

# THE WEEKLY REVIEW.



CRAWFORDSVILLE, IND.

Saturday, May 29, 1858.

PRINTED AND PUBLISHED EVERY SATURDAY MORNING BY

CHARLES H. BOWEN.

12¢ The Crawfordsville Review, furnished to Subscribers at \$1.50 in advance, or \$2, if not paid within the year.

S. H. PARVIN, South East corner Columbia and Main streets, Cincinnati, Ohio; is our Agent to procure advertisements.

CIRCULATION LARGER THAN ANY PAPER PUBLISHED IN CRAWFORDSVILLE!

Advertisers call up and examine our list of SUBSCRIBERS.

Notice to Advertisers.

Heretofore all Legal Advertising will be charged as transient advertising—one dollar a square, (of ten lines,) for the first insertion; and twenty-five cents for every subsequent insertion.

C. H. BOWEN, may 8, 1858 JERE. KEENEY.

DEMOCRATIC STATE TICKET.

For Secretary of State.

DANIEL MCCLURE, of Morgan.

For Auditor of State,

JOHN W. DODD, of Grant.

For Treasurer of State,

NATHANIEL E. CUNNINGHAM, of Vigo.

For Superintendent of Public Instruction,

SAMUEL L. RUGG, of Allen.

For Attorney General,

JOSEPH E. McDONALD, of Montgomery.

For Judges of the Supreme Court.

SAMUEL E. PERKINS, of Marion.

ANDREW DAVISON, of Decatur.

JAMES M. HANNA, of Vigo.

JAMES L. WORDEN, of Whitley.

## Attention Democrats!

The Democracy of Montgomery County will meet at the Court House in Crawfordsville, on Friday, the 4th of June, at 1 o'clock P. M. for the purpose of selecting delegates to attend the Congressional Convention. Col. Allen May, and other speakers will be in attendance. It is desired that there be a general turnout. By order of the CENTRAL COMMITTEE.

The Democratic Congressional Convention will be held at Leabanon on the 10th of June.

## THE MASS MEETING.

On the 4th of next month, there will be a Mass Meeting of the Democracy, held in the Court House yard. Let every Democrat in the county, be in attendance. The time has come for action. The eight district must be redeemed, and niggerism vanquished. Remember the frauds practiced in Fountain county, to defeat the Democracy. Come up as one man, and re-organize for the fight.

The Rev. J. M. Westfall of Lafayette, will preach in McClelland's Hall, Friday evening, June 4th. Subject—The nature and design of Divine punishment.

A correspondent writing to the *Journal*, thinks that the charge of Wilson's debauchery in the fall of 1857, is a base slander. We dare any Black Republican in Crawfordsville to disprove the charge of his drunkenness. Read the correspondence in another column of a Republican.

Jere refuses to answer our question of last week, as to whether he pretended to have more self-respect than the Hon. (?) James Wilson. Come Jere, answer the question. Don't equivocate by talking about our being sued by Potter, and everybody refusing to stay the judgment against us, and Wilson. That's not the point. Have you really more self-respect than your congressman?

The Goonzsquirt puppy of the *Journal*, thinks because we employed Wilson to attend to a law suit of ours we are under obligations to say nothing about his beastly debauchery. Don't fool yourself Jere.

If the Janus faced bound of the *Journal* seriously designs to keep up his abuse of us, he is at liberty to go ahead—We ask no odds of him. We have heretofore treated him like a gentleman, and used nothing but the most decorous language towards him, but he does not seem to be susceptible of appreciating decency or common courtesy. If the puppy has construed our gentlemanly courtesy towards him, through a fear of personal abuse and low billingsgate he is greatly mistaken.

W. R. PARISH & CO.,

Is the place to get the worth of your money. If you want to get the worth of your money, buy your hats and caps of W. R. Parish & Co., they have all kinds, from a twenty-five cent straw, to a six dollar beaver hat all of the best quality and latest styles. They have more straw and childrens hats, than all the other houses in Crawfordsville, and will sell at less figures. Give them a call before purchasing elsewhere.

