

HON. S. M. RALSTON'S SPEECH

Hoosier Democracy's Standard Bearer Reviews the Issues—Party Loyalty Means the Defeat of Landis—Democracy and the War. State and National Questions.

Mr. Chairman and Gentlemen of the Convention:

I am glad to greet the Democrats of the Ninth congressional district in convention assembled. While I am not a delegate to this convention I am in sympathy with the object of your meeting and will rejoice with you should the result of your deliberations prove acceptable to the rank and file of our party. When Democrats meet to take counsel of one another they should never forget that it is the duty of the minority to submit to the will of the majority. I have such faith in the unadulterated Democracy of the Democrats of this district that I feel the choice of this convention will receive the vote of every Democrat in the district. If loyalty prevails in our party Mr. Landis will surrender his seat in congress to your nominee and the citizenship you represent will have a protector and defender against those influences that make against our national life.

The Blue and the Gray United.
We meet, my friends, under unusual circumstances. More than 30 years ago the great civil war ended that threatened the life of our nation, but unfortunately the bitter animosities kindled by that conflict have manifested themselves in some manner in every campaign since the close of the struggle. Party leaders have not hesitated to seek party advantages by fanning anew the dying embers of sectional strife. To-day, however, public opinion demands that Mason and Dixon's line be obliterated that it may no longer be a flaming wall—an impassable barrier to a friendly intercourse between the north and south, and that the differences out of which the war came must not be cited as a reason for the ascendancy of any particular party.

The boys who were the blue have clasped hands across the bloody chasm with the boys who wore the gray, and shoulder to shoulder they are standing this hour under the folds of one flag in defense of a common country. Fortunately for us we are not now engaged in war among ourselves. Within our own boundaries we are at peace. We are united as one man against Spanish tyranny and Spanish oppression. We have heard the voice of the oppressed in a neighboring island, and yielding to the dictates of humanity, we have determined, it matters not how great the cost may be in blood and treasure, to deliver the Cubans from bondage. This is the purpose and mission of the American people regardless of party affiliations, for in this war there should be no politics and so long as the object and purpose for which war was declared are adhered to and good faith prevails in its prosecution, damned should be the man or party that shall attempt to gain a partisan advantage through it.

Democracy First For Cuban Independence.
It is to be regretted that the suggestion is occasionally made that Indiana should go Republican at the next election because the present administration has the war on its hands. My friends, it is true that the president, who is the commander-in-chief of our armies and navy, is a Republican, yet the Democratic party stands ready to strengthen the president's hands in every way possible, and through its representatives in congress it will gladly join in voting every man and every dollar necessary to aid in prosecuting the war to a speedy and successful conclusion.

And I now turn our Republican friends that if they insist that the voters of Indiana should support the Republican ticket next fall because of the war, we shall not hesitate to remind them that Marcus Hanna and his administration were against the war until the exhortation of public opinion lashed them into it. The Democratic party was demanding the independence of Cuba when the Republican party was in a state of lethargy on the subject. Wall Street had sat down so heavy on Mr. McKinley and his advisers in opposition to the war and in favor of the holders of Cuban bonds, that the presence of leading Republicans of Indiana was rendered necessary in Washington to point out to the executive the disastrous influences at work in their party ranks and to impress upon him that all chances of Republican success at the coming election would be lost unless war was declared.

Democrats Win the Victories.
We shall not stop here. If it becomes necessary we will not allow the voters to forget that the man who on that memorable morning of May plowed his way at the head of the American fleet through the engines of death and destruction in Manila harbor, and who, after a battle that amazed and startled the civilized world, planted the stars and stripes on Philippine soil, was commander, now Rear Admiral Dewey, who is a Democrat.

We will not forget to tell them that Hobson, who destroyed and sank in the Santiago channel the vessel that bore him above the waves while hell was belching her fury on him from the Spanish forts on all the hills around, and who lingered his time in a Spanish prison, is a Democrat. We will tell them, too, with becoming pride that the man under whose direction and command Cervera's fleet was swept from the seas and the arm of Spain paralyzed in war was the daring, dashing Schley, a Democrat.

