

THE REVIEW.

CIRCULATION 2,000

Indianapolis, Bloomington and Western Railway.

Trains arrive at and leave Crawfordsville daily as follows:

Express 7:00 A.M. 12:40 P.M. 5:00 P.M.
Mixed 7:30 A.M. 1:10 P.M. 5:30 P.M.
Express 7:00 A.M. 12:40 P.M. 5:00 P.M.

Louisville, New Albany & Chicago Railroad.

Accommodation 7:30 A.M. 11:30 A.M. 3:30 P.M. 7:30 P.M.
Express 7:30 A.M. 11:30 A.M. 3:30 P.M. 7:30 P.M.

Arrival and Departure of Mails at the Office in Crawfordsville.

THURSDAY—Gains South 7:15 A.M.
THURSDAY—Gains North 7:15 A.M.
FRIDAY—Gains South 7:15 A.M.

Great Speech of Will Wallace.

He Presents a Silver Pitcher to Dan Voorhees.

I Love to Much, "Democracy"—Dan Mace a Political Judas—He Opposed Our Cause.

They Told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

skillful with the pen, with a brain full of knowledge and experience, and a heart pulsing as an iceberg, a cold, calculating, human devil. At this moment, whether sleeping or waking, sitting or moving, on land or water, at home or abroad, in church or on the street, that man is brooding over the idea of power, and counting the steps already taken, and those yet to be taken by him toward the Presidency. This is no fancy—William H. Seward is himself a fanatic. He is a living fact, a radiant serpent, an eloquent life, a smiling devil, a political con- sumption.

There is another man in New York scarcely less noted—a broad-faced, white-haired, white-eyed, white-tratted, white-coated, white-livered, white-sepulchre; but with a man truly wonderful, in whose hand the com- monest quill in the wing of the com- monest gnat in a Jersey Dutch man's barn yard would become an on- gino—I had almost said engine might as say that lifts the arms of our proud Atlantic steamer.

Daily at his word a hundred thou- sand Tribunes scatter throughout the Union. Napoleon is absolute in France; Horace Greeley's power over all the Democratic isms, in America is almost absolute.

These two men, in my opinion, are the great conspirators of the year 1850; for, when fully developed the Republican campaign will come to be regarded. Then they will live as the Titans (ates) of America. Then, also, the marvel will be not so much over the elements they employed, not so much over the character of those elements, not so much over the confusion that ensued, as the address with which the whole were bent into one active power, and the sublime insu- lence with which it was afterwards directed. Why, sir, consider for a moment—

The Republicans told us they were not Know-Nothing; but did they not fuse with a Know-Nothing party? Was not their candidate for President a Know-Nothing?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

They told us they were the party of freedom; but did they not obstinately deny the people of the territories the right and liberty to regulate their own domestic institutions?

preserves the land from a furious reli- gious war. Why, sir, strike down that party, merge it in Know-Nothing insinuation, and such is the exasperation of sects, that the Catholics could not take the holy sacrament in safety. Let the clergy beware how they hawk at the Democracy! Mirabeau said of the last of the Gracchi that, as he was dying, he threw a handful of dust toward heaven, from which sprang Mari- us. From the sacred dust of the dead Democracy there might spring a religious scourge and America yet have its Charles and St. Bartholomew, or its Cromwell and Ireland.

Indeed, indeed, sir, many a year will pass before the people recover from the sacred conspiracy. It has let the public mind like a prairie swept by autumnal fires, without a flower of genial feeling, almost with- out one green spot on which the trou- bled genius of our country can lie down and rest.

The conspirators kindled in all our communities the latent feud of the Catholic and the Protestant. When will we be able in truth to say that there is a perfect religious peace in America?

Again, sir, they taught the danger- ous lesson that there is a right in the quality between citizens, native born and those foreign born—taught, in other words, the lateral law of Brah- ma. It is natural to believe that by birth men derive a superior title to the land they inhabit—indeed, the be- lief is a settled passion of the heart; but the philosophy of castes is not path- able with the theory of our govern- ment, and he who advocates it, even indirectly, is an enemy to the constitu- tion.