PILE OF SPECIE.—The New York Times says the stock of bullion now in that city is the largest ever known. The banks and Sub-treasury hold \$40,584,278

For the Crawfordsville Review.  
MR. BOWEN.—As a Republican, I detect every principle of the present Democratic party. With them I hold no affinity, yet I am not so blinded by party feeling, that I cannot distinguish between right and wrong. In last week's *Journal*, I noticed a communication signed "Union," in which the writer speaks reassuringly of James Wilson, receiving the vote of Montgomery county, in the coming Republican Congressional Convention, and attempts in a very lame manner, to cover up his disgraceful debauchery. Now I challenge any Republican in Crawfordsville, to disprove the charge of Wilson's drunkenness, immediately after his election. I appeal to Henry S. Lane, Judge Naylor, and to every prominent Republican in town, as to the truth of the charge; and as men of veracity, they cannot deny what they know to be true. As a Republican, I appeal to the party, to know whether we are to sanction and countenance beastly debauchery, and at the same time, claim temperance as one of our cardinal principles. What assurances have we, if we re-elect Wilson, that he will not again compromise the honor and dignity of the party? Will the miserable stereotyped apology, that "he has reformed," be any assurance for the future? How many times has he not reformed, and in every instance, broken his solemn pledges? Ask the question of your temperance organizations and societies, and the answer will be—*too true*. Last fall, hundreds of Republicans who were known to Wilson's disgraceful conduct, solemnly avowed that they would never again support him, that he had betrayed them too often, and that no more nine-months' repentance would suffice in the future. I am one of that number, and shall live up religiously to my promise, and I believe nine-tenths of the party, will do the same. The Republican party of the Eight District, I predict, will nominate a man for the coming race, who can lay claims to sobriety, for the era of electing drunkards has passed, and I can assure "Union," that the party intends to profit by the past sad lesson it has learnt, and will not again, trust its honor, its dignity, and its glorious ensign of liberty and purity, into the hands of a notorious drunkard. The Republican party, has plenty of good men to carry its standard in the approaching contest, among which we might name Col. J. R. M. Bryant, Doctor McFarland, and John Beard, of our own county. The nomination of any one of these gentlemen, will be satisfactory. They are men of character and respectability, and will not bring dishonor upon themselves or their party. Let the Republicans think of these things.

## For the Review.

MR. EDITOR: As the people of the State will soon be called upon to array themselves under the banners of one or the other of the political parties, and select men to fill various important offices, it is highly important that we should assiduously canvass the principles and precedents of the parties at issue, and decide upon that which is right, consistent and honorable; for as citizens, we are interested in sustaining only those measures that will redound to the general good of all. In all communities where the majority rule, there are always two great political parties. Other organizations are only segments that derive their consequence from being attached to one or the other parties, and generally succeed in corrupting them both by making terms upon which they will surrender the balance of power.

The elections of 1852, completed the downfall of the great whig party. Their previous defeats in national elections, had caused despondency in their ranks, and induced them to take hold upon sectional and local expedients to sustain themselves.

These proved to only weaken them by producing discord and jealousies, until finally, the death of their great founder left them without leaders that had a heart for the contest or principles that they cared to sustain, and no bound of union, only a hatred of their victorious opponents.

No party in the constitutional government ever occupied a prouder position than the Democratic party at that time; their triumph was complete, and the means used to secure it was such as to sweeten the moments of victory.

The contest was for principles drawn from our constitution and the nature of our government, endeared to them by persecutions and long associations. These were defended by reason and arguments, and completely sustained by a tribunal of the most enlightened voters upon earth.

The contest was for principles drawn from our constitution and the nature of our government, endeared to them by persecutions and long associations. These were defended by reason and arguments, and completely sustained by a tribunal of the most enlightened voters upon earth.

The last Crawfordsville *Review* says the Central Committee of Montgomery county has not been conferred with.

On consultation with the members of the Central Committee of this county, we find that the different counties in this District had conferred together, and had agreed that the call should be made at the time and place spoken of in that notice. We find in this that we were mistaken, and consequently this week we withdraw the notice.

