

# DECATUR DAILY DEMOCRAT

ONLY DAILY NEWSPAPER IN ADAMS COUNTY

VOL. LXII NO. 210

Decatur, Indiana, 46733, Friday, September 4, 1964

SEVEN CENTS

## Challenges Legality Of Committee Hearing On Student Trip To Cuba

### Khanh Resumes Viet Nam Hold

SAIGON, South Viet Nam (UPI)—Prime Minister Nguyen Khanh announced today that he has resumed control of the government.

Khanh told a news conference he once again was in charge of affairs because of "Popular demand."

His decision came at the end of six days of political maneuvering with Buddhist leaders and powerful army generals.

Khanh had stepped aside temporarily last weekend after almost a week of rioting in which about three-score persons were killed and many injured.

He went to the mountain resort of Dalat for a "rest," but returned Thursday. Following a series of talks with key Bud-

#### To Suspend Business For Holiday Monday

Most business will be suspended in Decatur Monday, Sept. 7, because of the Labor Day holiday. Federal, state, county and city offices will be closed, and there will be no mail delivery, except special delivery.

Also closed will be the First State Bank, the public library, and most retailers. The Daily Democrat will not publish an edition Monday. Exceptions to the closing will be the theater, some restaurants, confectioneries, service stations and taverns.

#### INDIANA WEATHER

Partly cloudy, chance of scattered thundershows southeast and extreme south tonight. Cooler northwest and turning cooler central portion this afternoon, cooler most of state tonight. Saturday fair and cooler. Low tonight in the 50s north to about 60 south. High Saturday 75 to 85. Sunday 712 p.m. Outlook for Sunday: Mostly fair and pleasant. Lows in the 50s. Highs in the 80s.

### Independent Group Endorses Johnson

WASHINGTON (UPI)—President Johnson's campaign hopes were buoyed today by support from a new "Independent" committee of big business leaders composed largely of Republicans.

The group included two members of former President Dwight D. Eisenhower's cabinet.

After the 45-member nucleus of the committee was formed Thursday, Johnson said that political independents this year have a choice of "the kind of country they want America to be."

Johnson said:

"Independents Have Choice. They can choose an America which sails a straight and sure course, steered by experience, confident in its strength, steadfast in its purposes.

"Or they can choose quite a different America—an America tacking sail and turning about to retrace its course."

The Eisenhower cabinet members listed as originating sponsors of the "National Independent Committee for President Johnson and Senator Humphrey" were Robert B. Anderson, former Treasury secretary, and Marion B. Folsom, former secretary of Health.

WASHINGTON (UPI)—A second day of hearings into an unauthorized trip to Cuba this summer by 84 young Americans got off to a gavel-pounding, acrimonious start today.

A 24-year-old Antioch College graduate, said to be a leader of the student travelers, challenged the legality of the investigation by the House Committee on Un-American Activities and its right to question him.

Edward Lemansky, New York City, got into a shouting match with Rep. Richard Ichord, D-Mo., chairman of the hearing.

Lemansky said the committee was unconstitutional in its creation and operation; that some of its members were illegally elected; and that it attacked communism as alien while con-

sidering nazism "home grown."

**Have Shouting Exchange**

Ichord overruled the objections and repeatedly sought to gavel Lemansky to order. The gavel pounding was interspersed with shouted exchanges between the witness and members or counsel of the committee.

Bitter opening remarks added to tension already prevalent in the big House Caucus Room. This followed an incident Thursday in which an American Nazi party member leaped to the witness table and attacked another of the student travelers under questioning.

Committee members indicated at the start of today's session they would seek a contempt of Congress citation against the Nazi party member against whom local police already had lodged charges including one of assault.

The assaulted witness, mean-

time, was said by his attorney to be under care of a neurosurgeon at a hospital here. Ichord apologized anew for the attack and served notice that police would take whatever precautions necessary to prevent any repetition.

**Police Flank Nazis**

In a change of signals, however, three members of the American Nazi party, including party leader George Lincoln Rockwell, were admitted to the hearing room. Each of the Nazis was flanked by two uniformed police.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Thursday's incident developed when the Nazi, identified as Lon Dunaway, 28, Arlington, Va., leaped from the audience and attacked a witness who was thrown open.

Thursday's incident developed when the Nazi, identified as Lon Dunaway, 28, Arlington, Va., leaped from the audience and attacked a witness who was thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Thursday's incident developed when the Nazi, identified as Lon Dunaway, 28, Arlington, Va., leaped from the audience and attacked a witness who was thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was open to the public, and that the Nazis were entitled to admission by virtue of the place they held in line when the Caucus Room doors were thrown open.

Earlier it had been planned to bar any known member of the Nazi party. However, Ichord held that the hearing was