I cannot tell you of the bravery of these men. My words are too few and too

feeble. The poet and the essayist are yet to be born whose pens can adequately portray the unselfish patriotism, the love of man for man, and the dauntless heroism they have displayed in their effort to free their oppressed fellow man. But while we rejoice in the parts Democrats are taking in this war, we do not wish to detract from the honor and glory due the president and every man under him, down to the humblest in the ranks of the army. To them all we concede a genuine patriotism and cheerfully acknowledge the debt of gratitude we owe them.

Democracy's Record in Indiana.

There are other things, however, for which the Democratic party is to be commended aside from its position on the Spanish war. Whenever an Indiana Democrat consults the record of his party in this state or in the nation he finds cause for congratulation. With pride he points to the common school system of Indiana and her magnificent benevolent institutions as an evidence of Democratic philanthropy and statesmanship. The law that overthrew the notorious school-book trust and reduced fully one-half the cost of a child's education, was passed by a Democratic legislature. Pinkertonism was driven from the state and the power to rob the wage-earner was denied the proprietors of the "pluck-me" stores by the Democratic party. The tax law that incurred the special enmity of Republican leaders, while it was in process of enactment, and that since has been commended by the Republican press and Republican speakers, was also passed by a Democratic legislature and upheld by Democratic officials until the courts sustained it. Under this law the corporate wealth of the state has been forced to bear its fair share of the expenses of our state government and the state debt has been set in process of liquidation.

And in this connection it is especially pleasing to a Democrat, who is always a stronger patriot than a partisan, to be able to recall that his party gave this state the law having for its object the preservation and perpetuity of our free institutions through the purity of the ballot. The suppression of corruption at the polls, however, has never met the approval of the Republican party, and consequently it has never lost an opportunity to decry the election law and to change and weaken its most salutary provisions.

Unlimited and Independent Bimetallism.

But, gentlemen, while in the campaign we are preparing to enter, we shall consider state issues, and the records the two great parties have made touching state affairs, we propose to go further and re-submit to the voters of Indiana the financial question. I am not indifferent to the charge frequently heard that the silver question is dead, but I remind those who talk thus that no question is ever settled in this country until it has been settled right.

Gold triumphed in 1893, but a victory achieved through slander, vilification, coercion and wholesale corruption, can never be permanent. The methods of Colonel W. W. Dudley, the notorious refugee from justice, in his blocks-of-five sounderism in 1888, were the methods of the Sunday school teacher when compared with the means resorted to in 1893 to pinion this nation to the accursed gold standard.

We will enter the contest then in support of the doctrine of free, unlimited and independent bimetallism. In demanding the unlimited coinage of both gold and silver into standard money at a fixed ratio by law, we are not departing from the traditions of our party, nor are we seeking to engraft a new or novel scheme of finance upon the country. We are but pleading for the restoration of the monetary system of our fathers, whose virtuous operation can be cited by its supporters in the absence of famine, starvation and the application of the gambler's art on the board of trade.

Our position, we know, is impregnable. We insist that gold and silver at rates fixed by congress constitute the only standard of value allowable under our constitution, and that the people have the right to the use of both metals in the monetary form, in the discharge of all debts, both private and public. We submit that, if the constitution has made the standard of value to consist of the two metals, congress has no authority to increase the value of the measuring standard in the interest of the money-changer and against the wealth-producer by demonetizing silver, and thereby shifting the monetary demand responded to by the two metals onto gold alone.

Statesmanship and the Supreme Court on the Side of Silver.

We are not without authority, high and respectable, in support of our theory. The ripest scholarship, the ablest statesmanship and the evenly poised judicial mind have indorsed it. It has been taught in the foremost colleges and universities in the world. It has been expounded in the forum of statesmanship and approved judicially from the bench.

Daniel Webster, perhaps the greatest constitutional lawyer the world ever knew, said in a speech in 1836 from his seat in the United States senate that "The legal tender, therefore, the constitutional standard of value, is established and can not be overturned. I am certain of the opinion that gold and silver, at rates fixed by congress, constitute the legal standard of value in this country, and that neither congress nor any state has authority to establish any other standard or to displace this."

James G. Blaine, once the idol of Republicans, took strong ground against the authority of congress to demonetize either gold or silver.