The notice was published under the impression that the Central Committee of the different counties in this District had conferred together, and had agreed that the call should be made at the time and place spoken of in that notice. We find in this that we were mistaken, and consequently this week we withdraw the notice.

The last Crawfordsville *Review* says the Central Committee of Montgomery county has not been conferred with.

On consultation with the members of the Central Committee of this county, we find that the different counties in this District had conferred together, and had agreed that the call should be made at the time and place spoken of in that notice. We find in this that we were mistaken, and consequently this week we withdraw the notice.

The last Crawfordsville *Review* says the Central Committee of Montgomery county has not been conferred with.

On consultation with the members of the Central Committee of this county, we find that the different counties in this District had conferred together, and had agreed that the call should be made at the time and place spoken of in that notice. We find in this that we were mistaken, and consequently this week we withdraw the notice.

The last Crawfordsville *Review* says the Central Committee of Montgomery county has not been conferred with.

On consultation with the members of the Central Committee of this county, we find that the different counties in this District had conferred together, and had agreed that the call should be made at the time and place spoken of in that notice. We find in this that we were mistaken, and consequently this week we withdraw the notice.

The last Crawfordsville *Review* says the Central Committee of Montgomery county has not been conferred with.

On consultation with the members of the Central Committee of this county, we find that the different counties in this District had conferred together, and had agreed that the call should be made at the time and place spoken of in that notice. We find in this that we were mistaken, and consequently this week we withdraw the notice.

The last Crawfordsville *Review* says the Central Committee of Montgomery county has not been conferred with.

On consultation with the members of the Central Committee of this county, we find that the different counties in this District had conferred together, and had agreed that the call should be made at the time and place spoken of in that notice. We find in this that we were mistaken, and consequently this week we withdraw the notice.

The last Crawfordsville *Review* says the Central Committee of Montgomery county has not been conferred with.

On consultation with the members of the Central Committee of this county, we find that the different counties in this District had conferred together, and had agreed that the call should be made at the time and place spoken of in that notice. We find in this that we were mistaken, and consequently this week we withdraw the notice.

The last Crawfordsville *Review* says the Central Committee of Montgomery county has not been conferred with.

On consultation with the members of the Central Committee of this county, we find that the different counties in this District had conferred together, and had agreed that the call should be made at the time and place spoken of in that notice. We find in this that we were mistaken, and consequently this week we withdraw the notice.

The last Crawfordsville *Review* says the Central Committee of Montgomery county has not been conferred with.

On consultation with the members of the Central Committee of this county, we find that the different counties in this District had conferred together, and had agreed that the call should be made at the time and place spoken of in that notice. We find in this that we were mistaken, and consequently this week we withdraw the notice.

The last Crawfordsville *Review* says the Central Committee of Montgomery county has not been conferred with.

On consultation with the members of the Central Committee of this county, we find that the different counties in this District had conferred together, and had agreed that the call should be made at the time and place spoken of in that notice. We find in this that we were mistaken, and consequently this week we withdraw the notice.

The last Crawfordsville *Review* says the Central Committee of Montgomery county has not been conferred with.

On consultation with the members of the Central Committee of this county, we find that the different counties in this District had conferred together, and had agreed that the call should be made at the time and place spoken of in that notice. We find in this that we were mistaken, and consequently this week we withdraw the notice.

The last Crawfordsville *Review* says the Central Committee of Montgomery county has not been conferred with.

On consultation with the members of the Central Committee of this county, we find that the different counties in this District had conferred together, and had agreed that the call should be made at the time and place spoken of in that notice. We find in this that we were mistaken, and consequently this week we withdraw the notice.

The last Crawfordsville *Review* says the Central Committee of Montgomery county has not been conferred with.

On consultation with the members of the Central Committee of this county, we find that the different counties in this District had conferred together, and had agreed that the call should be made at the time and place spoken of in that notice. We find in this that we were mistaken, and consequently this week we withdraw the notice.

The last Crawfordsville *Review* says the Central Committee of Montgomery county has not been conferred with.

