

ALL GOODS MUST BE AS REPRESENTED

"The Corner"

CLOTHING HOUSE

THE CHEAPEST AND THE BEST OVERCOATS,

HEAVY Underwear and Winter Suits OUR Specialties this month.

H.T. SIMMONS & CO.

SATISFACTION GUARANTEED OR MONEY REFUNDED

Republican Progress

61.50 Per Annum.
WE A CARE, Editor and Publisher.
FOR PRESIDENT IN 1893:
THE MAN NOMINATED
BY THE REPUBLICAN CONVENTION.

FOUNDATION DAY.
The Indianapolis News of Wednesday had a two-column article on "Foundation Day" at Bloomington State University. The article was embellished with an illustration of the new library building. The report, from which the following is an extract, says:

"Foundation day of Ind. University was celebrated last Tuesday, and was made interesting by adding the dedication of the new library building, that is being completed at a cost of \$80,000. The address was made at the old college chapel at 1:30 o'clock, a large and brilliant presence. President Jordan presided, and, after a selection from the choir, introduced Judge D. D. Banta, to make the historical address. Judge Banta took for his subject that period of the history of Indiana University from 1825 to 1839.

He briefly traced the history of the development of the library from the beginning of 255 volumes in 1829, through the two destructions by fire, to the present library of 13,000 volumes, announcing the recent gift of 800 volumes by the widow and children of Hon. Godlove S. Orth, a former trustee. After the foundation-day exercises had been completed the family, board of trustees and students, in the order of their classes, formed in line, and, with the Mechanics' hand leading the procession, marched to the new campus, where the dedicatory exercises of the library building were held. On behalf of the Board of trustees Colonel Robertson made a short address. Prof. O. B. Clark spoke for the faculty; President E. A. Bryan, of Vincennes University, represented the alumni of the institution, and Frank Fetter of the Senior class spoke for the students, all making short appropriate addresses.

The new library building, just dedicated, was erected at a cost of something over \$80,000, \$50,000 of which was appropriated by the Legislature of 1889 by an act introduced by Hon. John C. Deland, of this city. The building is of the most modern construction, G. W. Bunting & Son being the architects. It is constructed entirely of stone and iron, and is one of the finest educational buildings in the West. The building is 120x80 in the maximum, the length of the building lying east and west. The central hall is only one story high. The east and west ends, each about one-fourth the entire length, are two stories high. The main entrance is from the south, near the west end. In the middle of the west end (lower floor) is the general reading room; on the north side of the reading room is the library's study and the card catalogue work room; on the south side of the reading room is the library's office. In the middle of the east end is the lecture room; on the north side of the lecture room is the gentlemen's study, and the ladies' study is on the south side. Between the east and west ends, occupying the center of the lower floor, is the library room, 40x55x21, with a capacity of eighty thousand volumes. The building is so planned that the rooms can be increased in size indefinitely. At the right of the main entrance is the east room, and over this is the stairway to the west upper story opening into a large hallway. In the middle of this wing is the room for the art collection, north of which is the history and college catalogue library, with a storeroom attached for pamphlets and duplicate books. In the middle of the east wing, second floor, is the trustees' room, north of it the committee room, south of it the floor room for the secretary of the University. At different places are toilet rooms, etc. For the present the law department and various professors are using many of these rooms for west of recitation rooms in the other buildings.

Up to the present time 368 districts of the Methodist Episcopal church of the United States or

about three-fifths of the whole number of districts, have sent in their votes on the question of admitting women to the General Conference. It is a curious coincidence that the votes recorded by these districts, 196,228 in favor of admitting women and 117,674 against, show a majority of almost exactly three-fifths in the affirmative. There is no reason to suppose that later returns will reverse this verdict or materially affect its proportions. It is now therefore not too soon to say that one of the greatest issues under discussion in one of the greatest religious bodies is decided, and decided in harmony with the general trend of the age in which we live. The question came before the latest General Conference, which met in New York about three years ago. Certain women delegates who had been accredited by local conferences were refused admission, but the pressure was so great that a motion finally prevailed to test the sentiment of the church in the manner which has been on trial for several months past. We have here one more instance of how "the woman question" is everywhere urgent. Those who think that the woman's rights agitation applies only to politics, and is confined to a demand for female suffrage, take but a narrow and superficial view. The same essential problem is at this hour prominent in the church, the school, the business world, in medicine and journalism, in art and science, and in the legal profession. However various may be its manifestation, the essence of the question always and everywhere is whether each sex shall have the same privilege of choice, or whether one sex shall continue to choose for the other.