We have reason to be proud of our position upon the so-called "Ameri- can question." It leaves the motto— Liberty, Equality and Fraternity— leaves it to be written in letters of gold all over our banners. It even leads our Democracy the attributes of Christianity—Mercy and Charity un- to all men. The idea is inspiring—it warms my heart and fancy! If I liberty-lovers of the Old World ask, "Can we yet find an asylum in America? how proud our reply! De- mocracy sits by the sea side, waits patiently, and when the wave worn emigrant steps from his barque, it does not meet him with foeman's curse or a coward's caution; it asks not in what land he was born, what gold he brings, or what faith he professes, but seeks to aim one more treasure for the enrichment of the public; one more heart lightened from memories of the past; it thinks of another roof tree reared and another hearthstone planted; it meets him upon the shore like a blessed Charity, fills him with the emotions of a free-man, and in- vests him at once with that possession which is the secret and sum of all American power—INDIVIDUAL INDE- PENDENCE.

But the conspirators were not con- tent with kindling a religious feud, and exciting jealousy between native and adopted citizen.—There was one other element of ruin, and that, also, they laid hands on—they lay loose the legions of Abolitionism, and, as with the ploughs of Plato, furrowed 36 feet deep, hence north twenty-one feet, and three inches, these west one hundred and sixty-five (165) feet, these east thirty-two (32) feet, these south one hundred and sixty-five (165) feet to the place of beginning.

Oh, sir, what a history is that of Slavery! It is heard of in almost every book of Holy Writ. In profane chronicles no man can find a time it did not exist. It has dogged the march of conquest; its tears have watered the ground on which this hero has planted his banner; it has drunk at the fountain with the heathen, and broken bread with the Christian,—may over Christ's own tomb, it has shaken the dust from its beggary garments; barbarism has nursed it in its lap, and now it is the "damned spot" that will build up a northern party hostile to the South? In their Philadelphia convention did they not threaten the South with fire and sword? Finally, did they not raise funds arm and equip soldiers, forward them to the frontier, and there kindle a civil war?

And here I must pause, for I have said too much. I have said too much to satisfy the ears of the many, and too much to satisfy the hearts of the few. I have said too much to satisfy the ears of the many, and too much to satisfy the hearts of the few. I have said too much to satisfy the ears of the many, and too much to satisfy the hearts of the few.

But, as the direct result of this con- spiracy, Abolitionism is a question—a living actual, flesh and blood ques- tion of the present, and as such is hereafter to be treated. It is the ques- tion, and neither as individuals nor as a party can we avoid it; it is here, there,—ubiquitous. Formerly we could say,—"True, it is a fearful issue, but it is not yet here." When it does we will settle it. Now it rises up it- self, it looks us boldly in the face, and defiantly says, "I am come; settle me, if you can."

And, sir, shall we be able, can we ever settle it without a broken union, with the constitution intact? I hope sir you will pardon me for the length of time I have detained you from the audience. I hope for their pardon, also. My object has been, by mentioning the Seward conspiracy, and going somewhat into its details, to give an idea of the character of the services you have rendered. Be as- sured you have the respect and grate- ful and honest sympathy of every Old Limer in the county, and I doubt not, in the District. Upon the token you hold, there is a motto—"Defeated but not conquered." The people ask you, sir, to exemplify it hereafter.

The address of the Republican Coun- cessional Committee—an admirable bit of gestation, by the way—says that the party was "born of the necessities of the nation." This might possibly be, just as a neglected ulcer is dy- ing and breeds maggots; but we are inclined to believe that the neces- sities of the nation were born of the Republican party.

PROFESSIONAL. THE NEW SYSTEM OF CURE. CATARRH. THROAT DISEASES. ASTHMA BRONCHITIS. CONSUMPTION!

DR. J. HALE. Dispensary & Surgery. MILLER'S BLOCK, INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA.