The distinguished jurist, Justice Clifford, in his able dissenting opinion in the celebrated "legal tender cases," says:

"Argument to show that the national treasury was organized on the basis that the gold and silver coins of the United States were to be the standard of value, is unnecessary, as it is a historical fact which no man or body of men can ever successfully contradict. * * * Very strong doubts are entertained whether an act of congress is absolutely necessary to constitute gold and silver coins of the United States, fabricated and stamped as such by the proper executive officers of the mint, a legal tender in payment of debts. Constituted as such coins are by the constitution, the standard of value, the better opinion would seem to be that they become legal tender for that purpose if printed of the required weight and fineness, as soon as they are coined and put into circulation by lawful authority. * * *

Currency is a word much more comprehensive than the word 'money,' as it may include bank bills and even bills of exchange, as well as coins of gold and silver, but the word 'money,' as employed in the grant of power under consideration, means the coins of gold and silver fabricated and stamped by the government, as universally acknowledged and their official origin, become the medium of exchange and the standard by which all other values are expressed and discharged."

In the same cases Justice Field, whose

services on the supreme bench of the United States cover a period exceeding that of any other man in the history of the court, supplements the opinion of Justice Clifford thus:

"The inhibition upon the states to coin money and yet to make any thing but gold and silver coin a tender in payment of debts must be read in connection with the grant of the coinage power to congress. The two provisions taken together indicate beyond question that the coins which the national government was to fabricate, and the foreign coins, the valuation of which it was to regulate, were to consist principally, if not entirely, of gold and silver. Money being a standard, its coin or pieces are necessarily a legal tender. The provisions in the different coinage acts that the coins to be struck shall be such legal tender are merely declaratory of their effect, when offered in payment, and are not essential to give them their character."

Thus it is seen that the views of the bimetalist today are in harmony with the opinion of these eminent statesmen and jurists, and when he insists upon the right of the people to the use of both gold and silver as currency, he is merely demanding for them only their constitutional right. So my friends, if the opinion of a man entertains on the financial question may render him a dangerous member of society, as we were told in 1893, it would not be difficult, taking as a criterion the utterances of the gentlemen I have quoted, to point out and designate the real anarchists of this country.

It is not enough for the single standard advocate to say he is opposed to bimetalism because of the ratio proposed. If Webster and Blaine, Clifford and Fields have correctly interpreted the constitution, and the present ratio is an erroneous one, which we deny, bimetalism should be supported at a correct ratio, and he who is not willing to do this, but who the contrary favors the destruction of one of the metals as money, brands himself as an infractor of the organic law of the nation.

We hear it frequently observed that the Democratic party is an unsafe guide on the money question, and yet I am practically within the truth when I remind you that the monetary system prevailing in this country from its organization until 1873, and under which this nation fought its wars, contributed its share to the swelling tide of civilization and made its most giant-like stride in material progress was the outgrowth of Democratic statesmanship.

Republican Party Not Entitled to Leadership On Financial Questions.

When was it the Republican party became the custodian of the financial policy of this country? It was not born until 1853 and its platform of that year contained no reference to money. In 1890 it conducted a national campaign without saying in its platform what it thought about the financial question. In 1894 it favored "promoting the use of national currency," but by the time 1898 came around it had forgotten what it had thought on the subject four years prior thereto, and so it omitted to incorporate a money plank in its platform. Its memory was still no better in 1872, nor had its stock of information been added to, and the result was it adopted another platform without a money plank in 1872. In 1884 it was in favor of a monetary policy of having a financial idea, as was evidenced by its platform declaration in favor of "a steady progress to specie payment."

It would not be expected, of course, of a party to make very rapid progress in the development of a subject about which it required 20 years to get an idea, and so no particular surprise was manifested when this self-consecrated guardian of national honor went before the country in 1898 on a platform containing no money plank. In 1884 it was in favor of an international agreement for the use of both gold and silver as standard money. In 1888 it loved silver and denounced the Democratic party for its impatriotic attempt to demonetize it. In 1892 it still loved silver and here in Indiana it was very vociferous in its congratulations of the country that a long stride had been taken toward the free coinage of the white metal.

But, my friends, in 1893, to believe its story now, it began to see the error of its way in forming an attachment for silver, and consequently in its St. Louis platform it made, over the protest of Hon. Richard W. Thompson, Henry M. Teller and other leading Republicans, a half-way confession of its sins.