On consultation with the members of the Central Committee of this county, we find that the different counties in this District had conferred together, and had agreed that the call should be made at the time and place spoken of in that notice. We find in this that we were mistaken, and consequently this week we withdraw the notice.

The last Crawfordsville *Review* says the Central Committee of Montgomery county has not been conferred with.

On consultation with the members of the Central Committee of this county, we find that the different counties in this District had conferred together, and had agreed that the call should be made at the time and place spoken of in that notice. We find in this that we were mistaken, and consequently this week we withdraw the notice.

The last Crawfordsville *Review* says the Central Committee of Montgomery county has not been conferred with.

On consultation with the members of the Central Committee of this county, we find that the different counties in this District had conferred together, and had agreed that the call should be made at the time and place spoken of in that notice. We find in this that we were mistaken, and consequently this week we withdraw the notice.

The last Crawfordsville *Review* says the Central Committee of Montgomery county has not been conferred with.

On consultation with the members of the Central Committee of this county, we find that the different counties in this District had conferred together, and had agreed that the call should be made at the time and place spoken of in that notice. We find in this that we were mistaken, and consequently this week we withdraw the notice.

The last Crawfordsville *Review* says the Central Committee of Montgomery county has not been conferred with.

On consultation with the members of the Central Committee of this county, we find that the different counties in this District had conferred together, and had agreed that the call should be made at the time and place spoken of in that notice. We find in this that we were mistaken, and consequently this week we withdraw the notice.

The last Crawfordsville *Review* says the Central Committee of Montgomery county has not been conferred with.

On consultation with the members of the Central Committee of this county, we find that the different counties in this District had conferred together, and had agreed that the call should be made at the time and place spoken of in that notice. We find in this that we were mistaken, and consequently this week we withdraw the notice.

The last Crawfordsville *Review* says the Central Committee of Montgomery county has not been conferred with.

On consultation with the members of the Central Committee of this county, we find that the different counties in this District had conferred together, and had agreed that the call should be made at the time and place spoken of in that notice. We find in this that we were mistaken, and consequently this week we withdraw the notice.

The last Crawfordsville *Review* says the Central Committee of Montgomery county has not been conferred with.

On consultation with the members of the Central Committee of this county, we find that the different counties in this District had conferred together, and had agreed that the call should be made at the time and place spoken of in that notice. We find in this that we were mistaken, and consequently this week we withdraw the notice.

The last Crawfordsville *Review* says the Central Committee of Montgomery county has not been conferred with.

On consultation with the members of the Central Committee of this county, we find that the different counties in this District had conferred together, and had agreed that the call should be made at the time and place spoken of in that notice. We find in this that we were mistaken, and consequently this week we withdraw the notice.

The last Crawfordsville *Review* says the Central Committee of Montgomery county has not been conferred with.

On consultation with the members of the Central Committee of this county, we find that the different counties in this District had conferred together, and had agreed that the call should be made at the time and place spoken of in that notice. We find in this that we were mistaken, and consequently this week we withdraw the notice.

The last Crawfordsville *Review* says the Central Committee of Montgomery county has not been conferred with.

On consultation with the members of the Central Committee of this county, we find that the different counties in this District had conferred together, and had agreed that the call should be made at the time and place spoken of in that notice. We find in this that we were mistaken, and consequently this week we withdraw the notice.

The last Crawfordsville *Review* says the Central Committee of Montgomery county has not been conferred with.

On consultation with the members of the Central Committee of this county, we find that the different counties in this District had conferred together, and had agreed that the call should be made at the time and place spoken of in that notice. We find in this that we were mistaken, and consequently this week we withdraw the notice.

The last Crawfordsville *Review* says the Central Committee of Montgomery county has not been conferred with.

On consultation with the members of the Central Committee of this county, we find that the different counties in this District had conferred together, and had agreed that the call should be made at the time and place spoken of in that notice. We find in this that we were mistaken, and consequently this week we withdraw the notice.

The last Crawfordsville *Review* says the Central Committee of Montgomery