That Laundry Question Again.
Burned by Chloride of Lime.
An Indianapolis News reporter met the proprietor of one of the oldest laundries in the city and promptly asked him what is the reason that laundries destroy their customers' property so ruthlessly. "The whole explanation lies chiefly in one fact," was the reply. "That is, that men who know nothing whatever of the laundry business are running laundries. The perspiration and grime which are ground into collars and cuffs because of their exposed positions make it absolutely necessary that some bleaching substance shall be used in the wash-water. If too much of the bleach is added it will injure the linen. Chloride of lime is what is used for bleaching, and if you put enough of it in the water it will burn a hole in a blanket. A very little of the bleach is as good as more for whitening goods, as I know from years of careful experiment, but in many laundries this is either not known or is given no attention. Ten years ago I myself used 75 per cent. more chloride of lime than I do now, and at the same time am doing better work now than then. "With the improved machinery for washing and ironing clothes there need be but little strain upon the goods. The demands of the trade are for everything to be done up very stiff and highly glossed. This is especially true of orders from the smaller towns of the State. The more stiffness and gloss the more friction it is necessary to subject the linen to. People make a mistake in ordering their goods done up extremely stiff and glossy for that reason, and we would rather not do it, but must comply with the demands of trade. In laundering shirts it is not necessary to use any bleach at all. I know this, because in my laundry we have not used it on shirts for years, and I believe the same is true of the other first-class laundries in the city."

—Indianapolis Sun: It costs the president of the United States about \$30,000 per year to live, and he can easily save \$30,000 of his salary. Evidently there is as much profit in some other businesses as in a newspaper.

—Quite a number of our young men have been moving to Bloomington on account of the superior facilities the number of factories there give for work, and also the advantages their building and loan associations give them to get a home of their own.—Martinsville Republican.

—A majority of the Senate committee reported in favor of the indefinite postponement of Senator Fulk's bill, providing that county commissioners may permit stock to run at large. Senator Koppeke presented a minority report in favor of the passage of the bill, and it was ordered printed.

—E. W. Paxon, ex-county superintendent of Morgan and at one time well and favorably known among horsemen, owning Dutch Girl and other speedy animals, died at his home in Martinsville recently.

City Delinquent List.
SALE of Lots in the City of Bloomington, Indiana, the same being Delinquent on taxes for the year 1889 and former years.

—Alexander S. Banta, in-lot 280, value 600, delinquent tax \$20.40.
—Alexander John T. in-lot 22 and 24, delinquent tax 1,903 delinquent tax 21.26.
—Arnold Wm. in-lot 28, Davis Add, value 145, total tax 11.22.
—Alexander Wardfield, in-lot 43, Davis Add, value 345, total tax 11.80.
—Barnett John, in-lot 44, Davis Add, value 245, total tax 9.81.
—Alexander Henry, in-lot 51, 53, 55, Fairview Add, value 490, total tax 12.96.
—Baker Richard A., in-lot 14, Davis Add, value 245, total tax 9.81.
—Barnett John B., in-lot 21 and pt. out-lot 52, East & Marshall's Add, value 750, total tax 12.13.
—Bonner Horace, pt. in-lot 130, value 2775, total tax 35.40.
—Binkley James C., in-lot 23 and 13, and pt. out-lot 37, Howe's Add and Seminary, value 815, total tax 10.08.
—Berrymann Mary, in-lot 81, value 1,075, total tax 22.61.
—Bollinghouse & Garrison, pt. out-lot 2, value 550, total tax 14.94.
—Brown John W., in-lot 20, Young's Add, value 140, total tax 3.84.
—Ben and Lydia, in-lot 174, value 695, total tax 14.02.
—Brookshire W. A., pt. out-lot 40, value 385, total tax 13.65.
—Campbell John B., in-lot 175, value 445, total tax 14.18.
—Campbell Ida and Joe, in-lot 7 and pt. out-lot 5, Campbell Add, value 860, total tax 13.19.
—Candler James, pt. in-lot 356, value 300, total tax 4.05.
—Chandler Anna, pt. in-lot 21, Orchard's Add, value 590, total tax 13.68.
—Clegg Wm., pt. out-lot 10 and 17, value 750, total tax 18.80.
—Conley Jas et al, in-lot 30, Dunn Add, value 575, total tax 14.53.
—Duncan Kraline, pt. in-lot 69 and 70, value 170, total tax 4.63.
—David David, in-lot 34, value 20, total tax 1.28.
—Dupree Benjamin, in-lot 49, value 230, total tax 8.43.
—Eberhart Y. B., pt. out-lot 60, Seminary Add, value 225, total tax 10.25.
—East W. H., in-lot 15 and 16, Cron & Carmichael Add, value 1,380, total tax 31.01.
—Elin Martin and wife, pt. out-lot 77, Seminary, value 290, total tax 8.62.
—Freeman Jordan, in-lot 6, Young Add, value 170, total tax 4.97.
—Ford John W., in-lot 31 and 33, Davis Add, value three hundred and twenty, total tax 10.44.
—Fisher John, in-lot 56, Fairview Add, value two hundred and twenty-five, total tax three dollars and fifty-two cents.
—Fisher Tom, in-lot 55 and 57, Fairview Add, value 50, total tax one dollar and twenty-one cents.
—Graves Thomas, in-lot 16, McPherson Add, value 590, total tax, thirty-three dollars and twenty cents.
—Gray Oliver, in-lot thirty-two, Davis Add, value 100, total tax 1.37.
—Gahn Anderson, in-lot 59, Davis Add, value 240, total tax 6.58.
—Hamilton James B. pt. in-lot 60, value 1,725, total tax 28.34.
—Hord Werniss and Does, Maple Heights, in-lot 16, value 370, total tax 9.75.
—Hill George, in-lot 56, Davis Add, value 30, total tax 7.00.
—Henson Andrew, in-lot 35 and 28, Fuller & Waldron add, value 110, total tax 10.26.
—Hardin & Hammond pt. in-lot 1, Owens add, value 550, total tax 20.19.
—Hood Ellen, in-lot 5, Davis Add, value 240, total tax 6.14.
—Hinds John B., in-lot 283 and 284, value 30, total tax 4.18.
—Holtsman Isaac A., in-lot 5 and pt. in-lot 6, Orchard add, value 1,300, total tax 10.76.
—Holland Bridges, pt. out-lot 18, value 250, total tax 7.00.
—Harris Martha, in-lot 50 and 58, Fairview, value 225, total tax 10.38.
—Jackson Elizabeth, in-lot 17, Davis Add, value 240, total tax 6.14.
—Jackson Granville, in-lot 21 and 10, Davis Add, and 28 in Fuller & Waldron Add, value 735, total tax 13.08.
—Jackson Rebecca, in-lot 11, 19, 15 and 19, Davis Add, value 1,10, total tax 33.53.
—Jackson Rebecca and Granville, out-lot 16, in-lot 14, Davis Add, value 535, total tax 10.76.
—Jones Edward, pt. in-lot 11, Owens add, value 335, total tax 10.09.
—Johnson Andrew, out-lot 38, Seminary, value 205, total tax 5.68.
—Keck Nancy J., in-lot 27 and 28, value 2,075, total tax 41.21.
—Lane Lillie, in-lot 3, McPherson Add, value 240, total tax 6.14.
—Lyon Bridges, pt. out-lot 18, value 55, total tax 1.57.
—Moley Martha J., in-lot 18, Davis Add, value 220, total tax 7.80.