And now, in this good year of 1898, this party of progressive ideas, of superior wisdom, of immaculate purity, is confessing by its course that in reality it never knew anything about the money question until recently, and since it has been placed under the command of its new leader, that distinguished statesman of finance, Hon. H. H. Hanna, doubtless a direct descendant of Marcus Aurelius.

I wish I had time to take up and discuss the financial measure recently introduced in congress, back of which this gentleman and Wall Street's monetary commission are standing. But I can give you a brief analysis of his bill, as I get it from Mr. Hanna himself. A friend to whom he addressed a letter in support of his measure was kind enough to hand the same to me. He says: "The bill is politically the best measure that has been prepared." The word politically he has underscored, and I suppose he means thereby to suggest that it will call forth the sinews of corruption when the fight is on. Then he continues: "It meets the expectations of those who believe there can be no permanent business prosperity until the greenbacks are retired, and it does not oppose the prejudices of those who favor the greenbacks." Now, if you can conceive a measure embodying two theories of finance diametrically opposed and yet every part working harmoniously with the whole you will at least have a faint conception of what Mr. Hanna imagines he has in his bill. He is beyond question the right man to be at the head of the visionary commission which is pretending to believe it should supercede congress in determining the monetary policy of this government.

A candid investigation will fail to establish the right of the Republican party to leadership on financial questions. It has rendered complex and thrown into confusion our monetary system. Its statesmen have labored to unravel the web of idiosyncrasy running through its financial legislation, but to no purpose. In making this charge I do not overlook its financial policy during the rebellion, but the policy it then adopted for the salvation of the nation it now condemns. It asseverates with great pretensions to wisdom in favor of the single gold standard, and yet you may take any two Republican of your own selection and have them each evolve a theory of finance bottomed on the single standard idea and you will find their conclusions to be as wide apart as the poles.

An Appeal For a Union of Forces.

I appeal, then, to those Democrats who do not fully indorse the financial theory

for which their party is standing, to give it their counsel and support. I beg them to remember that the party with which they have affiliated in the past the party of their choice and the party of their love, is greater by far than any one idea. I point them to its glorious past, and to them I predict for it a still more brilliant future. It has been fighting for a century the tyrannical and centralizing influences in our national life. Wherever the brazen features of monopoly have shown themselves the Democratic party has challenged their right to exist and fatten off the product of honest labor. And to its credit, the party of the sage of Monticello and of the hero of New Orleans has never in its great career joined hands with organized greed to assault the best interests of our country to pauperize the bones and sinews of our land and fasten about the throats of Americans the vicelike grip of plutocracy.

On the other hand, however, it has always stood for that form of government and for those measures and laws that take into account the citizenship of the man at the forge, in the factory and in the shop; of the boy in the ditch and in the mine; of the woman at the spindle, by the loom and over the tub, and guarantees to each and all of them their fair share in life's achievements.

A party with such a past can be trusted in the future. And for the future of our country we have much to concern. The four quarters of the earth are at this time anxiously watching American statesmanship, and on every hand the concession is made that the destiny of this republic is largely dependent upon the question of annexation. It is well for us, therefore, to reflect in this hour of feverish excitement that territorial aggrandizement may lead to a national weakness and degradation. It is at least suggestive that the late secretary of state, Senator John Sherman, who was a fair representative of the ripest statesmanship of the Republican party, reserved the last paragraph of his "Forty Years in the House, Senate and Cabinet" in which he found a note of warning to his countrymen on this question. In conclusion I quote his words:

"The events of the future are beyond the vision of mankind, but I hope that our people will be content with internal growth and avoid the complications of foreign acquisition. Our country of states is already large enough to create embarrassment in the senate, and a republic should not hold dependent provinces or possessions. Every new acquisition will create embarrassment. Canada and Mexico, as independent republics, will be more valuable to the United States than if carved into additional states. The union already embraces discordant elements enough without adding others. My life is pledged that I will do all I can to add to the strength and prosperity of the United States, but nothing to extend its limits or to add new dangers by acquisitions of foreign territory."

Many newspapers, chiefly of the Republican persuasion, are criticizing the administration of Secretary of War Alger for numerous blunders and some other things worse than blunders. This is done to shield the president, who is responsible for the acts of his war secretary.