DR. J. HALE. Educational. WABASH COLLEGE.

F. W. FRY & CO. Drugs, Paints, Chemicals, Dye Stuffs, Toilet & Fancy Articles, Cigars & Tobacco.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION. Union Township, Montgomery County, State of Indiana.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION. Union Township, Montgomery County, State of Indiana.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION. Union Township, Montgomery County, State of Indiana.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION. Union Township, Montgomery County, State of Indiana.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION. Union Township, Montgomery County, State of Indiana.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION. Union Township, Montgomery County, State of Indiana.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION. Union Township, Montgomery County, State of Indiana.

H. T. HELMBOLD'S COLUMN. MANHOOD. In the Young and RISING GENERATION.

HOOPLAND'S GERMAN BITTERS. Hoopland's German Tonic. Hoopland's Podophyllin Pill.

HOOPLAND'S GERMAN BITTERS. Hoopland's German Tonic. Hoopland's Podophyllin Pill.

HOOPLAND'S GERMAN BITTERS. Hoopland's German Tonic. Hoopland's Podophyllin Pill.

HOOPLAND'S GERMAN BITTERS. Hoopland's German Tonic. Hoopland's Podophyllin Pill.

HOOPLAND'S GERMAN BITTERS. Hoopland's German Tonic. Hoopland's Podophyllin Pill.

HOOPLAND'S GERMAN BITTERS. Hoopland's German Tonic. Hoopland's Podophyllin Pill.

HOOPLAND'S GERMAN BITTERS. Hoopland's German Tonic. Hoopland's Podophyllin Pill.

HOOPLAND'S GERMAN BITTERS. Hoopland's German Tonic. Hoopland's Podophyllin Pill.

HOOPLAND'S GERMAN BITTERS. Hoopland's German Tonic. Hoopland's Podophyllin Pill.

HOOPLAND'S GERMAN BITTERS. Hoopland's German Tonic. Hoopland's Podophyllin Pill.

HURLEY'S POPULAR WORM CANDY. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

HURLEY'S POPULAR WORM CANDY. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

HURLEY'S POPULAR WORM CANDY. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

HURLEY'S POPULAR WORM CANDY. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

HURLEY'S POPULAR WORM CANDY. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

HURLEY'S POPULAR WORM CANDY. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

HURLEY'S POPULAR WORM CANDY. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

HURLEY'S POPULAR WORM CANDY. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

HURLEY'S POPULAR WORM CANDY. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

HURLEY'S POPULAR WORM CANDY. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

HURLEY'S POPULAR WORM CANDY. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

DR. JOHN BULL'S GREAT REMEDIES. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

DR. JOHN BULL'S GREAT REMEDIES. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

DR. JOHN BULL'S GREAT REMEDIES. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

DR. JOHN BULL'S GREAT REMEDIES. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

DR. JOHN BULL'S GREAT REMEDIES. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

DR. JOHN BULL'S GREAT REMEDIES. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

DR. JOHN BULL'S GREAT REMEDIES. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

DR. JOHN BULL'S GREAT REMEDIES. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

DR. JOHN BULL'S GREAT REMEDIES. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

DR. JOHN BULL'S GREAT REMEDIES. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

DR. JOHN BULL'S GREAT REMEDIES. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

DR. JOHN BULL'S GREAT REMEDIES. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

DR. JOHN BULL'S GREAT REMEDIES. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

DR. JOHN BULL'S GREAT REMEDIES. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

DR. JOHN BULL'S GREAT REMEDIES. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

DR. JOHN BULL'S GREAT REMEDIES. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

DR. JOHN BULL'S GREAT REMEDIES. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

DR. JOHN BULL'S GREAT REMEDIES. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

DR. JOHN BULL'S GREAT REMEDIES. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

DR. JOHN BULL'S GREAT REMEDIES. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

DR. JOHN BULL'S GREAT REMEDIES. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.

DR. JOHN BULL'S GREAT REMEDIES. BULL'S TONIC SYRUP.