a fair price, then the charges of the *Young America* are false. Now reader you will bear in mind, that the advertised rates of the *Young America* are the same as those of the *Eagle*, and that in all the advertising the *Young America* has done for the Clerk's office during the past year it has uniformly conformed to those rates.

We ask every honest reader who may think there is any truth in the charges made by the *Young America*, to go to the Clerk's office and examine the bills of the *Young America* there on file, and you will see that the editors of that sheet have charged widows and orphans and parties generally, that were compelled to have advertising done through the Clerk, ten cents per line for every line advertised.

The *Young America* and the Jim Smith Cabel.

We find the following in the "Young America" of last week: "We apprehend no opposition to the regular Democratic ticket, save on the candidate for Auditor."

As we told our readers last week, that the Young America caucus had been to work and thrown young Loofborrow overboard—the truth is, Loofborrow does not belong to the Young America clique; although he is a young man, ardent in poetry and church, full of Philadelphia platform Republicanism, has been bred and born in the faith, yet he has been slaughtered in the house of his friends, with as little ceremony as a butcher takes the head off his mutton. He was not even notified of the caucus that slaughtered him. The object of Smith and the Tolans in throwing the young man overboard is, they hoped, to divide the Democratic vote by only making a contest on one office. They knew they could not beat Simecock and they thought as to him they would give up the race, and endeavor to persuade Simecock not to work for the ticket, that he will be elected anyhow; but in this they will be sadly disappointed, Simecock is no cork-leg, nor does he sympathize with any man that is false to his party. The party on the day of election will present a united front for the whole ticket, and these tricksters have surrendered half the contest in advance—the fight will not be, so long or furious, but the victory will be none the less glorious.

We advise young Mr. Loofborrow to come up and do penance to the Jim Smith clique, and in the course of time these worthless may forgive him for being so impudent and presumptuous as to be an independent candidate without first asking and obtaining permission from these worthy gentlemen.

Consistency.

The *Young America* of last week makes a terrible ad about the *Democratic Clique*, saying who shall be candidates and who shall not be. Now, we would like to know upon what authority the Republican clique forbids Mr. Loofborrow from running as a Republican candidate. They have actually forbid the Republican party from sustaining him, and for the reason, as they say themselves, that it don't suit their convenience. We imagine Mr. Loofborrow and his friends will relish the idea of having their political heads severed, merely to accommodate Mr. Trout and his friends. To please Mr. Trout, Mr. Loofborrow's head must come clear off, for the *Young America* says:—"THE REPUBLICANS WILL HAVE NO CANDIDATE FOR CLERK."

You Republican lovers of fair play, chaw that cud and swallow the dose if you can,

Now reader what do you think of the honesty and candor of the men who charge widows and orphans, ten cents a line for advertising; and for electioneering purposes charge the *Eagle* office of fraud because it charges the county ten cents per line for advertising. Are they not pretty pinks to prate about other men's dishonesty. We envy them, not their feelings or sentiments.

As to charge second, or rather insinuation, it is entirely false and untrue. The law does not permit the sale of lands for taxes in any case where there is personal property, of which the treasurer can collect the tax, consequently residents having personal property, their lands cannot be advertised for sale; but only non-residents, who have no personal property in the county, have lands advertised for sale.

The law makes it the duty of the Auditor to charge up the costs of advertising to the land which is sold; and it is paid when the tax of said land is paid; and in no case does it come out of the resident tax payers.

Now for two years past the *Eagle* has published the non-residents' delinquent lands for sale, and charged ten cents a line, a less price, considering the size of our type and the width of our columns, and the *Young America* has charged resident widows and orphans, during the past summer.

We know of no rule either in law or ethics, that would require us to work for non-resident speculators for less than a fair price; nor do we know any reason why we should work for non-resident speculators for a less price than the Tolans' charge resident widows and orphans.

Their argument and practice lead us to the conclusion that they are in favoring the rich of other states and imposing upon the poor and helpless of our own county.

We have not said that Tolans' charged

too much, we have only said that the printing they done for the Clerk's office amounted to more than our rates would make for the same work. We do not expect to resort to tricks stratagem, or belittle others to sustain our office. We only defend ourselves.

The *Young America* and the Jim Smith Cabel.

We find the following in the "Young America" of last week: "We apprehend no opposition to the regular Democratic ticket, save on the candidate for Auditor."