It is now suggested that some narrow-minded people will begrudge Major McKinley a vacation. This is improbable. The general feeling toward the major is one of kindness and the wish is that he had taken a vacation several months ago, and taken Alger with him.

The request has been made in Tipton county for "all who voted for McKinley and are sorry for it" to hold up their hands. It is a train robber's request, and means danger to pocketbooks. Do not do it.

It is claimed that the Dingley law is putting money in the pockets of the woolgrowers, but on the other hand the people who buy woolen goods, millions in number, are compelled to pay higher prices for them or suffer.

The campaign in the Philippines has been conducted in a way pre-eminently creditable to the American nation, because Dewey had the good sense to "cut the cable," so that Washington yawp could not reach him.

It is understood that the Dingley law has already reimbursed the patriots who subscribed to Mark Hanna's corruption fund to elect McKinley, and that from this time forward the plunder of the people will be clear profit.

Secretary of War Alger, Republican, ought to be removed for incompetency. If McKinley should respond and dismiss Alger, he might find him a lineal descendant of Balaam's donkey, able to talk and take care of himself.

If we are to believe certain Republican organs all the battles fought during the war were fought in Washington—and that McKinley and his cabinet commanded the armies and navies.

No one can read the histories of Spanish rule in the Philippine islands and blame the natives for wanting to fight even with the Spaniards. As a result, Cuba and Porto Rico.

It is now definitely known that the blunders of the American nation, first and last caused more deaths at San Juan than Spanish bullets. As a result, Alger squeals.

Colonel William J. Bryan, Democrat, patriot, orator and soldier, at a bounteous several points higher in military office than fell to the lot of Major McKinley.

The Republican party is a gold standard party, but in the St. Louis convention it gave a pledge to favor bimetalism if it could gain the consent of leading European nations.

Ohio, Major McKinley's state, acts upon the hypothesis that lynching is one of its reserved rights—never surrendered to the federal government.

A Republican sheet calls attention to the fact that the government has prepared a "hog cholera remedy." A yellow fever remedy would be better.

AN OPEN LETTER To MOTHERS.

WE ARE ASSERTING IN THE COURTS OUR RIGHT TO THE EXCLUSIVE USE OF THE WORD "CASTORIA," AND "PITCHER'S CASTORIA," AS OUR TRADE MARK.

I, DR. SAMUEL PITCHER, of Hyannis, Massachusetts, was the originator of "CASTORIA," the same that has borne and does now bear on every the fac-simile signature of *Chas. H. Fletcher* wrapper. This is the original "CASTORIA" which has been used in the homes of the Mothers of America for over thirty years.

LOOK CAREFULLY at the wrapper and see that it is the kind you have always bought

and has the signature of *Chas. H. Fletcher* wrapper. No one has authority from me to use my name except The Centaur Company, of which Chas. H. Fletcher is President.

March 24, 1898.

Samuel Pitcher M.D.

Do Not Be Deceived.

Do not endanger the life of your child by accepting a cheap substitute which some druggist may offer you (because he makes a few more pennies on it), the ingredients of which even he does not know.

"The Kind You Have Always Bought" BEARS THE SIGNATURE OF

Chas. H. Fletcher
Insist on Having
The Kind That Never Failed You
THE CENTAUR COMPANY, 77 MURRAY STREET, NEW YORK CITY.

D. N. Morgan was in Chicago this week.

John M. Shultz has returned from West Baden.

C. M. Crawford is attending the Omaha exposition.

Secretary of State, Owen, will speak here on October 1.

Ames Southard and wife visited friends here this week.

Miss Valerie Wicker visited friends in Danville, Ill., this week.

Malachi Bill Scott is serving a jail sentence for intoxication.

A large number from here attended the races at Terre Haute this week.

Joly Joel, Monmouth, Ill., was the guest of his brother, Jake, this week.

W. H. Evens has returned from Alaska and is the guest of A. W. Binford and family.

George Durham has retired from the National bank. He has been succeeded by Harry Evans.

A gang of government painters were here this week painting the mail boxes. The new color is aluminum.

Rev. Albert Ogle, of Indianapolis, occupied the pulpit of the Missionary Baptist church last Sunday.