Delinquent List.
A LIST of Lands and Lots returned for the year 1889, and previous years in Monroe County, Indiana, by James C. Monroe, County Clerk, Indiana.

No. 1—Bancroft Township.
No. 1—Bancroft Township, pt. west half southwest quarter section eight town 18 range two west 245 acres, value \$170, total tax \$11.70.
No. 2—Bancroft Township, pt. sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres; also Lot 9, sec 9, range 2, in town of Stoneville, value 620, total tax 12.43.
No. 3—Bancroft Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 4—Bancroft Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 5—Bancroft Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 6—Bancroft Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 7—Bancroft Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 8—Bancroft Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 9—Bancroft Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 10—Bancroft Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 2—Washington Township.
No. 2—Washington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 3—Washington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 4—Washington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 5—Washington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 6—Washington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 7—Washington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 8—Washington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 9—Washington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 10—Washington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 3—Van Buren Township.
No. 3—Van Buren Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 4—Van Buren Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 5—Van Buren Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 6—Van Buren Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 7—Van Buren Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 8—Van Buren Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 9—Van Buren Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 10—Van Buren Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 4—Union Township.
No. 4—Union Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 5—Union Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 6—Union Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 7—Union Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 8—Union Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 9—Union Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 10—Union Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 5—Monroe Township.
No. 5—Monroe Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 6—Monroe Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 7—Monroe Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 8—Monroe Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 9—Monroe Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 10—Monroe Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 6—Bloomington Township.
No. 6—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 7—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 8—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 9—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 10—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 7—Bloomington Township.
No. 7—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 8—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 9—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 10—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 8—Bloomington Township.
No. 8—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 9—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 10—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 9—Bloomington Township.
No. 9—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.
No. 10—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 10—Bloomington Township.
No. 10—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 11—Bloomington Township.
No. 11—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 12—Bloomington Township.
No. 12—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 13—Bloomington Township.
No. 13—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 14—Bloomington Township.
No. 14—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 15—Bloomington Township.
No. 15—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 16—Bloomington Township.
No. 16—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 17—Bloomington Township.
No. 17—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 18—Bloomington Township.
No. 18—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 19—Bloomington Township.
No. 19—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 20—Bloomington Township.
No. 20—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 21—Bloomington Township.
No. 21—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 22—Bloomington Township.
No. 22—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 23—Bloomington Township.
No. 23—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 24—Bloomington Township.
No. 24—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 25—Bloomington Township.
No. 25—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 26—Bloomington Township.
No. 26—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 27—Bloomington Township.
No. 27—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 28—Bloomington Township.
No. 28—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 29—Bloomington Township.
No. 29—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 30—Bloomington Township.
No. 30—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.

No. 31—Bloomington Township.
No. 31—Bloomington Township, sec 10, range 2, containing 130 acres, value 1,200, total tax 46.84.