As we told our readers last week, that the Young America caucus had been to work and thrown young Loofborrow overboard—the truth is, Loofborrow does not belong to the Young America clique; although he is a young man, ardent in poetry and church, full of Philadelphia platform Republicanism, has been bred and born in the faith, yet he has been slaughtered in the house of his friends, with as little ceremony as a butcher takes the head off his mutton. He was not even notified of the caucus that slaughtered him. The object of Smith and the Tolans in throwing the young man overboard is, they hoped, to divide the Democratic vote by only making a contest on one office. They knew they could not beat Simecock and they thought as to him they would give up the race, and endeavor to persuade Simecock not to work for the ticket, that he will be elected anyhow; but in this they will be sadly disappointed, Simecock is no cork-leg, nor does he sympathize with any man that is false to his party. The party on the day of election will present a united front for the whole ticket, and these tricksters have surrendered half the contest in advance—the fight will not be, so long or furious, but the victory will be none the less glorious.

We advise young Mr. Loofborrow to come up and do penance to the Jim Smith clique, and in the course of time these worthless may forgive him for being so impudent and presumptuous as to be an independent candidate without first asking and obtaining permission from these worthy gentlemen.

Consistency.

The *Young America* of last week makes a terrible ad about the *Democratic Clique*, saying who shall be candidates and who shall not be. Now, we would like to know upon what authority the Republican clique forbids Mr. Loofborrow from running as a Republican candidate. They have actually forbid the Republican party from sustaining him, and for the reason, as they say themselves, that it don't suit their convenience. We imagine Mr. Loofborrow and his friends will relish the idea of having their political heads severed, merely to accommodate Mr. Trout and his friends. To please Mr. Trout, Mr. Loofborrow's head must come clear off, for the *Young America* says:—"THE REPUBLICANS WILL HAVE NO CANDIDATE FOR CLERK."

You Republican lovers of fair play, chaw that cud and swallow the dose if you can,

Our Candidates.

Democrats remember that on the 11th of October is the annual election. We have a ticket in the field that it is both our duty and interest, as Democrats, to elect. We love our party because we believe its principles will best subserve the interests of our country and perpetuate that Union bequeathed to us by our fathers. We can only expect to sustain our party by electing her representative men.

By the forms of convention, such as the party have agreed upon, we have nominated candidates for the various offices—we have placed them out as a kind of target for the opposition to shoot at. It would not be kind in us, having placed them in that position, if we did not sustain them with our whole strength. As Democrats we all have our friends, and it is natural for us to like some men better than others

—that we have our likes and dislikes; but it is our duty as Democrats to yield them all to the will of the majority of the party.

Our candidates are all good men, well qualified for the respective positions for which they were nominated.

This is Mr. Simecock's second term. He

will undoubtedly be re-elected and serve

another four years with the same integrity

of purpose that he has the preceding

four; the Republicans give it up—they

can't beat him.

Mr. Spencer, our candidate for Auditor, is well known to the citizens of the county; and no man in it is better qualified for the position, or will make a more industrious officer. Mr. Spencer has never held a county office, but has done much labor in almost all the offices of the county as a hand. He is a man of limited means, and like most of us is compelled to work for his bread. If elected to the office he will do the work himself, verifying the maxim, "by the sweat of thy brow shall ye earn thy bread." It has been the policy of the Democracy to elect no man to county office that did not need the office and would not do the work himself. Now if Trout should succeed it is fair to suppose that he will do as he did before, hire a clerk for about one hundred and fifty dollars a year to do the work, while he will put the residue of about seven or eight hundred dollars in his pocket for acting the gentleman.

Dr. Trout never does any favors, he is

now worth at a fair estimate ten thousand dollars; and to put him in an office where he will still practice his profession besides

making some seven or eight hundred dollars a year, without doing the work of the office, is a thing so ridiculous and wrong for the voters of Adams county to be guilty of.

As to our candidates for Commissioners, Messrs. Crawford and Reinking, have each served one term before, they are both capable and honest, and will be elected without opposition.

Let every Democratic voter be at the

polls on Tuesday next in good time—

Vote against the call for a Convention to amend the Constitution and for the regular Democratic nominees.

Republican Charges.

During the last few weeks the Republicans have made every charge against

ourselves, in connection with Mr. Spencer

the Democratic candidate for county Auditor; that false hearts and lying tongues could invent. They charge all manner of

fraud and deception upon Mr. Spencer,

without the least proof of the same; while they laud Mr. Trout, the Republican can-

didate for his many virtues.

We will examine briefly some of the acts of Mr. Trout while he was county Auditor; and in looking over the Commissioners' Record, we find that Wm. Trout was allowed the sum of \$122.80 for publishing the Delinquent List for the year 1848, in writing—the public will

bear in mind that it was not printed, but merely written. And Mr. Spencer done that work for Mr. Trout, as deputy, for less than twenty-five dollars, allowing a neat little profit to Mr. Trout of about one hundred dollars for stepping around the street and acting the gentleman—

While, for the year 1849, J. R. Randall was only allowed \$102.65 for printing the same in the Decatur Gazette. That is some of the Doctor's honesty.

We also find, that at the March term of the Board of Commissioners in 18