Ollie Fry returned from Chickamauga Monday after being in the hospital there four weeks with typhoid fever.

All the railroads have guaranteed special rates during the big peace jubilee and street fair here next month.

Mrs. C. E. Rankin, of Grand Rapids, has been the guest of her parents, Mr. and Mrs. Zack Mahorney, this week.

Every town in the State to which soldiers returned on furlough on last Saturday did itself proud in the welcome extended.

W. W. Stephens, near Salem, realized 3,900 bushels of wheat off ninety-five acres—an average yield per acre of forty-one bushels.

The first day of the street fair will be veteran day. The G. A. R. post will have charge of that feature, and it is thought there will be 1,200 men in line during the parade.

Trustee Sam Gilkey was up from Alamo Tuesday. Mr. Gilkey reports the work on the new school building there as progressing satisfactorily and expects to have it ready for occupancy by November 1.

The shops of the Vandalia at Terre Haute gave employment to more men last month than in any month for some years. A good deal of new work was turned out, in addition to the extensive repairs caused by the heavy traffic of the road.

James Tuttle, of Atlanta, Ill., secured nearly twenty years ago a promise of a funeral oration from Robert G. Ingersoll.

Mr. Tuttle is now ninety-two years old and has drawn up a will in which \$1,000 is set aside "to defray the expenses of Col. Ingersoll's journey."

An engineer of maintenance of way, who is well informed as to the improvements that have been made on the Monon, says that on no like mileage of any system in the United States has more been done in straightening curves, reducing grades, laying new steel, ballasting and renewal of bridges than has been done on the Monon in the last four years, and renewals and rebuilding of rolling stock have kept pace with the improvement in other directions.

A peace jubilee!

Rah! for the street fair.

Circuit court began to grind Monday.

Ed Knight was up from Brazil this week.

Major Dennis McAuliff and family, have returned to Brazil.

Mrs. E. M. Henkel, of Brazil, visited friends in the city this week.

Scott Galey, a member of the 150th regiment band, spent Sunday in the city.

Mrs. Landon Rose, of Chicago, is the guest of her parents, Mr. and Mrs. C. L. Thomas.

Local sportsmen report abundance of quail this year, and they anticipate some royal sport this fall.

When the 158th regiment arrived at Indianapolis late Tuesday evening the newsroom reached Linden that Dan and Feil McCallum were both down sick on the hospital cars. John McCallum left immediately for Indianapolis and will bring the brothers home with him if he finds it possible to do so.—New Richmond Record.

The victories of Hood's Sarsaparilla over all Forms of disease

Conclusively prove That it is an unequalled Blood purifier. It conquers

The demon, Scrofula, Relieves the itching and burning of salt rheum, cures running sores, ulcers, boils, pimples, and every other form of humor or disease originating in impure blood. The cures of Hood's Sarsaparilla are cures—absolute, permanent, perfect cures. They are based upon its great power to purify and enrich the blood.

Wall paper at cost, at the 99 cent store.

St. Louis Fall Festivities September 14 to October 29.

For 15th annual exposition and coliseum the Clover Leaf will issue greatly reduced rate excursion tickets to St. Louis, Sept. 15 to Oct. 29. The attractions for the exposition of '98 will be of the highest order attainable, among them being the incomparable Sou and his matchless band, giving four concerts daily.

For the great St. Louis Fair, held during the first week in October, a rate of one fair for the round trip will apply. The beautiful and mystifying Vailed Prophet Pagant, on the evening of Oct. 4, will surpass in grandeur anything of the kind ever before attempted.

For full particulars see any agent of the Clover Leaf route, or address C. C. JINKINS, Gen. Pass. Agt., Toledo, O.

Trans-Mississippi Exposition, Omaha, Neb.

Are you going to attend the wonderful exposition, now at the height of its glory, at Omaha? You will be surprised at its magnitude, rivaling the great World's Fair in many respects and even surpassing it in others. If you are contemplating making the trip, the Clover Leaf route offers special inducements. For full particulars see any Clover Leaf agent, or address

C. C. JINKINS, Gen. Pass. Agent, Toledo, O.

The demand for Government liquor license in prohibition Kansas this year has been the greatest in the history of the State.